

**PREPRINT**

JUNE

1972

**7**

Steen Andersson

Invariant Hypotheses II

**UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN**  
**INSTITUTE OF**  
**MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS**

Steen Andersson

INVARIANT HYPOTHESES II

Preprint 1972 No. 7

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS  
UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

June 1972

Introduction.

In Andersson [1] we introduced the general canonical hypothesis and proved that all hypotheses defined by symmetries were general canonical. In this paper we shall show that every general canonical hypothesis (with mean-value 0) can be defined by symmetries. We suppose that the reader is familiar with [1].

1. Notation.

$\hat{R}$  is the real field.

$\hat{C}$  is the complex field.

$\hat{K}$  is the quaternion field.

$D$  is a field isomorphic with  $\hat{R}$ ,  $\hat{C}$  or  $\hat{K}$ .

A left [right]  $D$ -space means a left [right] vectorspace over  $D$  with finite dimension.

Let  $E$  be a left [right]  $D$ -space.  $E_0$  is the deduced  $\hat{R}$ -space.

$P_D(E)$  is the semivectorspace over  $\hat{R}_+$  of right [left] positive symmetrical sesquilinear definite functionals on  $E$ . If  $D \simeq \hat{R}$ , we set  $P_{\hat{R}}(E) = P(E)$ .

p.d.s.f. (p.d.f.) is an abbreviation of positive definite symmetrical sesquilinear functional (positive definite form). [1], § 3.

$GL(E)$  is the locally compact group of bijective  $D$ -linear mappings from  $E$  to  $E$ .  $1_E$  is the neutral element in  $GL(E)$ .

If  $f$  is a  $D$ -linear mapping,  $f_0$  denotes the  $\hat{R}$ -linear mapping deduced from  $f$ .

For  $B \in P_D(E)$ ,  $O(B)$  denotes the orthogonal group with respect to  $B$ .

$\hat{O}(B)$  is a maximal compact subgroup in  $GL(E)$ .  $B_0 = \text{Re}(B)$  is a p.d.f. on  $E_0$ . For definition and properties of the real tensorproduct of two p.d.s.f. see [1] § 12.

## 2. Reflexive subsemivectorspaces in $P(E)$ .

2.1. Let  $E$  be an  $\hat{R}$ -space. For  $\Sigma \subseteq P(E)$  we define

$$\hat{O}(\Sigma) = \{f \in GL(E) \mid \forall B \in \Sigma, \forall x, y \in E: B(f(x), f(y)) = B(x, y)\}.$$

Note that

$$\hat{O}(\Sigma) = \bigcap_{B \in \Sigma} \hat{O}(B).$$

$\hat{O}(\Sigma)$  is a compact group.

$$\Sigma_1 \subseteq \Sigma_2 (\subseteq P(E)) \Rightarrow \hat{O}(\Sigma_1) \supseteq \hat{O}(\Sigma_2)$$

$$\hat{O}(P(E)) = \{1_E, -1_E\}.$$

$$\hat{O}(\{\lambda_B \mid \lambda \in \hat{R}_+\}) = \gamma(B), B \in P(E).$$

2.2. For  $S \subseteq GL(E)$  a relatively compact subgroup we define

$$\hat{P}(S) = \{B \in P(E) \mid \forall f \in S, \forall x, y \in E: B(f(x), f(y)) = B(x, y)\}.$$

Note that

$\widehat{P}(S)$  is a subsemivectorspace in  $P(E)$ .

$$S_1 \subseteq S_2 (\subseteq GL(E)) \Rightarrow P(S_1) \supseteq P(S_2).$$

$$\widehat{P}(\{1_E, -1_E\}) = P(E).$$

2.3. Definition: A subset  $\Sigma \subseteq P(E)$  is reflexive if  $\widehat{P}(\widehat{O}(\Sigma)) = \Sigma$ .

A relatively compact subgroup  $S \subseteq GL(E)$  is reflexive if  $\widehat{O}(\widehat{P}(S)) = S$ .

2.4. Reflexive subsets in  $P(E)$  are subsemivectorspaces. Reflexive subgroups in  $GL(E)$  are compact.

$\{1_E, -1_E\}$  and  $P(E)$  are both reflexive.  $O(B)$  and  $\{\lambda B \mid \lambda \in \widehat{R}_+\}$  are both reflexive for  $B \in P(E)$ .

2.5. Proposition: Let  $\Sigma \subseteq P(E)$  and let  $S$  be a relatively compact subgroup in  $GL(E)$ . Then  $\widehat{O}(\Sigma)$  and  $\widehat{P}(S)$  are both reflexive.

Proof: Trivial.

2.6. Proposition: Let  $E$  be a left [right]  $D$ -space and let  $B \in P_D(E)$ . Then  $O(B)_0$  is a compact irreducible subgroup of  $O(B_0)$  of type  $D$  in  $E_0$ .  $\widehat{P}(O(B)_0) = \widehat{P}(O(B_0))$  and  $O(B)_0$  is a maximal element in the class of relatively compact subgroups of  $GL(E_0)$  of type  $D$ .

