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## Canonical Correlations with

Respect to a Complex Structure


## 1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a vector space of dimension $2 p$ over the field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}(N \geq 2 p)$ be identically distributed independent observations from a normal distribution with mean value 0 and unknown covariance $\Sigma$. That is, $\Sigma$ is a positive definite form on the dual space $E^{*}$ to $E$. The maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\Sigma}$ for $\Sigma$ is well-known to be given by

$$
\hat{\Sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left((x *, y *) \rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x *\left(x_{i}\right) y *\left(x_{i}\right) ; x^{*}, y * \in E^{*}\right)
$$

The distribution of $\hat{\Sigma}$ is the Wishart distribution on the set $p\left(E^{*}\right)_{r}$ of positive definite forms on $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ with N degrees of freedom and parameter $\frac{1}{N} \Sigma$. Suppose now that $E$ is also a vector space over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers such that the restriction to the subfield of real numbers in $\mathbb{C}$ is the original vector space structure on $E$ 。 The dimension of $E$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ is then $p$. The vector space $E^{*}$ is then also a vector space over the complex numbers under the definition $z x^{*}=x^{*} \circ \bar{z}=(x \rightarrow x *(\bar{z} x) ; x \in E), x^{*} \in E^{*}, z \in \mathbb{C}$. The set $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(E^{*}\right)_{r}=\left\{\Sigma \in P\left(E^{*}\right)_{r} \mid \Sigma\left(z x^{*}, y^{*}\right)=\Sigma\left(x^{*}, \bar{z} y^{*}\right), \forall x^{*}, y^{*} \in E^{*}, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}\right\}$ defines a nulhypothesis in the statistical model described above. The condition $\sum\left(z x^{*}, y^{*}\right)=\sum\left(x^{*}, \bar{z} y^{*}\right), \forall x^{*}, y^{*} \in E^{*}, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ is in Andersson [2] called the $\mathbb{C}$-property and in terms of matrices it has the formulation: For every basis $e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{p}^{*}$ for the complex vector space E* the matrix for a $\Sigma$ with the $\mathbb{C}$-property with respect to the basis $e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{p}^{*}, i e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, i e_{p}^{*}$ for the real vector space $E^{*}$ has the form


## 2. Representation of the maximal invariant

2.1. Lemma. Let $\Pi$ be a positive definite form on the $\mathbb{R}$-space $E$. Then there exists a basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}$ for the $\mathbb{C}$-space $F$ such that the $2 p \times 2 p$ real matrix for $\Pi$ with respect to $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}$, $i e_{1}, \ldots$, ie $e_{p}$ has the form

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
I & D_{\lambda}  \tag{2.1}\\
D_{\lambda} & I
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $I$ is the $p \times p$ identity matrix and
(2.2) $D_{\lambda}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right)$ with $1>\lambda_{1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$.

Furthermore, the matrix $D_{\lambda}$ is uniquely determined by $I I ;$ and if $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\ldots>\lambda_{p}>0$, then $\Pi$ also determines the basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}$ uniquely up to the sign of each basis vector.

Proof: Let $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{p}^{\prime}$ be a basis for the $\mathbb{C}$-space $E$ and let

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\Pi_{11} & \pi_{12} \\
\Pi_{12}^{\prime} & \Pi_{22}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

be the $2 p \times 2 p$ real matrix for $\Pi$ with respect to $e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{p}^{\prime}$, $i e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, i e_{p}^{\prime}$. The assertion is then that there exists a nonsingular complex $p \times p$ matrix $Z_{1}=A+i B$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
A^{\prime} & B^{\prime}  \tag{2.3}\\
-B^{\prime} & A^{\prime}
\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\Pi_{11} & \Pi_{12} \\
\Pi_{12}^{\prime} & \Pi_{22}
\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
A & -B \\
B & A
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
I & D_{\lambda} \\
D_{\lambda} & I
\end{array}\right\}
$$

the $v^{\prime}$ th row of $Y$ with $\exp \left[-i \theta_{v} / 2\right]$, where $d_{v}=\left|d_{v}\right| \exp \left[i \theta_{v}\right]$, $\nu=1, \ldots, p$, and call this new matrix for $Z$, we obtain (2.6) with $\lambda_{\nu}=\left|d_{V}\right|, \nu=1, \ldots, p$. Since $\Pi$ is positive definite, we have $1>\lambda_{1}>\ldots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$. The uniqueness follows from a rather elementary examination of the proof in [3] or from direct matrix calculation. Since every matrix of the form (2.1) with $1>\lambda_{1} \geq \ldots$ $\geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$ is positive definite it follows from Lemma (2.1) that the mapping from $p\left(E^{*}\right)_{r}$ onto $\Omega=\left\{\left(\lambda_{1} \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{p} \mid 1>\lambda_{1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0\right\}$ determined from Lemma 2.1 is a maximal invariant function.

