

Infinite non-simple C^* -algebras: absorbing the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_∞

Eberhard Kirchberg* and Mikael Rørdam*

Abstract

The first named author has in [13] given a classification of all separable, nuclear C^* -algebras A that absorb the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_∞ . (We say that A absorbs \mathcal{O}_∞ if A is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$.) Motivated by this classification we investigate here if one can give an intrinsic characterization of C^* -algebras that absorb \mathcal{O}_∞ . This investigation leads us to three different notions of pure infiniteness of a C^* -algebra, all given in terms of local, algebraic conditions on the C^* -algebra.

The strongest of the three properties, *strongly purely infinite*, is shown to be equivalent to absorbing \mathcal{O}_∞ for separable, nuclear C^* -algebras that either are stable or have an approximate unit consisting of projections. In a previous paper, [16], we studied an intermediate, and perhaps more natural, condition: *purely infinite*, that extends a well known property for simple C^* -algebras. The weakest condition of the three, *weakly purely infinite*, is shown to be equivalent to the absence of quasitraces in an ultrapower of the C^* -algebra. The three conditions may be equivalent for all C^* -algebras, and we prove this to be the case for C^* -algebras that are either simple, of real rank zero, or approximately divisible.

*) Research at MSRI is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-9701755.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	4
3	Purely infinite C^*-algebras	9
4	Weakly purely infinite C^*-algebras	11
5	Strongly purely infinite C^*-algebras	24
6	Purely infinite C^*-algebras of real rank zero	33
7	Approximately inner completely positive contraction on strongly purely infinite C^*-algebras	38
8	Tensor products with \mathcal{O}_∞	62
9	Summary and open problems	68
	References	72

1 Introduction

It is well known that each von Neumann algebra is the direct sum of two von Neumann algebras: one of which is finite and has a separating family of traces (the type I_n , $n < \infty$, and type II_1 portions), and the other is properly infinite (the type I_∞ , II_∞ , and III portions). The properly infinite summand is again a direct sum of two von Neumann algebras: one of which has an essential ideal admitting a separating family of (unbounded, densely defined) traces (the type I_∞ and II_∞ portions), and one which is traceless and purely infinite (the type III portion). We investigate here a C^* -analog of the type III von Neumann algebras. More generally, we look at the C^* -analog of the quotient of a general von Neumann algebra by the ideal generated by its finite projections.

Our motivation for studying purely infinite C^* -algebras stems primarily from the possibility of classifying these C^* -algebras along the lines of Elliott's classification program as described in [10]. More specifically, the first named author has recently proved that if A and B are nuclear, separable C^* -algebras both with primitive ideal spectrum homeomorphic to some T_0 -space X , then $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is isomorphic to $B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{K}$ if and only if

A and B are KK_X -equivalent, where KK_X is a version of KK -theory that respects the primitive ideal spaces. As a step towards (and also a corollary of) this result, it is shown that two nuclear, separable C^* -algebras A and B satisfy $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong B \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}$ if and only if A and B have homeomorphic primitive ideal spaces.

The classification result raises some questions: Can one determine when A and B are KK_X -equivalent? Is there an intrinsic characterization of those C^* -algebras that absorb \mathcal{O}_∞ ? We shall not address the first question here except to note that one should be looking for a version of a universal coefficient theorem (UCT) for KK_X . An example of such a UCT was given in [21], where KK_X -equivalence was determined by isomorphism of six-term exact sequences in the case where X consists of two points.

The first named author proved (in a paper published in [15]) that for a simple, nuclear, separable C^* -algebra A one has $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ if and only if A is purely infinite (in the sense of J. Cuntz, [6]). The most optimistic generalization of this result to non-simple C^* -algebras would be as follows: For any (separable, nuclear) C^* -algebra A the following three conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$,
- (ii) A is purely infinite (cf. Definition 3.4),
- (iii) A is traceless in the sense that no algebraic ideal in A admits a non-zero — possibly unbounded — quasitrace.

