

## GEOM2, 2013-14. Extra Notes

Let  $1 \leq k < n$ . The *Grassmannian*  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$  is the set of all  $k$ -dimensional linear subspaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It generalizes the projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^{n-1} = \text{Gr}_{n,1}$ . We will show that  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$  can be equipped with the structure of an  $(n-k)k$ -dimensional differentiable manifold.

We first need a way to identify the elements of  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$ . A subspace of dimension  $k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be identified by means of a basis consisting of  $k$  linearly independent vectors from the subspace. We view these vectors as columns and collect them in an  $n \times k$  matrix. Let  $M_{n,k}$  denote the vector space of all  $n \times k$  matrices, and  $M'_{n,k}$  the subset of matrices with independent columns. For  $B \in M_{n,k}$  let  $\text{Sp}(B) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  denote the linear space spanned by the columns of  $B$ . That is, it is the image

$$(1) \quad \text{Sp}(B) = \{Bx \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$$

of the linear map  $\mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  represented by  $B$ . We thus obtain a surjective map  $\text{Sp}: M'_{n,k} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,k}$ .

The atlas with which we shall equip  $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$  consists charts which are all constructed by means of this map. They are all defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{(n-k)k}$ , which we shall identify with  $M_{n-k,k}$ . For  $A \in M_{n-k,k}$  we let

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} I \\ A \end{pmatrix} \in M'_{n,k},$$

the  $n \times k$  matrix with a  $k \times k$  unit matrix on top of  $A$ . The unit matrix in the top ensures the linear independence of the columns. We define  $\sigma(A) = \text{Sp}(\tilde{A})$  and more generally

$$\sigma_g: M_{n-k,k} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,k}, \quad \sigma_g(A) = \text{Sp}(g\tilde{A}).$$

for each  $g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Note that  $g\tilde{A} \in M'_{n,k}$  as  $\tilde{A} \in M'_{n-k,k}$  and  $g$  is invertible.

**Theorem 1.** *There exists on  $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$  a topology and a differential structure for which the collection of maps  $\{\sigma_g \mid g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})\}$  is an atlas.*

*Proof.* We first equip  $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$  with a topology. Here we use the quotient topology with respect to the map  $\text{Sp}: M'_{n,k} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,k}$ , that is, we define a subset of  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$  to be open if and only if its preimage in  $M'_{n,k}$  is open. The axioms of a topological space are easily verified. Note that  $M'_{n,k}$  is an open subset of  $M_{n,k}$  as we can represent it by

$$M'_{n,k} = \{B \in M_{n,k} \mid \det(B^t B) \neq 0\},$$

and  $B \mapsto \det(B^t B)$  is a continuous map. The Hausdorff axiom for  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$  will be verified later.

Let  $G = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ . In the proof we shall use the natural *action* of  $G$  on  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$ , defined as follows. For every matrix  $g \in G$  and subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  we define a subspace  $g.V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$(2) \quad g.V = \{gu \mid u \in V\}.$$

Since  $g$  is invertible,  $V$  and  $g.V$  have the same dimension. The resulting map

$$G \times \text{Gr}_{n,k} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,k}, \quad (g, V) \mapsto g.V$$

is the mentioned action. The relation  $g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot V) = (g_1 g_2) \cdot V$  for  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  is easily seen. Note also that (1) and (2) imply

$$(3) \quad g \cdot \text{Sp}(B) = \text{Sp}(gB)$$

for  $g \in G$  and  $B \in M_{n,k}$ . In particular, since multiplication by  $g$  is continuous  $M_{n,k} \rightarrow M_{n,k}$  it follows from (3) and the definition of the topology that  $V \mapsto g \cdot V$  is continuous  $\text{Gr}_{n,k} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,k}$ . In fact, then it is a homeomorphism since the inverse  $V \mapsto g^{-1} \cdot V$  is also continuous.

We now study the proposed charts  $\sigma_g$ . Let  $g \in G = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  and note that  $\sigma_g(A) = g \cdot \sigma(A)$ . The map  $\sigma$  is continuous, being composed by the continuous maps  $A \mapsto \tilde{A}$  and  $\text{Sp}$ . Hence  $\sigma_g$  is continuous as well.