## 3. Canonical correlations with respect to a complex structure.

## Interpretation.

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists a basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}$ for the $\mathbb{C}$-space $E$ such that the $2 p \times 2 p$ matrix for $\sum$ with respect to $e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{p}^{*}, ~ i e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, i e_{p}^{*}$ has the form (2.1). In (2.1) $D_{\lambda}$ is unique; and if $\lambda_{1}>\ldots>\lambda_{p}>0$, the basis $e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{p}^{*}$ for the $\mathbb{C}$-space $E^{*}$ is unique up to a sign for each element. $\lambda_{j}$ is called the $j$-th theoretical canonical correlation of $\sum$ with respect to the complex structure, and e* is called the $j$-th theoretical canonical linear form of $\sum$ with respect to the complex structure $j=1, \ldots, p$. Let $x \in E *$ have coordinates ( $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}$, $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{p}$ ) with respect to $e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{p}^{*}, i{ }_{1}^{*}, \ldots, i \underset{p}{*} . \quad$ Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum\left(x^{*}, x^{*}\right)=  \tag{3.1}\\
& \sum_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i} \beta_{i}^{2}+2 \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}  \tag{3.2}\\
& \sum\left(i x^{*}, i x *\right)= \\
& \sum_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i} \beta_{i}^{2}-2 \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \alpha_{i} \beta_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

4. The distribution of the empirical canonical correlations with respect to a complex structure.

The estimator $\hat{\Sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ for $\Sigma$ in the observations point $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ is given in the introduction. Suppose that $\sum \in P_{\mathbb{C}}(E *)_{r}$ and let $e_{1}^{*} \ldots e_{p}^{*}$ be a basis for $E^{*}$ such that the $2 p \times 2 p$ matrix for $\Sigma$ with respect to the basis $e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{p}^{*}, i e_{1}^{*} \ldots, e_{p}^{*}$ is the $2 p \times 2 p$ identity matrix. The distribution of $\Sigma$ in terms of matrices is a Wishart distribution with a representation as a density with respect to the restriction of the Lebesgue measure to all positive definite $2 p \times 2 p$ matrices $p\left(\Omega^{2 p}\right)_{r}$ as follows
(4.1) $\quad c \cdot|\operatorname{det} \Theta|^{(N-2 p-1) / 2} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\theta)\right\} d \theta, \theta \in P\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 p}\right)$.

The canonical correlations and linear forms (with respect to the complex structure) of $\hat{\Sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right)$ is called the empirical canonical correlations and linear forms with respect to the complex structure. The classical theory of canonical correlations is due to Hotelling [4]. We shall find the distribution of these. If we define $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ from the $2 p \times 2 p$ real matrix $\theta$, as in formula (2.5), we have a one-to-one and onto mapping between $P\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 p}\right)_{r}$ and $P\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{r} \times \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{p}}\right)$, where $P\left(C^{P}\right)_{r}$ respectively $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{C}^{P}\right)$ denotes the set of positive definite hermitian respectively symmetric $p \times p$ complex matrices, with Jacobian 1. Furthermore, $(2.6)$ defines a one-to-one mapping from $G L_{+}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{P}}\right) \times \Omega$ into $P\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{r} \times \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{p}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{GL}_{+}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{p}}\right)$ is the subset of all nonsingular $p \times p$ complex matrices with a positive real part in the first row and $\Omega=\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \mid 1>\lambda_{1}>\ldots>\lambda_{p}>0\right\}$.
on $\mathrm{GL}_{+}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{P}}\right) \times \Omega$. Integrating over $\mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{GL}_{+}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{p}}\right)$, we get the distribution of $f_{1}=\lambda_{1}^{2}, \ldots, f_{p}=\lambda_{p}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.c_{3} \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(1-f_{i}\right)(N-2 p-1) / 2 \prod_{i<j}^{\left(f_{i}\right.}-f_{j}\right) d f_{1}, \ldots, d f_{p} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\Omega=\left\{\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \mid 1>f_{1}>\ldots>f_{p}>0\right\}$. Formula (13) in
[l], p. 324, for $p_{1}=p, p_{2}=p+1$ and $N$ replaced by $N+2$ gives the normings constant $c_{3}$, namely,
(4.5)

$$
c_{3}=\Pi^{\frac{p}{2}}{\underset{i=1}{\Pi}}_{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(N-p+l-i)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(p+1-i)\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(p-i)+1\right)}^{\Gamma} .
$$
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