We shall here establish an equivalence similar to, but weaker than, this. Some of the technical difficulties are solved by inventing three different notions of being purely infinite. The strongest of the three, strongly purely infinite (defined in Section 5), is shown in Section 8 to be equivalent to (i) above for nuclear, separable C^* -algebras that are either stable or have an approximate unit consisting of projections. In Section 4 we discuss weakly purely infinite C^* -algebras, and it is shown that a C^* -algebra A is weakly purely infinite if and only if its ultrapower A_ω is traceless. The intermediate condition was treated in detail in an earlier paper [16], and a brief survey of the properties of purely infinite C^* -algebras is given in Section 3.

We show in Section 4 that every weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra, that is either simple, approximately divisible, or has real rank zero, is purely infinite. In Section 6 we show that every purely infinite C^* -algebra of real rank zero is strongly purely infinite. In particular, each simple purely infinite C^* -algebra is strongly purely infinite. We also show that each approximately divisible, purely infinite C^* -algebra is strongly purely infinite.

A more detailed summary of the main results of this paper is given in Section 9. This section also contains a list of open problems related to this article.

The main result on \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorption is obtained via a local Weyl–von Neumann theorem (Theorem 7.21) which says that every approximately inner, completely positive map from a nuclear sub- C^* -algebra of a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra can be approximated by 1-step inner completely positive maps. Most of Section 7 is devoted to the proof of that result.

Both authors thank Etienne Blanchard for many useful discussions on the topic of purely infinite C^* -algebras, for pointing out several errors in an earlier version of this paper, and for inviting us to Luminy, Marseilles, in the spring of 1999, where this work was initiated.

This article was completed in the fall of 2000 while both authors visited the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute (MSRI) in Berkeley, and we thank MSRI for its hospitality and support.

2 Preliminaries

This section has two subsections containing some background material that will be used frequently throughout this paper.

Cuntz comparison

The various notions of pure infiniteness we shall consider are defined in terms of comparison theory for positive elements in a C^* -algebra. This theory, invented by Cuntz in [5], generalizes the comparison theory for projections in a von Neumann algebra. The reader is referred to [2], [20], and [16] for more information about Cuntz' comparison theory.

Definition 2.1 (Cuntz comparison) Let A be a C^* -algebra and let a, b be positive elements in A . Write $a \precsim b$ if there is a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of elements in A such that $x_k^* b x_k \rightarrow a$. Write $a \approx b$ if $a \precsim b$ and $b \precsim a$, and write $a \sim b$ if $a = x^* x$ and $b = x x^*$ for some x in A .

More generally, if a in $M_n(A)$ and b in $M_m(A)$ are positive matrices, then write $a \precsim b$ if $x_k^* b x_k \rightarrow a$ for some sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of *rectangular matrices* in $M_{m,n}(A)$, and let $a \approx b$ and $a \sim b$ have similar meanings as above.

With a in $M_n(A)$ and b in $M_m(A)$ one has $a \approx b$ if $a \sim b$ (but not conversely). Let $a \oplus b$ denote the element $\text{diag}(a, b)$ in $M_{n+m}(A)$, and let $a \otimes 1_n$ denote the n -fold direct sum

$a \oplus a \oplus \cdots \oplus a$.

To each positive element a in a C^* -algebra A and for each $\varepsilon \geq 0$ define $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ to be the positive part of the self-adjoint element $a - \varepsilon \cdot 1$ in the unitization of A . We remark that $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ actually belongs to A and that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ = h_\varepsilon(a)$, where $h_\varepsilon: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is the continuous function given by $h_\varepsilon(t) = \max\{t - \varepsilon, 0\}$. Note also the frequently used facts:

$$(a - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)_+ = ((a - \varepsilon_1)_+ - \varepsilon_2)_+, \quad \|(a - \varepsilon)_+ - a\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (2.1)$$

that hold for all a in A^+ and all $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$.

The polar decomposition. Every element x in a C^* -algebra A has a *polar decomposition* $x = u(x^*x)^{1/2}$, where u is a partial isometry in the enveloping von Neumann algebra A^{**} . One also writes $|x|$ for $(x^*x)^{1/2}$. One has $x = u|x| = |x^*|u$. For all elements y in the hereditary sub- C^* -algebra $\overline{x^*Ax}$, the elements uy , yu^* , and uyu^* belong to A . The mapping $y \mapsto uyu^*$ defines an isomorphism from $\overline{x^*Ax}$ onto $\overline{xAx^*}$.