Proof: Suppose that  $O(B)_0$  is reducible and  $D \simeq \widehat{K}$ . Let  $F \subseteq E_0$  be a non-

trivial  $\hat{R}$ -subspace invariant under  $O(B)_0$ . If  $1, i, j$ , and  $k$  is a natural basis for  $\hat{K}$ , we have  $E_0 = F \oplus_{\hat{R}} iF \oplus_{\hat{R}} jF \oplus_{\hat{R}} kF$ , since  $O(B)$  is irreducible in  $E$ . From this it follows that we can choose a  $\hat{K}$ -basis, ( $\hat{R}$ -basis for  $F$ ) such that  $O(B)_0$  can be described by real matrices in  $E$ . This is impossible, and we therefore have  $O(B)_0$  irreducible in  $E_0$ . Since the elements in  $O(B)_0$  are  $\hat{K}$ -linear,  $O(B)_0$  is of type  $\hat{K}$ . The case  $D \simeq \hat{C}$  is analogous and  $D \simeq \hat{R}$  is trivial  $\hat{P}(O(B)_0) = \hat{P}(O(B_0))$  follows from [1] prop. 13.6. Let  $O(B)_0 \subseteq O_1 \subseteq GL(E_0)$ , where  $O_1$  is a relatively compact subgroup (trivially irreducible) of type  $D$ . Then the elements in  $O_1$  are also  $D$ -linear. The set of p.d.s.f. on  $E$  invariant under  $O_1$  is a non-empty subsemivectorspace of the semivectorspace  $\{\lambda B \mid \lambda \in \hat{R}_+\}$ . Therefore  $O_1 = O(B)$ .

2.7. Let  $E_1, E_2$  be  $\hat{R}$ -spaces. For  $\Sigma_1 \subseteq P(E_1), \Sigma_2 \subseteq P(E_2), S_1, S_2$  relatively compact subgroups in  $GL(E_1)$  and  $GL(E_2)$  respectively. We define

$$\Sigma_1 \oplus \Sigma_2 = \{B_1 \oplus B_2 \in P(E_1 \oplus E_2) \mid B_1 \in \Sigma_1, B_2 \in \Sigma_2\}.$$

$$S_1 \times S_2 = \{g_1 \oplus g_2 \in GL(E_1 \oplus E_2) \mid g_1 \in S_1, g_2 \in S_2\}.$$

We have

$$\hat{O}(\Sigma_1 \oplus \Sigma_2) \supseteq \hat{O}(\Sigma_1) \times \hat{O}(\Sigma_2) \quad (*)$$

$$\hat{P}(S_1 \times S_2) \supseteq \hat{P}(S_1) \oplus \hat{P}(S_2) \quad (**).$$

2.8. Proposition: If  $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2(S_1, S_2)$  are reflexive, then (\*) ((\*\*)) are equalities.

Proof: Trivial.

2.9. Proposition: Let  $H$  be a right,  $F$  a left  $D$ -space. For  $B \in P_D(F)$  we define

$$P_D(H) \tilde{\otimes}_D B = \{A \tilde{\otimes}_D B \in P_{\hat{R}}((H \otimes_D F)_0) \mid A \in P_D(H)\}.$$

It follows that  $P_D(H) \tilde{\otimes}_D B$  is reflexive and

$$\hat{O}(P_D(H) \tilde{\otimes}_D B) = \begin{cases} 1_H \otimes_D O(B), & \text{if } \dim_D(H) \geq 2. \\ O(B_0), & \text{if } \dim_D(H) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof: The last equation is trivial. Since  $\hat{P}(1_H \otimes_D O(B)) = P_D(H) \tilde{\otimes}_D B$ , ([1], prop. 13.9)  $P_D(H) \tilde{\otimes}_D B$  is reflexive after 2.5.  $\hat{O}(P_D(H) \tilde{\otimes}_D B)$  must be of the form  $1_H \otimes_D O$  ( $\dim_D H \geq 2$ ), where  $O_0$  is irreducible and of type  $D$  ([1] prop. 13.9 and 2.5). Since  $1_H \otimes_D O(B) \subseteq \hat{O}(P_D(H) \tilde{\otimes}_D B)$  and  $O(B)_0$  is maximal (2.6) the first equation follows.

2.10. Theorem: Let  $E$  be an  $\hat{R}$ -space and  $\Sigma \subseteq P(E)$ . Then  $\Sigma$  is reflexive if and only if there exist (unique) decompositions of  $E$  and  $S$  of the following form:

$$E = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (H_i \otimes_{D_i} F_i)_0$$

$$\Sigma = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (P_{D_i}(H_i) \tilde{\otimes}_{D_i} B_i),$$

where  $D_i \cong \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $H_i$  is a right,  $F_i$  a left  $D_i$ -space and  $B_i$  a right p.d.s.f. on  $F_i$ .

In that case  $\hat{O}(\Sigma)$  can be obtained from 2.8 and 2.9.

Proof: Follows 2.8, 2.9, and [1], prop. 13.10.

### 3. The general canonical hypothesis.

3.1. Theorem 2.10 shows that a hypothesis in the variance in a multi-dimensional normal distribution with mean-value 0 given by a subset  $\Sigma \subseteq P(E^*)$  (see [1] § 8) is reflexive if and only if the hypothesis is general canonical.

In that case the hypothesis can be given by a (group) symmetry.

Let  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} (B_i \otimes_{D_i} P_{D_i}(H_i^*)) \subseteq P(E^*)$  ([1], § 8) be a general canonical hypothesis. It is natural to choose the symmetry as follows:

$G = \prod_{i \in I} G_i$ , where

$$G_i = \begin{cases} O((B_i)_0), & \text{if } \dim_{D_i}(H_i) = 1. \\ O(B_i)_0, & \text{if } \dim_{D_i}(H_i) \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and  $\prod((g_i)_{i \in I}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (g_i \otimes_{D_i} 1_{H_i^*})$ .

3.2. Note that the set of canonical hypothesis in the sense of [2] (mean-value 0) is precisely those hypothesis which can be obtained by a direct sum of symmetries of type  $\mathbb{R}$  and multiplicityfree symmetries.



5-18-100-3\*

References.

- [1] Andersson, S.: Invariant Hypotheses I. Preprint No. 6, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen 1972.
- [2] Brøns, H., Henningsen, I., and Jensen, S.T.: A Canonical Hypothesis in the Multidimensional Normal Distribution. Preprint No. 7, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen 1971.

/at