Our next aim is to show  $\sigma_g$  is injective. It suffices to show  $\sigma$  is injective, since multiplication by  $g$  is injective. We shall employ the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $B, B' \in M'_{n,k}$ . Then  $\text{Sp}(B) = \text{Sp}(B')$  if and only if there exists  $C \in \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $B' = BC$ .*

*Proof.* The equation  $B' = BC$  implies the image  $\text{Sp}(B')$  of  $B'$  is contained in the image  $\text{Sp}(B)$  of  $B$ . The opposite inclusion follows from the equation  $B = B'C^{-1}$ .

Conversely, if  $\text{Sp}(B') = \text{Sp}(B)$  then each column of  $B'$  is in  $\text{Sp}(B)$ , hence can be expressed as a linear combination of the columns of  $B$ . Inserting the coefficients of these linear combinations in a  $k \times k$  matrix  $C$  we find  $B' = BC$ . If the rank of  $C$  was smaller than  $k$  then the rank of  $BC$  would also be smaller than  $k$ . Since  $B'$  has rank  $k$ , the rank of  $C$  must be  $k$ . Hence  $C$  is invertible.  $\square \square$

We return to the proof that  $\sigma$  is injective. Let  $A, A' \in M_{n-k,k}$  and assume  $\sigma(A') = \sigma(A)$ , that is,  $\text{Sp}(\tilde{A}') = \text{Sp}(\tilde{A})$ . According to Lemma 1 there exists  $C \in \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\tilde{A}' = \tilde{A}C$ . The first  $k$  rows of this matrix equation express that  $I = C$  and the remaining rows then express that  $A' = A$ . Hence the map is injective.

Next we want to study the inverse of  $\sigma_g$ , which is defined on its image set  $\sigma_g(M_{n-k,k})$ . We want to show that this is an open subset of  $\text{Gr}_{k,n}$  and that  $\sigma_g^{-1}$  is continuous into  $M_{n-k,k}$ . Again it suffices to consider  $g = I$ , as the multiplication by  $g$  is a homeomorphism of  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$ . We claim

$$(4) \quad \sigma(M_{n-k,k}) = \left\{ \text{Sp} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix} \mid C \in \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R}), D \in M_{n-k,k} \right\},$$

and with  $C, D$  as in (4),

$$(5) \quad \text{Sp} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix} = \sigma(DC^{-1}).$$

The inclusion  $\subset$  in (4) is obvious from the definition of  $\sigma$ . The equality (5) follow from Lemma 1, and it implies  $\supset$  in (4).

With (4) and Lemma 1 we conclude

$$\text{Sp}^{-1}(\sigma(M_{n-k,k})) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix} \mid C \in \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R}), D \in M_{n-k,k} \right\},$$

which is open in  $M_{n,k}$ . From (5) we find

$$(6) \quad \sigma^{-1} \left( \text{Sp} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix} \right) = DC^{-1},$$

which shows that  $\sigma^{-1} \circ \text{Sp}$  is continuous. This implies that  $\sigma^{-1}$  is continuous.

We have now shown that each of the proposed charts  $\sigma_g$  is a homeomorphism onto an open set. In order to see that every point of  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$  lies in some chart, we shall use that the action of  $G = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  is *transitive*, by which we understand that for any pair of elements  $V, V' \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $V' = g.V$ . This can be seen by choosing a basis  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  belong to  $V$ , and likewise a basis  $u'_1, \dots, u'_n$  such that  $u'_1, \dots, u'_k$  belong to  $V'$ . The linear transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to itself, which maps  $u_j$  to  $u'_j$  for all  $j$ , is invertible and maps  $V$  to  $V'$ . Hence the action is transitive. In particular, let

$$V_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_{k+1} = \dots = x_n = 0\} = \sigma(0),$$

then there exists for every  $V \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}$  an element  $g \in G$  such that  $V = g.V_0$ . It follows that  $V = \sigma_g(0)$ . Hence the proposed atlas covers all of  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$ .

Next we want to show the topology is Hausdorff. We shall employ the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** *The image of  $\sigma$  is*

$$\sigma(M_{n-k,k}) = \{V \in \text{Gr}_{k,n} \mid V \cap W_0 = \{0\}\}$$

where  $W_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is the subspace  $W_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1 = \dots = x_k = 0\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \in M_{n-k,k}$ , then every nontrivial linear combination of the columns of  $\tilde{A}$  must be non-zero in at least one of its first  $k$  coordinates. Hence  $\sigma(A) \cap W_0 = \{0\}$ .