Lemma 2.2 *Let A be a C^* -algebra, let a, b be positive elements in A , and let $\varepsilon > \|a - b\|$ be given. Then there is a contraction d in A such that $dbd^* = (a - \varepsilon)_+$.*

Proof: For each $r > 1$ define $g_r: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by $g_r(t) = \min\{t, t^r\}$. Observe that $g_r(b) \rightarrow b$ as $r \rightarrow 1$. Choose $r > 1$ such that $(\varepsilon_1 =) \|a - g_r(b)\| < \varepsilon$ and set $b_0 = g_r(b)$. Then $b_0 \leq b$, $b_0 \leq b^r$, and $a - \varepsilon_1 \leq b_0$. Find a positive contraction e in $C^*(a)$ with $e(a - \varepsilon_1)e = (a - \varepsilon)_+$. Then $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \leq eb_0e$. Put $x = b_0^{1/2}e$ and let $x = v(x^*x)^{1/2}$ be the polar decomposition for x , where v is a partial isometry in A^{**} . As $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \leq eb_0e = x^*x$, the element $y = v(a - \varepsilon)_+^{1/2}$ belongs to A , $y^*y = (a - \varepsilon)_+$, and

$$yy^* = v(a - \varepsilon)_+^{1/2}v^* \leq vx^*xv^* = xx^* = b_0^{1/2}e^2b_0^{1/2} \leq b_0.$$

Now, following the proof of [18, Proposition 1.4.5], put $d_n = y^*(\frac{1}{n} + b^r)^{-1/2}b^{(r-1)/2}$. Because $yy^* \leq b_0 \leq b^r$, [18, Lemma 1.4.4] applies (with $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = (r - 1)/r$) and shows that $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in A . Let d be the limit of this Cauchy sequence. As in the proof of [18, Proposition 1.4.5], we have $db^{1/2} = y^*$, so that $dbd^* = y^*y = (a - \varepsilon)_+$. Since $yy^* \leq b_0 \leq b$ we get

$$d_n^*d_n \leq b^{(r-1)/2}(\frac{1}{n} + b^r)^{-1/2}b(\frac{1}{n} + b^r)^{-1/2}b^{(r-1)/2} \leq 1.$$

Hence $\|d_n\| \leq 1$ for each n which entails that d is a contraction. \square

Lemma 2.3 ([20, Proposition 2.4]) *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let a, b be positive elements in A . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $a \preceq b$,
- (ii) $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \preceq b$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$,
- (iii) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ and x in A such that $x^*(b - \delta)_+x = (a - \varepsilon)_+$.
- (iv) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is x in A such that $x^*x = (a - \varepsilon)_+$ and xx^* belongs to \overline{bAb} .

In particular, if a is a positive element in the hereditary sub- C^* -algebra \overline{bAb} , then $a \preceq b$.

Lemma 2.4 *Let a, b be positive elements in a C^* -algebra A and let $\delta > 0$.*

- (i) *If $a = x^*(b - \delta)_+x$ for some x in A , then $a = y^*by$ for some y in A with $\|y\| \leq \delta^{-1/2}\|a\|^{1/2}$.*
- (ii) *If $a \preceq (b - \delta)_+$, then for each $r > 1$ there exists y in A with $a = y^*by$ and with $\|y\| \leq r\delta^{-1/2}\|a\|^{1/2}$.*
- (iii) *If $a \leq b$, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a contraction d in A with $d^*bd = (a - \varepsilon)_+$.*

