

Structure of the Iwahori-Hecke Algebra

Arnab Mitra

Ben-Gurion University of the Negev

20.08.2013

Notation

F - Non-archimedean local field.

\mathcal{O} - ring of integers, $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$ - maximal ideal.

$k := \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$, $|k| = q$.

Notation

F - Non-archimedean local field.

\mathcal{O} - ring of integers, $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$ - maximal ideal.

$k := \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{p}$, $|k| = q$.

G - Split, connected, reductive group defined over F .

A - split maximal torus A .

$B = AN$ - Borel subgroup containing A .

We assume that G, A, N etc. are defined over \mathcal{O} .

$$K := G(\mathcal{O})$$

$W := N_{G(F)}(A(F))/A(F)$ - the finite Weyl group.

$$K := G(\mathcal{O})$$

$W := N_{G(F)}(A(F))/A(F)$ - the finite Weyl group.

We will sometimes write G, A for $G(F), A(F)$ (respectively) for the sake of brevity.

The Iwahori subgroup and the Iwahori Hecke Algebra

Definition

The Iwahori subgroup I of K is the inverse image of $B(k)$ in $G(\mathcal{O})$ under the map $G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow G(k)$.

The Iwahori subgroup and the Iwahori Hecke Algebra

Definition

The Iwahori subgroup I of K is the inverse image of $B(k)$ in $G(\mathcal{O})$ under the map $G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow G(k)$.

Definition

Define the Iwahori-Hecke algebra,

$$\mathcal{H}_I := C_c(I \backslash G(F)/I).$$

Finite dimensional Hecke algebra

$$\mathcal{H}_f := C(B(k) \backslash G(k)/B(k)).$$

By Bruhat decomposition,

$$\{T_w := \mathbf{1}_{B(k)wB(k)} \mid (w \in W)\}$$

forms a basis of \mathcal{H}_f .

Finite dimensional Hecke algebra

$$\mathcal{H}_f := C(B(k) \backslash G(k)/B(k)).$$

By Bruhat decomposition,

$$\{T_w := \mathbf{1}_{B(k)wB(k)} \mid (w \in W)\}$$

forms a basis of \mathcal{H}_f .

Proposition

For $f \in C(B(k) \backslash G(k)/B(k))$, we can define $\tilde{f} \in C_c(I \backslash K/I)$ in a natural way. The map $f \mapsto \tilde{f}$ gives a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism

$$C(B(k) \backslash G(k)/B(k)) \cong C_c(I \backslash K/I).$$

Theorem (Iwahori)

\mathcal{H}_f is a free \mathbb{C} -algebra on generators T_{s_i} (indexed by the set S of simple reflections of W) subject to the following relations:

- $T_{s_i}^2 = q + (q - 1)T_{s_i}$
- $T_{s_i} \cdot T_{s_j} \cdot T_{s_i} \cdots = T_{s_j} \cdot T_{s_i} \cdot T_{s_j} \cdots \quad (i \neq j)$
with m_{ij} terms on both sides, with $m_{ij} \geq 2$, same as those occurring in the braid relations in the presentation of the Coxeter group W in terms of S .

Theorem (Iwahori)

\mathcal{H}_f is a free \mathbb{C} -algebra on generators T_{s_i} (indexed by the set S of simple reflections of W) subject to the following relations:

- $T_{s_i}^2 = q + (q - 1)T_{s_i}$
- $T_{s_i} \cdot T_{s_j} \cdot T_{s_i} \cdots = T_{s_j} \cdot T_{s_i} \cdot T_{s_j} \cdots \quad (i \neq j)$
with m_{ij} terms on both sides, with $m_{ij} \geq 2$, same as those occurring in the braid relations in the presentation of the Coxeter group W in terms of S .

\mathcal{H}_f is very similar in structure to $\mathbb{C}[W]$.

Extended affine Weyl group

Definition

$$\tilde{W}_a := N_{G(F)}(A(F))/A(\mathcal{O}).$$

Extended affine Weyl group

Definition

$$\tilde{W}_a := N_{G(F)}(A(F))/A(\mathcal{O}).$$

Proposition (Iwahori and Matsumoto)

$$G = \sqcup_{x \in \tilde{W}_a} IxI.$$

Extended affine Weyl group

Definition

$$\tilde{W}_a := N_{G(F)}(A(F))/A(\mathcal{O}).$$

Proposition (Iwahori and Matsumoto)

$$G = \sqcup_{x \in \tilde{W}_a} IxI.$$

Thus the elements $\{T_x := 1IxI, x \in \tilde{W}_a\}$ form a natural basis of \mathcal{H}_I .