Conversely, let  $V \in \text{Gr}_{n-k,k}$  and assume  $V \cap W_0 = \{0\}$ . Let  $V = \text{Sp}(B)$  where  $B \in M'_{n,k}$  and write

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $C \in M_{k,k}$  and  $D \in M_{n-k,k}$ . Then (4) implies  $V \in \sigma(M_{n-k,k})$  provided we show that  $C$  is invertible. If  $C$  was not invertible, there would exist a non-zero vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$  with  $Cx = 0$ . The vector  $Bx$  would be zero in its first  $k$  coordinates, that is,  $Bx \in W_0$ , and from (1) and  $V \cap W_0 = \{0\}$  we would then deduce that  $Bx = 0$ . Since  $x \neq 0$  this would contradict that  $B$  has independent columns.  $\square$

It follows that

$$(7) \quad \sigma_g(M_{n-k,k}) = \{V \in \text{Gr}_{k,n} \mid V \cap g.W_0 = \{0\}\}$$

for all  $g \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ , where  $W_0$  is as above.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $V_1, V_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be two arbitrary  $k$ -dimensional subspaces. Then there exist a  $n - k$ -dimensional subspace  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $V_1 \cap W = V_2 \cap W = \{0\}$*

*Proof.* We may assume  $k < n$ . We claim that there exists a vector  $v$  outside of  $V_1 \cup V_2$ . This is clear if  $V_1 = V_2$ . Otherwise, if  $V_1 \neq V_2$  there exists a vector  $u_1 \in$

$V_1 \setminus V_2$  and also a vector  $u_2 \in V_2 \setminus V_1$ . Now any linear combination  $v = a_1 u_1 + b_2 u_2$ , with  $a$  and  $b$  both  $\neq 0$ , lies outside both subspaces, and the claim is established.

We will describe a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_{n-k}$  for  $W$ . The first vector  $v_1$  is the vector  $v$  of the just proved claim. Next we apply the claim (for  $k$  one higher) to the two subspaces  $V_1 + \mathbb{R}v$  and  $V_2 + \mathbb{R}v$ , and find a vector  $v_2$  in neither space. After  $n - k$  such steps we reach  $W$ .  $\square$

We return to the proof of the Hausdorff property. Let  $V_1, V_2 \in \text{Gr}_{n,k}$  be given, and let  $W$  be as in the preceding lemma. Since  $G$  acts transitively on  $\text{Gr}_{n,n-k}$  there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $g.W_0 = W$ , and hence by (7) both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  belong to the image of  $\sigma_g$ . Since  $\sigma_g$  is a homeomorphism its image is Hausdorff. If  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are not equal, they can thus be separated by open sets inside  $\sigma_g(M_{n-k,k})$ , hence also in  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$ . This establishes the Hausdorff property for the Grassmannian.

Finally we show that  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$  is a differentiable manifold. It only remains to show that  $\sigma_{g_2}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{g_1}$  is smooth for all pairs of elements  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . Note that  $\sigma_{g_2}^{-1} \circ \sigma_{g_1} = \sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma_g$  where  $g = g_2^{-1} g_1$ . It follows from (5) that  $\sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma_g(A) = DC^{-1}$  where  $C$  and  $D$  are determined from  $g\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ D \end{pmatrix}$ . The entries of  $C$  and  $D$  depend smoothly (in fact, linearly) on the entries of  $A$ . The entries of  $C^{-1}$  depend smoothly on the entries of  $C$  because  $\text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$  is a Lie group. Finally the product of  $D$  and  $C^{-1}$  is smooth (again in fact linear) with respect to the entries of  $D$  and  $C^{-1}$ . Hence  $\sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma_g$  is a smooth map. We conclude that the proposed charts comprise an atlas.  $\square$

**Exercise:** Prove that  $\text{Sp}$  is a smooth map from  $M'_{n,k}$  to  $\text{Gr}_{n,k}$ . Use this to show that the action  $(g, V) \mapsto g.V$  is smooth  $G \times \text{Gr}_{n,k} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{n,k}$ .