

PREPRINT

OCT

1972

10

Søren Johansen

The Imbedding Problem
for Finite Markov Chains IV

**UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN
INSTITUTE OF
MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS**

Søren Johansen

THE BANG-BANG PROBLEM FOR STOCHASTIC MATRICES.

Preprint 1972 No. 10

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN

October 1972

1. Introduction and Summary

We shall consider the imbedding problem for $n \times n$ stochastic matrices. Such a matrix is called imbeddable if it can occur as transition probability matrix for a time continuous Markov process with n states.

It is proved that any matrix which is in the interior of the set of imbeddable matrices admits a representation as a finite product of exponentials of extreme intensity matrices.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of stochastic $n \times n$ matrices and \mathcal{Q} the set of $n \times n$ intensity matrices normalized such that $\text{tr}Q = -1$, $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then P is imbeddable if there exist a null set N and a measurable function $Q(\cdot) : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ such that the solution $P(\cdot)$ of the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt}P(t) = P(t)Q(t), \quad t \notin N$$

$$(1.2) \quad P(0) = I$$

satisfies the condition

$$(1.3) \quad P(1) = P.$$

For a proof of this see [1] or [2].

Notice that \mathcal{Q} is a convex compact set and that the extreme points of \mathcal{Q} are characterized by the condition that exactly one off diagonal element is 1.

We shall investigate the role of the extreme intensity-matrices. If $Q(t) = Q$, then the solution to (1.1) and (1.2) is

$$P(t) = e^{tQ}$$

which is called a Poisson matrix when Q is extreme, see [2]. Let A denote the set of matrices which are finite products of Poisson matrices.

It was proved in [2] that A is dense in \mathcal{M} . In this paper we shall investigate further properties of A . We can prove that $\exp tQ \in A$, $Q \in \text{int } Q$ (Corollary 2.2), that A is starshaped (Corollary 2.6), and that $\text{int } \mathcal{M} \subset A$, (Theorem 2.2).

The problem of representing an imbeddable matrix as a finite product of Poisson matrices is known as the Bang-Bang problem in control theory: one can reach P by switching abruptly between extreme controllers Q a finite number of times. We therefore say that P admits a Bang-Bang representation.

The problem is also related to the representation of infinitely divisible and infinitely factorizable probability measures on finite semigroups, see [2] and in this sense the Bang-Bang problem is a generalization of the Lévy-Khinchin representation.

2. Main Results

The basic tool we shall use is a lemma which follows from the Brouwer fixed-point theorem, see Lee and Markus [1] p.251.

2.1 Lemma. Let f be a continuous map from a compact convex set B having interior points in R^N , into the space R^N . Let P_0 be a point interior to B and assume

$$(2.1) \quad ||f(P) - P|| < ||P - P_0||$$

for all $P \in B$, then the image $f(B)$ covers P_0 .

We shall apply this lemma to the compact convex set

$$(2.2) \quad B_\epsilon = \{P \mid ||P - I|| \leq 2\epsilon\},$$

where

$$||P - I|| = \sup_i \sum_j |p_{ij} - \delta_{ij}| = 2 \sup_i (1 - p_{ii}).$$

Notice that

$$\partial B_\epsilon = B_1 \cup B_2$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad B_1 = \{P \mid \exists (i,j) : p_{ij} = 0\},$$

$$(2.4) \quad B_2 = \{P \mid \exists_i : p_{ii} = 1 - \epsilon\}.$$

The function f is defined by

$$(2.5) \quad f(P) = \prod_{i \neq j} e^{p_{ij} Q_{ij}}$$

where Q_{ij} is the extreme intensity matrix with (i,j) 'th ele-

ment equal to 1. The order in which the multiplication is performed will be fixed throughout.

