

Last time: $\mathcal{A}W^G$; obj: X finite pointed G -CW cxs
 $\{X, Y\}^G = \text{colim}_V [S^V \wedge X, S^V \wedge Y]^G = [S^V \wedge X, S^V \wedge Y]^G, V \gg 0$

Burn^G : obj: finite G -sets; $\text{Burn}^G(S, T) = \text{gp completion of the set of } S \xleftarrow{Y} \xrightarrow{T}$ correspondences

Thm: The transformation $S \mapsto S_+$ defines a functor $\text{Burn}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}W^G$, fully faithful.
 (Segal, tom Dieck)

Ex: This computes $\{S^0, S^0\}^G = \text{Burnside ring of } G$

today: examine the proof of this thm. - will see some tools along the way

Duality: \mathcal{C} a symmetric monoidal cat: tensor $\otimes: C \times C \rightarrow C$, unit $\mathbb{1}$
 - functorial isrs $(X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \cong X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$; $X \otimes \mathbb{1} \cong X$; $X \otimes Y \cong Y \otimes X$
 ex: (Vect, \otimes) ; $(\mathcal{A}W^G, \wedge)$; (Burn^G, \times)

an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is dualizable if $\exists Y \in \mathcal{C}$, maps $\eta: \mathbb{1} \rightarrow Y \otimes X$, $X \otimes Y \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes \mathbb{1} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X \otimes Y \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes Y} & Y \otimes X \otimes Y \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{1} \otimes X & & Y \otimes \mathbb{1} \end{array}$$

then $\mathcal{L}(X \otimes W, Z) \cong \mathcal{L}(W, Y \otimes Z)$; $\mathcal{L}(Y \otimes W, Z) \cong \mathcal{L}(W, X \otimes Z)$

ex: $\mathcal{C} = \text{Vect}$; dualizable = finite dim'l

note: initially seems like there's a choice of Y ; really determined up to unique iso/contractible choice
 $\mathcal{L}(X \otimes W, \mathbb{1}) \cong \mathcal{L}(W, Y)$ representable; check 'associ' composites

One nice feature of dualizable def'n:

prop: A symmetric monoidal functor preserves dualizability.

$\text{Burn}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}W^G$; $T \mapsto T_+$; where to send $f: U \xrightarrow{g} T$?

$\rightarrow S^V \wedge S_+ \rightarrow S^V \wedge T_+, V \gg 0$ - use Pontryagin-Thom construction

Choose an equivariant embedding $U \hookrightarrow V$ (ex: $V = \bigoplus_{u \in U} \mathbb{R}$, G acts on indices - perm rep'n of U)

gives $U \xrightarrow{f} V \times S$; do P-T collapse $\begin{array}{c} \circ \quad \circ \\ | \quad | \\ \circ \end{array} \xrightarrow{f} \bigcirc \mapsto S^V \wedge S_+ \rightarrow S^V \wedge U_+ \xrightarrow{f_+} S^V \wedge T_+$

point to check: compatibility with composition (forces comprehension of this construction)

- defines $\text{Burn}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{A}W^G$ functor

note: in Burn^G , every object is self-dual: $T \times T \xleftarrow{\Delta} T \rightarrow \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1} \xleftarrow{\Delta} T \xrightarrow{\Delta} T \times T$; check duality.
 - do need to check diagrams. (exercise!)

Cor: T_+ in $\mathcal{A}W^G$ is self-dual, by $T \mapsto T_+$ symm. mon. functor.

2 properties of $\mathcal{A}W^G$: satisfies stability: $\{K, Y\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{S^V \wedge X, S^V \wedge Y\}$ w/r/t rep'n spheres

② finite G -sets are self-dual.

① involves particular spaces - hard to move to other categories - special to topology

② seems more general: $\{S_+, X\}^G = \{S^0, S_+ \wedge X\}^G \Rightarrow \prod_{S \in S} X \xleftarrow{\sim} \bigvee_{S \in S} X$ - seems additive ($\oplus \simeq \prod$)

- equivariant version of additivity in $\mathcal{A}W^G$
 - G allowed to act on index set S .

- parallel to G -action on index sets of cells in G -CW-complexes - exploited in norm maps in MHR
 "Thm": Stability w/r/t ordinary spheres + self-duality of finite G -sets is equiv to S^V -stability

Exercise: in $\mathcal{A}W^G$ have duality maps: $T_+ \wedge T_+ \rightarrow S^0$, $S^0 \rightarrow T_+ \wedge T_+$; one is a map of spaces;

Proof that $\text{Burn}^G(S, T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{S_+, T_+\}^G$

① use duality to rewrite both sides: $\text{Burn}^G(S \times T, pt) \rightarrow \{S_+ \wedge T_+, S^0\}^G$ - reduces to $T = pt$.

both sides take $\#$ in S to \oplus ; reduce to $\text{Burn}^G(G/H, pt) \rightarrow \{G/H_+, S^0\}^G$ an iso.

$\{G/H_+, S^0\}^G = \text{colim} \{S^V \wedge G/H_+, S^V\}^G = \{S^V, S^V\}^H$

Lemma/exercise: same true for Burn: $\text{Burn}^G(G/H, Y) \simeq \text{Burn}^H(pt, Y)$ (part of adjunction; $S \mapsto G \times_H S$)

\rightarrow reduces to $S = T = pt$; $\text{Burn}^G(pt, pt) \rightarrow \{S^0, S^0\}^G$ an iso

induct on $|G|$: G -trivial: $\pi_n(S^0) = \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 1$.

Lemma: $\text{Burn}^G(pt, pt) \rightarrow \{S^0, S^0\}^G$ is injective; $\text{Burn}^G(pt, pt)$ - free abelian on set of transitive G -sets

$\rightarrow \bigoplus_{H \leq G} \mathbb{Z}\langle G/H \rangle$; $H \leq G$; $\{S^V, S^V\}^G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\text{inj}} \{S^V, S^V\}^G = \mathbb{Z}$

$\text{Burn}^G(G, *) \rightarrow \{S^0, S^0\}^G$

$\parallel \quad \downarrow$

$\bigoplus_{H \leq G} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod \mathbb{Z}$

- so check an epimorphism - uses key technique

special case of technique: $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$ first

$\mathbb{Z}/2 = S^0$, sign rep'n - in cofib seq $\mathbb{Z}/2_+ \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow S^0$

LFS: $\{S^0, \mathbb{Z}/2_+\} \rightarrow \{S^0, S^0\}^G \rightarrow \{S^0, S^0\}^G$

$\{G/H, S^0\} \xrightarrow{\text{inj}} \text{Burn}^G(pt, \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow \text{Burn}^G(pt, pt)$

$\{S^0, S^0\}$ (induct) - reduced to show \rightarrow onto.

$\{S^0, S^0\}^G = \text{colim} \{S^V, S^V \wedge S^0\}^G$; let $V_0 \subset V$ be the invariant space; restrict;

$\{S^V, S^V \wedge S^0\}^G \rightarrow \{S^{V_0}, S^V \wedge S^0\}^G = \{S^{V_0}, \underbrace{S^{V_0} \wedge S^0}_{\text{int part}}\} = \{S^{V_0}, S^{V_0}\} = \mathbb{Z} \leftarrow$ one \mathbb{Z} factor above.

next time = iso