The extended affine Weyl group for $GL_n(F)$

Let s_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) be the permutation matrix in $GL_n(F)$ corresponding to the transposition which interchanges e_i and e_{i+1} . Further let

$$s_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & & \pi^{-1} \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & \cdot & & & & \\ & & & \cdot & & & \\ & & & & \cdot & & \\ & & & & & 1 & \\ \pi & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & & 0 & 1 \\ \pi & & & & & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The extended affine Weyl group for $GL_n(F)$

Let s_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) be the permutation matrix in $GL_n(F)$ corresponding to the transposition which interchanges e_i and e_{i+1} . Further let

$$s_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \pi^{-1} \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \\ & & & & 1 \\ \pi & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & & & \\ & & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & & & 0 & 1 & \\ & & & & & 0 & 1 \\ \pi & & & & & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that $ts_i t^{-1} = s_{i-1}$.

$$\tilde{W}_a = \langle s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}, t \rangle$$

with the following relations:

- $s_i^2 = 1, 0 \leq i \leq n-1,$
- $(s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1$ where $m_{i,i+1} = 3$ and $m_{i,j} = 2$ if $|i-j| \bmod n > 1,$
- $ts_j t^{-1} = s_{j-1}.$

$$\tilde{W}_a = \langle s_0, \dots, s_{n-1}, t \rangle$$

with the following relations:

- $s_i^2 = 1, 0 \leq i \leq n-1,$
- $(s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1$ where $m_{i,i+1} = 3$ and $m_{i,j} = 2$ if $|i-j| \bmod n > 1,$
- $ts_i t^{-1} = s_{i-1}.$

Thus \tilde{W}_a is almost like S_n .

Let length function, ℓ is defined to be the minimum number of generators of the type s_i (i.e. excluding any occurrences of the generator t). Note that ℓ restricted to W is the usual length function.

Let length function, ℓ is defined to be the minimum number of generators of the type s_i (i.e. excluding any occurrences of the generator t). Note that ℓ restricted to W is the usual length function.

Notice that s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} generate S_n while if we adjoin s_0 and t we get all diagonal matrices, whose entries are integral powers of π .

Let length function, ℓ is defined to be the minimum number of generators of the type s_i (i.e. excluding any occurrences of the generator t). Note that ℓ restricted to W is the usual length function.

Notice that s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} generate S_n while if we adjoin s_0 and t we get all diagonal matrices, whose entries are integral powers of π .

It can be easily checked that $\tilde{W}_a \cong S_n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ where \mathbb{Z}^n is embedded as the diagonal matrices with powers of π .

The Iwahori-Matsumoto presentation for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$

Theorem

\mathcal{H}_I is an algebra generated by appropriately indexed T_{s_i} and T_t such that the following relations are satisfied:

- $T_{s_i} \cdot T_{s_i} = q + (q - 1)T_{s_i}$.
- $T_w \cdot T_{w'} = T_{ww'}$ if $\ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w')$.
- $T_{s_{i-1}} \cdot T_t = T_t \cdot T_{s_i}$.

(Here $i + 1$ is to be interpreted as 0 if $i = n - 1$.)

The co-character lattice

$$X_*(A) := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, A(F))$$

$$\pi^\mu := \mu(\pi), \quad \forall \mu \in X_*(A)$$

The co-character lattice

$$X_*(A) := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, A(F))$$

$$\pi^\mu := \mu(\pi), \quad \forall \mu \in X_*(A)$$

Lemma

The map $\mu \mapsto \pi^\mu$ is an isomorphism

$$X_*(A) \cong A(F)/A(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

The co-character lattice

$$X_*(A) := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, A(F))$$

$$\pi^\mu := \mu(\pi), \quad \forall \mu \in X_*(A)$$

Lemma

The map $\mu \mapsto \pi^\mu$ is an isomorphism

$$X_*(A) \cong A(F)/A(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

In case of $GL_n(F)$,

$$\mu \in X_*(A) \leftrightarrow \text{diag}(\pi^{t_1}, \dots, \pi^{t_n}) \leftrightarrow (t_1, \dots, t_n)$$

where $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition

Call an element $\mu \in X_*(A)$ dominant if

$$\pi^\mu(I \cap N)\pi^{-\mu} \subset I \cap N.$$

Call μ antidominant if $-\mu$ is dominant.

