

Lecture 5 (2x45 min, 8 pages)

stefan schwede
GHaHT
16 Aug 2013
P. 1

Global forms of K-theory, cont.

$$L(ku) \rightarrow ku \rightarrow ku^c \rightarrow b(ku)$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow$$

$$L(KU) \longrightarrow KU \longrightarrow b(KU)$$

(b is better than R

because def'd on
point set level)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & b(ku) & \\ & \downarrow & \} \\ KU & \longrightarrow & b(KU) \end{array}$$

ultra-comm
ring spectra, so
pullback $b(ku)^c$ is
also.

$$ku(V) = \mathcal{C}(S^V, \text{Sym}(V_G))$$

gives $ku(\mathbb{R}) \cong U$ in particular, via eigenvalue, eigenspace decomposition.

$$\langle \rangle : R(G) \rightarrow \pi_0^G(ku) \text{ next:}$$

Let W be a complex G -repn (unitary). Choose a real repn V and a complex G -embedding $W \hookrightarrow V_G$. Let

$$\langle w \rangle \in \pi_0^G(ku) \text{ be}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^W & \longrightarrow & ku(v) \\ \downarrow & \longmapsto & \\ v & \longmapsto & [v, w] \end{array} \quad w \circ V_G \subset \text{Sym}(V_G)$$

Facts:

$\langle w \rangle$ only depends on the iso class of W

$$\langle w \rangle + \langle w' \rangle = \langle w \oplus w' \rangle$$

$$\alpha^* \langle w \rangle = \langle \alpha^* w \rangle$$

If $H \leq G$ and $[G:H] < \infty$ then $\text{tr}_H^G \langle w \rangle = \langle \text{tr}_H^G w \rangle = \langle (CG \otimes H)w \rangle$

$\langle w \rangle \cdot \langle w' \rangle = \langle w \otimes w' \rangle$ (Not equal on representatives since $w \otimes w'$ lands in the linear part, but tensoring representatives

$$P^m \langle w \rangle = \langle w^{\otimes m} \rangle \text{ in } \pi_0^{\sum G} (ku)$$

lands in quadratic part, so a homotopy is needed.)

$$N_H^G \langle w \rangle = \langle w^{\otimes G/H} \rangle \text{ (tensor induction)}$$

\Rightarrow Well defined additive

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^+(G) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0^G(ku) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{induced.} & \\ R(G) & & \end{array}$$

Prop: $R(G) \xrightarrow{\langle \rangle} \pi_0^G(ku)$ is split mono. &
 is iso for G finite.

For $\dim G > 0$ the discrepancy has to do with infinite index transfers, as with $H\mathbb{Z}$ and $H\mathbb{Z}$.

Segal: Let $H \hookrightarrow G$ be mono hom of cpt Lie gps. There is a smooth induction

$$i_! : R(H) \rightarrow R(G).$$

First Hom, that's $\infty \dim$, then work on it to get a virtual repn.
 \exists character formula!

Warning: $\langle \rangle : R() \rightarrow \pi_0(ku)$ does not take smooth induction to the homotopy theoretic transfer. So not a hom of global functors.
 (Bob Oliver has a homotopy theoretic smooth induction. May be useful.)

Ex: $G = SU(2) \xrightarrow{i} T$ Then $i_!(1) = 2$ but in $\pi_0^{SU(2)}(ku)$

$\text{tr}_T^{SU(2)}(1) \neq 2$; difference detected by $\dim: \pi_0(ku) = \pi_0(H\mathbb{Z})$,

N.B.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & b(H\mathbb{Z}) \\ \nearrow & & \nearrow \\ ku & \longrightarrow & ku^c \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & KU \end{array}$$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{\text{problem goes away here: really get } R(G)}$

in degree 0.



Periodic global K-theory

Homotopy types go back to Segal (and Atiyah?) but the precise version is (almost) that of Michael Joachim. C^* -alg make the construction more natural.

Ingredients: $\text{Cl}(V) = \text{Clifford algebra of } V \text{ (complexified)}$

$$= \mathbb{C} \otimes (\text{Tensor}(V) / (v \otimes v - \langle v, v \rangle \cdot 1))$$

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Cl}(V) = 2^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V)}$$

Cl is a $\mathbb{Z}/2 = \{\text{even, odd}\}$ -graded C^* -algebra

$$\text{Cl}(V) \otimes \text{Cl}(W) \cong \text{Cl}(V \oplus W) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Likely} \\ (\alpha \otimes v)^* = -\bar{\alpha} \otimes v \end{array} \right)$$

Next need compact operators & the Hilbert space should vary nicely with G .