2.2 Lemma. For any $P \in \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$ we have

$$(2.6) \quad ||f(P)-P|| \leq 2(n\epsilon)^2 e^{2n\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Since

$$P = I + \sum_{i \neq j} p_{ij} Q_{ij}$$

we get

$$||f(P)-P|| = || \prod_{i \neq j} e^{p_{ij} Q_{ij}} - I - \sum_{i \neq j} p_{ij} Q_{ij} ||.$$

Using an elementary inequality for products of exponentials, see [2], we get that

$$\begin{aligned} ||f(P)-P|| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i \neq j} p_{ij} ||Q_{ij}|| \right)^2 \exp \left(\sum_{i \neq j} p_{ij} ||Q_{ij}|| \right) \\ &\leq 2(n\epsilon)^2 e^{2n\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$||Q_{ij}|| = 2, \quad i \neq j$$

and

$$\sum_{i \neq j} p_{ij} = \sum_i (1-p_{ii}) \leq n \sup_i (1-p_{ii}) \leq n\epsilon.$$

The main idea in using Lemma 2.1 is now that from (2.6) it follows that the left-hand side of (2.1) decreases with ϵ^2 , where as by keeping P_0 in the interior of \mathcal{B}_ϵ and restricting P to \mathcal{B}_1 or \mathcal{B}_2 , the right-hand side of (2.1) will decrease with ϵ and hence (2.1) will be satisfied for ϵ sufficiently small.

Let us define

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{P}(p) = \{P \mid p_{ij} \geq p\}, \quad 0 < p < \frac{1}{n}.$$

2.3 Proposition. Let p be fixed, then for ϵ sufficiently small, the matrix

$$P_0 = (1-\epsilon)I + \epsilon R, \quad R \in \mathcal{P}(p)$$

admits a representation of the form

$$\prod_{i \neq j} e^{P_{ij} Q_{ij}}$$

for some stochastic matrix $P = (p_{ij})$ such that $\|I-P\| \leq 2\epsilon$.

Proof. Since

$$\|P_0 - I\| = \epsilon \|R - I\| \leq 2\epsilon(1-p) < 2\epsilon.$$

and since P_0 is interior to \mathcal{P} we have that $P_0 \in \text{int} \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$.

Now let $P \in \mathcal{B}_1$, and let $p_{ij} = 0$, then

$$(2.8) \quad \|P - P_0\| \geq |p_{ij} - p_{ij}^{(0)}| = \epsilon r_{ij} \geq \epsilon p.$$

If $P \in \mathcal{B}_2$ and $p_{ii} = 1 - \epsilon$, then we evaluate

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P - P_0\| &\geq |p_{ii} - p_{ii}^{(0)}| \\ &= |1-\epsilon - (1-\epsilon) - \epsilon r_{ii}| \geq \epsilon p. \end{aligned}$$

Let now ϵ be chosen so small that

$$(2.10) \quad 2(n\epsilon)^2 e^{2n\epsilon} < \epsilon p$$

then we can combine (2.6), (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10) to see that Lemma 2.1 can be applied and that we can represent P_0 in the form $f(P)$ for some $P \in \mathcal{B}_\epsilon$.

2.4 Corollary.

$$P = e^{tQ} \in \mathcal{A}, \quad Q \in \text{int} \mathcal{Q}.$$

Proof. Let us define the stochastic matrix R by

$$Q = R - I$$

then

$$P = (P_k)^k = (\exp(k^{-1}t(R-I)))^k$$

and

$$P_k = I + tk^{-1}(R-I) + B$$

where

$$\|B\| \leq \frac{1}{2}k^{-2} \|tQ\|^2 \exp(k^{-1} \|tQ\|).$$

Rewriting this we obtain

$$P_k = (1 - tk^{-1})I + tk^{-1}(R + kt^{-1}B).$$

Now fix $p = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{i,j} r_{ij}$ then $p > 0$ and for k sufficiently large

$$(R + kt^{-1}B) \in \mathcal{P}(p).$$

Now choose k so large that Proposition 2.3 can be applied with $\epsilon = tk^{-1}$. We then get the existence of a stochastic matrix $P^{(k)}$ such that

$$P_k = \prod_{i \neq j} e^{p_{ij}^{(k)} Q_{ij}}$$

It follows that

$$P = (P_k)^k = \left(\prod_{i \neq j} e^{p_{ij}^{(k)} Q_{ij}} \right)^k$$

which proves that $P \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let now M be the matrix with entries n^{-1} .