Proof: We shall need some functions in the proof. For $\delta > 0$ and for α in the interval $[0, 1/2]$ define $f_{\delta, \alpha}, g_\delta: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$f_{\delta, \alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{(t-\delta)^{2\alpha}}{t}}, & t \geq \delta \\ 0, & t < \delta \end{cases}, \quad g_\delta(t) = \begin{cases} 1/t, & t \geq \delta \\ \delta^{-2}t, & t < \delta \end{cases}, \quad (2.2)$$

and put $f_\delta = f_{\delta, 1/2}$. Then

$$tf_{\delta, \alpha}(t)^2 = (t - \delta)_+^{2\alpha}, \quad f_\delta(t)^2 = (t - \delta)_+g_\delta(t).$$

(i). Put $y = f_\delta(b)x$. Then

$$y^*by = x^*bf_\delta(b)^2x = x^*(b - \delta)_+x = a, \quad y^*y = x^*f_\delta(b)^2x \leq \|g_\delta(b)\|x^*(b - \delta)_+x \leq \delta^{-1}a.$$

The latter inequality yields the desired norm estimate for y .

(ii). Choose $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $\delta_0 < \delta$ and $\delta_0^{-1/2} \leq r\delta^{-1/2}$. If $a \preceq (b - \delta)_+$, then $a = x^*(b - \delta_0)_+x$ for some x in A by Lemma 2.3 (iii) and (2.1). Hence $a = y^*by$ for some y in A with $\|y\| \leq \delta_0^{-1/2}\|a\|^{1/2} \leq r\delta^{-1/2}\|a\|^{1/2}$ by (i).

(iii). The system $\{f_\delta(b)\}_{\delta>0}$ is an approximate unit for \overline{bAb} , and so we can choose $\delta > 0$ with $\|f_\delta(b)af_\delta(b) - a\| < \varepsilon$. Observe that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1/2^-} \|f_{\delta,\alpha}(b)\| = \|f_\delta(b)\| = ((\|b\| - \delta)_+ / \|b\|)^{1/2} < 1.$$

We can therefore choose α in the interval $[0, 1/2)$ such that $\|a\|^{1/2-\alpha} \|f_{\delta,\alpha}(b)\| \leq 1$. Put $y = f_{\delta,\alpha}(b)$, so that $y^*by = (b - \delta)_+^{2\alpha}$. By Lemma 2.2 there is a contraction t in A such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ = t^*f_\delta(b)af_\delta(b)t$. We have

$$f_\delta(b)af_\delta(b) \leq f_\delta(b)bf_\delta(b) = (b - \delta)_+.$$

Use [18, Proposition 1.4.5] to find u in A with $u^*(b - \delta)_+^{2\alpha}u = f_\delta(b)af_\delta(b)$ and $\|u\| \leq \|a\|^{1/2-\alpha}$. Put $d = yut$. Then $d^*bd = (a - \varepsilon)_+$ and $\|d\| \leq \|y\|\|u\|\|t\| \leq 1$. \square

The proof of Lemma 2.4 (iii) actually yields an element d in A of norm slightly less than 1 with $d^*bd = (a - \varepsilon)_+$.

Limit algebras

A filter on a set Ω is an upwards directed collection of subsets of Ω which is closed under finite intersections. To each filter ω on \mathbb{N} and to each C^* -algebra A one defines the C^* -algebra A_ω to be the quotient $\ell^\infty(A)/c_\omega(A)$, where $c_\omega(A)$ is the closed two-sided ideal in $\ell^\infty(A)$ consisting of those sequences $a = \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for which $\lim_\omega \|a_n\| = 0$. Recall that $\lim_\omega \alpha_n = \alpha$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a subset X in ω such that $|\alpha - \alpha_n| < \varepsilon$ for all n in X . (One also uses the symbol $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \alpha_n$ to express the limit $\lim_\omega \alpha_n$.) The quotient mapping $\ell^\infty(A) \rightarrow A_\omega$ is denoted by π_ω . For each (bounded) sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of real numbers, define

$$\limsup_\omega \alpha_n = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \omega} \alpha_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf_{X \in \omega} \sup_{n \in X} \alpha_n,$$

and recall that $\|\pi_\omega(a)\| = \limsup_\omega \|a_n\|$.

There is a canonical embedding of A into A_ω given by $a \mapsto \pi_\omega(a, a, \dots)$. We shall often view A as a sub- C^* -algebra of A_ω using this embedding implicitly.