$H_V \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}/2} = \text{Hilbert space completion of } \text{Sym}(V_{\mathbb{C}})$
 $\cong L^2(V) \quad (\text{Joachim's version})$

$$\text{Sym}(V_{\mathbb{C}}) \otimes \text{Sym}(W_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \text{Sym}((V \oplus W)_{\mathbb{C}}) \text{ induces } H_V \hat{\otimes} H_W \cong H_{V \oplus W}$$

Def.: $s = C_0(\mathbb{R}) = \text{cont. complex valued functions on } \mathbb{R} \text{ vanishing at } \infty$
 (again $\mathbb{Z}/2$ graded by even/odd)

Def.: $KU(V) := \text{map}_{\mathbb{Z}/2, C^*}(s, H_V \otimes \text{Cl}(V))$

(Eigenvalues can cluster at 0, otherwise discrete)

\exists config space model (which looks unnatural?)

N.B. s is not commutative as a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded object.

N.B. Graded here means individual homogeneous parts
 NOT their direct sum.

What Stefan would like to do is to write down the Bott class and its inverse, & multiply them to get 1. Even non-equivariantly.

Next, product of KU and $KU^e \rightarrow KU$.

Multiplication: $s \xrightarrow{\Delta} s \otimes s$ exists; meaning slightly obscure.

Δ : morphism of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ graded C^* -algebras.

For $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})_{\text{even}}$

$$\Delta(f)(x, y) = \begin{cases} f(\sqrt{x^2+y^2}) & f \text{ even} \\ \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}} f(\sqrt{x^2+y^2}) & f \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Identify $s \otimes s \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$g \otimes h \mapsto (x, y) \mapsto g(x)h(y)$
 Not a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded iso: $s \otimes s$ is
 $\mathbb{Z}/2$ graded, odd-odd has a sign on left, not on right.

Comon & Coassoc!

(In the literature it is usually presented only on generating functions.)

$\mu_{v,w}: KU(v) \times KU(w) \longrightarrow KU(v \oplus w)$ is

$$C^*(s, H_v \otimes Cl(v)) \otimes C^*(s, H_w \otimes Cl(w)) \xrightarrow{\otimes}$$

$$C^*(s \otimes s, H_v \otimes Cl(v) \otimes H_w \otimes Cl(w)) \xrightarrow{\Delta^*}$$

$$C^*(s, H_{v \oplus w} \otimes Cl(v \oplus w))$$

This gives an ultra-commutative ring spectrum KU .



Thm (Joachim) Let G be a compact Lie group, V a faithful G -representation s.t. $\text{Sym}(V_G)$ is a complete universe ^(*)
 Then

$$\text{map}(S^V, KU(V)) \xrightarrow[G]{} \text{BUP}(U_G)$$

(paraphrase of Joachim's statement)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cor: } \pi_0^G(KU) &\cong [S^V, KU(V)]^G \quad \text{for } V \text{ large} \\ &\cong \pi_0^G \text{BUP} \\ &\cong R(G) \end{aligned}$$

Then, the map $R(G) \xrightarrow{\leftrightarrow} \pi_0^G(KU) \xrightarrow{\pi_0^G(j)} \pi_0^G(KU)$ must be an iso.

Def of the morphism of ultra-commutative ring spectra $KU \rightarrow KU$:
 (à la Peter Teichner)

$$KU(V) = C(S^V, \text{Sym}(V_G)) \longrightarrow KU(V) = C^*(S, H_V \otimes C(N))$$

This requires functional calculus:

you have a function on the spectrum of an operator & you produce the operator which has the effect on Spectrum.

$$f_C: S^V \longrightarrow C^*(S, C(L(V)))$$

is

$$f_C(V)(g) = \begin{cases} g(m) \cdot 1 & g \text{ even} \\ g(m) \cdot \frac{v}{|v|} & g \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Again only talk use 1 even & 1 odd function to generate & then say what the map is on these two only.
 Even: $\frac{1}{1+t^2}$
 Odd: $\frac{t}{1+t^2}$

(*) Is this automatic from the fact that V is faithful?
 Such are cofinal in any case.