Definition

Call an element $\mu \in X_*(A)$ dominant if

$$\pi^\mu(I \cap N)\pi^{-\mu} \subset I \cap N.$$

Call μ antidominant if $-\mu$ is dominant.

For example, in the case of $GL_n(F)$, this means that μ corresponds to (t_1, \dots, t_n) with $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_n$.

Definition

Call an element $\mu \in X_*(A)$ dominant if

$$\pi^\mu(I \cap N)\pi^{-\mu} \subset I \cap N.$$

Call μ antidominant if $-\mu$ is dominant.

For example, in the case of $GL_n(F)$, this means that μ corresponds to (t_1, \dots, t_n) with $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_n$.

It follows that $\pi^{-\mu}(I \cap \bar{N})\pi^\mu \subset I \cap \bar{N}$.

The toric subalgebra

Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}[X_*(A)]$. Using the description of $X_*(A)$,

$$\mathcal{B} \cong \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n, X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_n^{-1}].$$

The toric subalgebra

Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}[X_*(A)]$. Using the description of $X_*(A)$,

$$\mathcal{B} \cong \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n, X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_n^{-1}].$$

For $\mu \in X_*(A)$ dominant define

$$\Theta_\mu = \delta^{1/2}(\pi^\mu) T_{\pi^\mu},$$

where δ is the modulus function of $B(F)$.

The toric subalgebra

Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}[X_*(A)]$. Using the description of $X_*(A)$,

$$\mathcal{B} \cong \mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n, X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_n^{-1}].$$

For $\mu \in X_*(A)$ dominant define

$$\Theta_\mu = \delta^{1/2}(\pi^\mu) T_{\pi^\mu},$$

where δ is the modulus function of $B(F)$.

Proposition

Θ_μ is invertible in \mathcal{H}_I .

Let μ and η are dominant. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} I\pi^\mu I\pi^\eta I &= I\pi^\mu(I \cap N)(I \cap A)(I \cap \bar{N})\pi^\eta I \\ &\subset I(I \cap N)\pi^\mu(I \cap A)\pi^\eta(I \cap \bar{N})I \\ &\subset I\pi^\mu\pi^\eta I \end{aligned}$$

Let μ and η are dominant. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi^\mu |\pi^\eta| &= |\pi^\mu (I \cap N)(I \cap A)(I \cap \bar{N})\pi^\eta| \\ &\subset |(I \cap N)\pi^\mu (I \cap A)\pi^\eta (I \cap \bar{N})| \\ &\subset |\pi^\mu \pi^\eta| \end{aligned}$$

So we have, $|\pi^\mu |\pi^\eta| = |\pi^{\mu+\eta}|$, which implies

$$\Theta_\mu * \Theta_\eta = \Theta_{\mu+\eta}.$$

For $\mu \in X_*(A)$, write $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$, where μ^+, μ^- are dominant.
So for an arbitrary μ define

$$\Theta_\mu = \Theta_{\mu^+} * (\Theta_{\mu^-})^{-1}.$$

For $\mu \in X_*(A)$, write $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$, where μ^+, μ^- are dominant.
So for an arbitrary μ define

$$\Theta_\mu = \Theta_{\mu^+} * (\Theta_{\mu^-})^{-1}.$$

Proposition

The map $\Theta : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_I$ is an isomorphism onto its image.

For $\mu \in X_*(A)$, write $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$, where μ^+, μ^- are dominant. So for an arbitrary μ define

$$\Theta_\mu = \Theta_{\mu^+} * (\Theta_{\mu^-})^{-1}.$$

Proposition

The map $\Theta : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_I$ is an isomorphism onto its image.

$\Theta(\mathcal{B})$ is an abelian subalgebra of \mathcal{H}_I and is known as the toric subalgebra.

The Bernstein relations

We have the following commutation relation.

Proposition

Let $\mu \in X_*(A)$, $s \in S$ and α the simple root corresponding to s .
Then

$$T_s * \Theta_\mu = \Theta_{s\mu} * T_s + (q - 1) \frac{\Theta_\mu - \Theta_{s\mu}}{1 - \Theta_{-\check{\alpha}}}.$$

$(s\mu = \mu - \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle \check{\alpha})$

The Bernstein relations

We have the following commutation relation.