A filter ω on \mathbb{N} is called *free* if it contains all cofinite subsets of \mathbb{N} , and ω is called an *ultrafilter* if it is a maximal filter. Each filter is contained in an ultrafilter. The set of all cofinite subsets of \mathbb{N} is a free filter, and any ultrafilter containing this filter is a free ultrafilter. If ω contains a finite set, then ω is not free and there is a finite subset $X_0 = \{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$ of \mathbb{N} such that ω is the collection of all subsets of \mathbb{N} containing X_0 . In

this case, $A_\omega = A \oplus A \oplus \cdots \oplus A$ (with k summands), and $\pi_\omega(a_1, a_2, \dots) = (a_{n_1}, \dots, a_{n_k})$. There are filters on \mathbb{N} that neither are free nor contain a finite set.

Lemma 2.5 *Let A be a C^* -algebra and let ω be a free filter on \mathbb{N} . Let $\{p_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ be a finite or countably infinite family of polynomials over A_ω in two non-commuting variables (cf. the examples below). Suppose for some finite constant C there is a sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of elements in A_ω such that $\|d_n\| \leq C$ for all n in \mathbb{N} and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|p_i(d_n, d_n^*)\| = 0$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{I}$. Then there is d in A_ω such that $\|d\| \leq C$ and $p_i(d, d^*) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{I}$.

We shall typically apply the lemma in situations where the polynomials p_i are of the form:

$$p_1(d, d^*) = d^*ad - b, \quad p_2(d, d^*) = [d^*ad, b], \quad p_3(d, d^*) = [d^*ad, d^*bd]$$

for some a, b in A_ω .

Proof: Each coefficient of each p_i is an element in A_ω . Upon lifting each such coefficient to an element (of the same norm) in $\ell^\infty(A)$ we obtain a sequence $\{p_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of polynomials over A in two non-commuting variables such that $\{p_{i,n}(e_n, e_n^*)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a bounded sequence for each bounded sequence $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in A , and such that

$$p_i(e, e^*) = \pi_\omega(p_{i,1}(e_1, e_1^*), p_{i,2}(e_2, e_2^*), p_{i,3}(e_3, e_3^*), \dots) \quad \text{when } e = \pi_\omega(e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots).$$

Observe that $\|p_i(e, e^*)\| = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|p_{i,n}(e_n, e_n^*)\|$.

Write \mathbb{I} as an increasing union of finite subsets $\{\mathbb{I}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of \mathbb{I} . For each k find d_k in A_ω such that $\|d_k\| \leq C$ and $\|p_i(d_k, d_k^*)\| < 1/k$ for all i in \mathbb{I}_k . Write $d_k = \pi_\omega(d_{k,1}, d_{k,2}, d_{k,3}, \dots)$, where each $d_{k,n}$ is an element in A with $\|d_{k,n}\| \leq C$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|p_{i,n}(d_{k,n}, d_{k,n}^*)\| < 1/k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \in \mathbb{I}_k.$$

For each k find Y_k in ω such that

$$\|p_{i,n}(d_{k,n}, d_{k,n}^*)\| < 1/k, \quad n \in Y_k, \quad i \in \mathbb{I}_k. \quad (2.3)$$

Define $X_k \in \omega$ inductively by setting $X_1 = Y_1$, and

$$X_k = Y_k \cap X_{k-1} \cap (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, k\})$$

for $k \geq 2$. (The set $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ belongs to ω by the assumption that ω is free.) Then (2.3) holds for all n in X_k and for all i in \mathbb{I}_k , the sequence $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is decreasing and $\bigcap_{k=1}^\infty X_k = \emptyset$. We can now write \mathbb{N} as a disjoint union:

$$\mathbb{N} = (\mathbb{N} \setminus X_1) \cup (X_1 \setminus X_2) \cup (X_2 \setminus X_3) \cup \dots$$

Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\ell^\infty(A)$ be given by

$$e_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus X_1, \\ d_{1,n}, & \text{if } n \in X_1 \setminus X_2, \\ d_{2,n}, & \text{if } n \in X_2 \setminus X_3, \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{cases}$$

and put $d = \pi_\omega(e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots)$ in A_ω . Then $\|p_{i,n}(e_n, e_n^*)\| \leq 1/k$ for all n in X_k and for all i in \mathbb{I}_k . Hence $\|p_i(d, d^*)\| \leq 1/k$ for all i in \mathbb{I}_k . This holds for all k , and so $p_i(d, d^*) = 0$ for all i in \mathbb{I} as desired. \square

3 Purely infinite C^* -algebras

We give here a brief review of some of the results on purely infinite C^* -algebras from [16].

Definition 3.1 (Properly infinite elements) A positive element a in a C^* -algebra A is said to be *properly infinite* if a is non-zero and $a \oplus a \precsim a$.

The condition $a \oplus a \precsim a$ means by definition that there is a sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of elements in $M_2(A)$ such that

$$\left\| d_n^* \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} d_n - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right\| \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.1)$$

We note as a side remark that if there is a sequence $\{d_n\}$ such that (3.1) holds for *all* a in A , and if A is separable, then A is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ by Proposition 8.4. (See also Proposition 7.8.)

Some properties of properly infinite elements, established in [16, Proposition 3.3], include:

Lemma 3.2 *The following conditions are equivalent when a is a non-zero positive element in a C^* -algebra A :*

- (i) a is properly infinite.
- (ii) For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are positive elements a_1, a_2 in \overline{aAa} such that $a_1 a_2 = 0$ and $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \precsim a_j$ for $j = 1, 2$.
- (iii) For each natural number n and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are elements d_1, \dots, d_n in \overline{aAa} such that $d_j^* a d_i = \delta_{ij} (a - \varepsilon)_+$.

The property that an element in a C^* -algebra is properly infinite depends on the C^* -algebra to which the element belongs. However, as follows readily from Lemma 3.2 (iii), if a is a positive element in a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra B of a C^* -algebra A , and if a is properly infinite relatively to A , then a is also properly infinite relatively to B .

In the spirit of comparison theory for projections, a positive element a is called *infinite* if $a \oplus b \precsim a$ for some non-zero positive element b in A ; cf. Definition 2.1.

If $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is a $*$ -homomorphism between C^* -algebras A and B , and if a is a properly infinite element in A , then $\varphi(a)$ is properly infinite if non-zero. Moreover, a positive element a is properly infinite if and only if $\varphi(a)$ is either infinite or zero for every $*$ -homomorphism φ on A ; cf. [16, Proposition 3.14].

If a is a properly infinite element in A , then $b \precsim a$ for each positive element b in the closed two-sided ideal, \overline{AaA} , generated by a ; cf. [16, Proposition 3.5].

The set of properly infinite positive elements in a C^* -algebra A is not always a closed subset of $A^+ \setminus \{0\}$. For example, no finite rank projection on a Hilbert space H is properly infinite, but each positive element in $B(H)$ can be approximated in norm by properly infinite positive elements in $B(H)$ (if T is a positive operator on H , then $T + n^{-1}I$ is properly infinite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$). However, we have the following (weaker) approximation lemma:

Lemma 3.3 *Let a be a positive element in a C^* -algebra A and suppose that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a properly infinite element b in A with $\|a - b\| < \varepsilon$ and $b \precsim a$. Then a is properly infinite.*

Proof: For each $\varepsilon > 0$ choose b_ε such that $\|a - b_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$ and $b_\varepsilon \precsim a$. By Lemma 2.2 we have $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \oplus (a - \varepsilon)_+ \precsim b_\varepsilon \oplus b_\varepsilon \precsim b_\varepsilon \precsim a$, which by Lemma 2.3 (ii) implies that a is properly infinite. \square

Definition 3.4 (Purely infinite C^* -algebras) A C^* -algebra A is said to be *purely infinite* if A has no non-zero abelian quotients and if for each pair of positive elements a, b in A such that a belongs to \overline{AbA} , the closed two-sided ideal generated by b , we have $a \precsim b$.