Define $j(v) : ku(v) \longrightarrow KU(v)$ by

$$[v, \dots, v_n, E_1, \dots, E_n] \mapsto \left\{ g \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n P_{E_i} \otimes f_0(v_i)(g) \right\}$$

\uparrow
 proj onto E_i
 $\in H_v$

$\in cl(v)$
 functional calculus applied
 to mult by v

$O(V)$ - equivariance not too hard.

Well defined used $P_E + P_{E^\perp} = P_{E \oplus E^\perp}$ since they're orthogonal

Similarity of $\Delta(f)$ and f_0 makes the map multiplicative.

Unit

$$S^V \rightarrow ku(v)$$

$$v \mapsto [v, \mathbb{C}] \mapsto \{ g \mapsto P_C \otimes f_0(v)(g) \}$$

Note: we're landing in finite rank, not just compact, operators.

Intuitive picture: over S' we have Clifford submodules supported at a finite subset w/ symmetry: at t & $-t$ you get opposite subsets whose sum is a graded submodule. At 0 you have an actual grade Clifford module.
 At ∞ , new repr's appear.

- ① Real and unitary version ought to be possible
- ② Completion map is natural, but no help w/ proof
 Dress-Amitsur complex? / Real

Forget & Right adjoint

Snaith splitting $\Sigma_+^\infty P^c \xrightarrow{ku} KU$

$P^c(\mathbb{C}V) = P(\text{Sym } V_c)$ (avoids $C^\infty \oplus C^\infty \cong C^\infty$ problems,
 is a c.o.m.s. recall)

$$P = P^0 = B_{\text{ge}} \otimes U(1)$$

In literature \exists false equivariant claims of Snaith splitting.

$$P(\text{Sym}(V_c))_+ \wedge S^V \longrightarrow ku(V)$$

$$L \wedge v \longmapsto [v, L]$$

rank 1 part ($\dim L = 1$)

\exists rank filtration of $ku(v)$ being studied by...

Bott class

$$\beta \in \pi_2^e(\Sigma_+^\infty P) = \pi_2(\Sigma_+^\infty CP^\infty) \quad \text{classifies } \gamma_c - 1$$

↑ antological

$$\text{Snaith} \quad [\underbrace{\Sigma_+^\infty P}_{\text{Bott}}] [\beta^{-1}] \simeq KU$$

$$\text{localization } (\Sigma^\infty P \rightarrow S^2 \wedge \Sigma^\infty P \rightarrow S^4 \wedge \Sigma^\infty P \rightarrow \dots)$$

\exists universal property: approp ring spectrum inverting this elt.

Colim $\overset{\text{with}}{\rightsquigarrow}$ not create this in global setting.

If we have Norms & powers, we have to invert more than just one class, all its norms & powers as well.

Ostvær & Spitzweck

$$G = A \text{ abelian cpt Lie} \quad \Sigma_+^\infty P(U_A)[\gamma_\beta] \simeq KUA$$

Their proof would work if you inverted Bott classes for all irreducibles.

What should work globally?



Conj: $(\sum_{+}^{\infty} P) \left[\frac{1}{\beta_{\text{U}(1), c}} \right] \longrightarrow KU$

is an Ab -global equivalence

$\beta_{\text{U}(1), c}$ = Bott class of tautological $U(1)$ bundle

inverting in this category inverts all norms & powers, etc.

\exists such localization in this category

Expect on G f.p. it inverts all norms of all restrictions.

Another property: KU is right induced! (Lashof & May & ...?)

(*) $KU_G^*(X) \cong K_G^*(X \times E(G, \text{cyclic}))$ (characters determine reps)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} GH & \xrightleftharpoons[L]{R} & GH_{\text{cyclic}} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \curvearrowright \\ & R & \end{array}$$

says it is in image of R .
 Ab is closure of cyc under products

Conj would then give $KU \cong R_{\text{Ab}} \left(\sum_{+}^{\infty} P \left[\frac{1}{\beta_{\text{U}(1), c}} \right] \right)$
 as Real ultra comm ring spectra.

Extremely highly structured version of Snaith's theorem.

(*) Does HKR theory say higher chromatic theories are right induced?

— The End —