2.5 Corollary.

$$(1-\epsilon)I + \epsilon M \in \mathcal{A}, \quad 0 \leq \epsilon < 1.$$

Proof. We define

$$Q = (M-I)(-\ln(1-\epsilon))$$

then $q_{ij} > 0$ and

$$e^Q = (1-\epsilon)I + \epsilon M$$

and we can apply Corollary 2.4.

2.6 Corollary. The set \mathcal{A} is starshaped around M .

Proof. Let $P \in \mathcal{A}$, then since \mathcal{A} is a semigroup we can apply Corollary 2.5 and get that

$$P e^{(M-I)(-\ln(1-\epsilon))} = (1-\epsilon)P + \epsilon M \in \mathcal{A}, \quad 0 \leq \epsilon < 1.$$

We shall now prove that the interior of \mathcal{M} has a Bang-Bang representation. The idea here is that the Bang-Bang matrices are dense in \mathcal{M} and that each such matrix P is followed by a small "cone shaped" region $Pf(\mathcal{B}_\epsilon)$ of Bang-Bang matrices which point towards M .

Hence any matrix P_0 in the interior of \mathcal{M} will be surrounded by Bang-Bang matrices and it is proved that one of these will be behind P_0 (as viewed from M) in such a way that its "cone" covers P_0 .

2.7 Theorem. Any imbeddable matrix in the interior of \mathcal{M} has a representation as a finite product of exponentials of extre-

me intensities or

$$(2.11) \quad \text{int } \mathcal{M} \subset A.$$

Proof. From Proposition 2.3 it follows that for $0 < p < n^{-1}$, the open set

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{K} = \{P \mid P = (1-\alpha)I + \alpha R, 0 < \alpha \leq \varepsilon, r_{ij} > p\}$$

is contained in A for ε sufficiently small.

Notice for $P \in A$ we have

$$P \mathcal{K} \subset A.$$

Now fix $P_0 \in \text{int } \mathcal{M}$ and consider the set of matrices in \mathcal{P} from which P_0 can be reached by a matrix in \mathcal{K} ,

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{O} = \{P \mid P \mathcal{K} \ni P_0\}.$$

It is easily seen that

$$\mathcal{O} \subset \{P \mid \text{Det } P > 0\}$$

and since the function $P \rightarrow P^{-1}P_0$ is continuous and \mathcal{K} is open it follows that

$$\mathcal{O} = \{P \mid P^{-1}P_0 \in \mathcal{K}\} = P_0 \mathcal{K}^{-1}$$

is open.

Now let \mathcal{N} be a neighbourhood of P_0 such that

$$\mathcal{N} \subset \text{int } \mathcal{M}$$

Let $\phi > 0$ chosen so small that

$$P_{-\phi} = (1+\phi)P_0 - \phi M \in \mathcal{N},$$

then P_0 is on the line segment from M to $P_{-\phi}$. Since \mathcal{K} contains

the open line segment

$$]I, (1-\epsilon)I + \epsilon M[$$

it follows that one can reach P_0 from $P_{-\phi}$ using a matrix in \mathcal{K} for ϕ sufficiently small. Hence

$$P_{-\phi} \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Now the set $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{O}$ is a non-empty open subset of $\text{int } \mathcal{M}$ and hence contains a matrix P_1 from \mathcal{A} , which was dense in \mathcal{M} . But then we can reach P_1 using a Bang-Bang controller, since $P_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ and we can reach P_0 from P_1 using a Bang-Bang matrix from \mathcal{K} , since $P_1 \in \mathcal{O}$. Hence $P_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ as was to be proved.

3. Acknowledgements.

The author wishes to thank Steffen L. Lauritzen for helpful discussions.

3. References.

- [1] Goodman, G.S.: An intrinsic time for non-stationary finite Markov chains.
Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete
16 (1970), 165-180.
- [2] Johansen, S.: A central limit theorem for finite semi-groups and its application to the imbedding problem for finite state Markov chains. Preprint, University of Copenhagen and Imperial College (1972).
- [3] Lee, E.B. and Markus, L.: Foundations of Optimal Control Theory. John Wiley: New York (1968).7