It is shown in [16, Theorem 4.16] that A is purely infinite if and only if each non-zero positive element in A is properly infinite. Other facts about purely infinite C^* -algebras, proved in [16], include:

Proposition 3.5 (Permanence properties)

- (i) *For each short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ one has that A is purely infinite if and only if I and B are purely infinite.*
- (ii) *If A and B are stably isomorphic and if A is purely infinite, then so is B .*
- (iii) *Each hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of a purely infinite C^* -algebra is purely infinite.*
- (iv) *If A is a purely infinite C^* -algebra and if ω is a free filter on \mathbb{N} , then A_ω is purely infinite.*
- (v) *Any inductive limit of a system of purely infinite C^* -algebras is purely infinite.*
- (vi) *$A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ is purely infinite for every C^* -algebra A .*

A simple C^* -algebra is purely infinite if and only if each of its non-zero hereditary sub- C^* -algebras contain an infinite projection, in agreement with Cuntz' original definition in [6].

4 Weakly purely infinite C^* -algebras

One motivation for introducing the notion of weakly purely infinite C^* -algebras is found in [16, Theorem 5.9] which says that an approximately divisible C^* -algebra is purely infinite if and only if it is traceless. (The notions of being approximately divisible and traceless are defined below.) We shall here characterize tracelessness in terms of being weakly purely infinite (without assuming approximate divisibility).

Definition 4.1 (Approximate divisibility) A C^* -algebra A is said to be *approximately divisible* if for every natural number n , for every finite subset F of A , and for every $\varepsilon > 0$

there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$, where $\mathcal{M}(A)$ denotes the multiplier algebra of A , such that

$$\|\varphi(x)a - a\varphi(x)\| \leq \varepsilon\|x\|$$

for all a in F and all x in $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$.

As remarked in [16, Lemma 5.6], if A is approximately divisible and if B is any C^* -algebra, then $A \otimes B$ is approximately divisible (where \otimes is any tensor product). As \mathcal{O}_∞ is approximately divisible (a consequence of [15], see also Corollary 8.3) we find that $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ is approximately divisible for every C^* -algebra A .

Definition 4.2 (Traceless C^* -algebras) A C^* -algebra A will be called *traceless* if no algebraic ideal of A admits a non-zero quasitrace ¹.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between quasitraces and lower semi-continuous dimension functions (established by Blackadar and Handelman in [3]), and so being traceless is the same as having no non-zero lower semi-continuous dimension functions; cf. [16, Theorem 5.9].

The C^* -algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space H is not traceless, since it has a non-zero trace defined on the trace class operators on H . We do not require our traces (or quasitraces) to be bounded.

Definition 4.3 (Weakly purely infinite C^* -algebras) A C^* -algebra A will be said to have property *pi-n* if the n -fold direct sum $a \oplus a \oplus \cdots \oplus a = a \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite (cf. Definition 3.1) for every non-zero positive element a in A . If A is *pi-n* for some n , then we shall call A *weakly purely infinite*.

By [16, Theorem 4.16], a C^* -algebra is *pi-1* if and only if it is purely infinite. By definition, $a \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite if and only if $a \otimes 1_{2n} \precsim a \otimes 1_n$.

Lemma 4.4 *Let a be a non-zero positive element in a C^* -algebra A and let n be a natural number. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $a \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite,

¹By a quasitrace we shall always mean a lower semi-continuous, positive 2-quasitrace. A 2-quasitrace on a C^* -algebra A is a quasitrace that extends (not necessarily in the obvious way) to a quasitrace on $M_2(A)$. Haagerup proved in [11] that each quasitrace on a unital, exact C^* -algebra extends to a trace (and the first named author has extended this result to non-unital, exact C^* -algebras in [14]). Thus an exact C^* -algebra A is traceless if and only if no algebraic ideal of A admits a non-zero trace.

- (ii) $a \otimes 1_m \preceq a \otimes 1_n$ for all natural numbers m ,
- (iii) $a \otimes 1_m \preceq a \otimes 1_r$ for all natural numbers r, m with $r \geq m$,
- (iv) $a \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq a \otimes 1_n$,
- (v) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each m in \mathbb{N} there is x in $M_{m,n}(A)$ such that x^*x belongs to $M_n(\overline{aAa})$ and $xx^* = (a - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_m$.