Proposition

Let $\mu \in X_*(A)$, $s \in S$ and α the simple root corresponding to s .
Then

$$T_s * \Theta_\mu = \Theta_{s\mu} * T_s + (q - 1) \frac{\Theta_\mu - \Theta_{s\mu}}{1 - \Theta_{-\check{\alpha}}}.$$

$$(s\mu = \mu - \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle \check{\alpha})$$

$$\frac{\Theta_\mu - \Theta_{s\mu}}{1 - \Theta_{-\check{\alpha}}} = \Theta_\mu + \Theta_{\mu - \check{\alpha}} + \dots + \Theta_{s\mu + \check{\alpha}}$$

The Bernstein relations

We have the following commutation relation.

Proposition

Let $\mu \in X_*(A)$, $s \in S$ and α the simple root corresponding to s .
Then

$$T_s * \Theta_\mu = \Theta_{s\mu} * T_s + (q - 1) \frac{\Theta_\mu - \Theta_{s\mu}}{1 - \Theta_{-\check{\alpha}}}.$$

$(s\mu = \mu - \langle \alpha, \mu \rangle \check{\alpha})$

$$\frac{\Theta_\mu - \Theta_{s\mu}}{1 - \Theta_{-\check{\alpha}}} = \Theta_\mu + \Theta_{\mu - \check{\alpha}} + \dots + \Theta_{s\mu + \check{\alpha}}$$

Proposition

The elements $\Theta_\mu T_w \in \mathcal{H}_I$ form a basis over \mathbb{C} .

The Bernstein presentation

Theorem

Let \mathcal{H} be the algebra with the generators

$$\{T_w, w \in W, \Theta_\mu, \mu \in X_*(A)\}$$

such that the following relations are satisfied:

- $T_s \cdot T_s = q + (q - 1)T_s \quad \forall s \in S.$
- $T_w \cdot T_{w'} = T_{ww'}$ if $\ell(ww') = \ell(w) + \ell(w').$
- $\Theta_\mu \cdot \Theta_\eta = \Theta_{\mu+\eta}.$
- For $s = s_\alpha \in S, \mu \in \mathcal{B}$ we have,

$$T_s \cdot \Theta_\mu = \Theta_{s\mu} \cdot T_s + (q - 1) \frac{\Theta_\mu - \Theta_{s\mu}}{1 - \Theta_{-\check{\alpha}}}.$$

Then $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}_I.$

Description of the center

W acts naturally on \mathcal{B} . It follows easily from the commutation relation that

$$\Theta(\mathcal{B}^W) = \text{Span} \left\langle \sum_{w \in W} \Theta_{w(\mu)} \right\rangle \subset Z(\mathcal{H}_I).$$

Description of the center

W acts naturally on \mathcal{B} . It follows easily from the commutation relation that

$$\Theta(\mathcal{B}^W) = \text{Span} \left\langle \sum_{w \in W} \Theta_{w(\mu)} \right\rangle \subset Z(\mathcal{H}_I).$$

Theorem (Bernstein)

$$\Theta : \mathcal{B}^W \xrightarrow{\sim} Z(\mathcal{H}_I).$$

Description of the center

W acts naturally on \mathcal{B} . It follows easily from the commutation relation that

$$\Theta(\mathcal{B}^W) = \text{Span} \left\langle \sum_{w \in W} \Theta_{w(\mu)} \right\rangle \subset Z(\mathcal{H}_I).$$

Theorem (Bernstein)

$$\Theta : \mathcal{B}^W \xrightarrow{\sim} Z(\mathcal{H}_I).$$

It is easy to check that \mathcal{H}_I is a f.g. module over \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B} over \mathcal{B}^W . Thus (\mathcal{H}_I) is f.g. over $Z(\mathcal{H}_I)$ and hence is noetherian.

Summary

- Structure of \mathcal{H}_I is governed by \tilde{W}_a .
- $\mathcal{H}_I \cong \mathcal{H}_f \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}$ (as \mathbb{C} -vector spaces). (\mathcal{B} abelian)
- $T_s \cdot \Theta_\mu = \Theta_{s\mu} \cdot T_s + (q - 1) \frac{\Theta_\mu - \Theta_{s\mu}}{1 - \Theta_{-\check{\alpha}}}$.
- $Z(\mathcal{H}_I) \cong \mathcal{B}^W$.

Thank you for your attention.