Proof: The implications (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i) \Rightarrow (iv) are trivial, and (ii) and (v) are equivalent by Lemma 2.3. Assume that (iv) holds. Then

$$a \otimes 1_{k+1} = (a \otimes 1_{n+1}) \oplus (a \otimes 1_{k-n}) \preceq (a \otimes 1_n) \oplus (a \otimes 1_{k-n}) = a \otimes 1_k$$

for all $k \geq n$. By transitivity of the relation \preceq and by induction we obtain (iii). \square

Proposition 4.5 (Permanence properties)

- (i) If A is pi - n , then A is pi - m for every $m \geq n$.
- (ii) Every hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of a pi - n C^* -algebra is pi - n .
- (iii) Every non-zero quotient of a pi - n C^* -algebra is again pi - n .
- (iv) Let $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence. If I is pi - n and B is pi - m , then A is pi - $(n + m)$.
- (v) If A is an inductive limit of a system of C^* -algebras, each of which is pi - n for the same n , then A is pi - n .
- (vi) If A and B are stably isomorphic C^* -algebras and if A is pi - n , then B is pi - n^2 .

By (iv), an extension of two weakly purely infinite C^* -algebras is again weakly purely infinite. The given estimate on the degree of pure infiniteness is not optimal (cf. Proposition 3.5 (i)), but it suffices for our purposes.

Proof: (i) follows from Lemma 4.4.

(ii). This follows from the remark below Lemma 3.2 that being properly infinite is preserved when passing to hereditary sub- C^* -algebras.

(iii). This follows from the fact, remarked below Lemma 3.2, that a non-zero image under a $*$ -homomorphism of a properly infinite element is again properly infinite.

(iv). Let a be a non-zero positive element in A and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. View I as an ideal of A and let π denote the quotient mapping $A \rightarrow B$. If a belongs to I , then $a \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite because I is pi- n .

Assume now that $\pi(a)$ is non-zero. Then $\pi(a) \otimes 1_m$ is properly infinite in $M_m(B)$. By Lemma 3.2 we can find positive elements b_1, b_2 in $M_m(\overline{\pi(a)B\pi(a)})$ such that $b_1b_2 = 0$ and $\pi((a - \varepsilon/3)_+) \otimes 1_m \lesssim b_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Since $\pi((a - \varepsilon/3)_+) \otimes 1_m$ is properly infinite we also have

$$\pi((a - \varepsilon/3)_+) \otimes 1_{m+n} \lesssim b_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Lift b_1, b_2 to mutually orthogonal, positive elements a_1, a_2 in $M_m(\overline{aAa})$. There are positive elements c'_1, c'_2 in $M_{m+n}(\overline{aIa})$ such that $(a - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{m+n} \lesssim a_j \oplus c'_j$ for $j = 1, 2$; cf. [16, Lemma 4.12]. There is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(a - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{m+n} \lesssim (a_j - \delta)_+ \oplus (c'_j - \delta)_+ \lesssim a_j \oplus (c'_j - \delta)_+, \quad j = 1, 2; \quad (4.1)$$

cf. Lemma 2.3. We show next that there are positive elements c_1, c_2 in $M_n(\overline{aIa})$ such that $c_1c_2 = 0$ and $(c'_j - \delta)_+ \lesssim c_j$. Find a positive element c_0 in \overline{aIa} and $\eta > 0$ such that $(c'_1 - \delta)_+$ and $(c'_2 - \delta)_+$ belong to $M_{m+n}(\overline{I(c_0 - \eta)_+I})$. Since I is pi- n , $(c_0 - \eta)_+ \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite, and so $(c'_j - \delta)_+ \lesssim (c_0 - \eta)_+ \otimes 1_n$. Because $c_0 \otimes 1_n$ also is properly infinite we can use Lemma 3.2 to find c_1, c_2 in the hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of $M_n(I)$ generated by $c_0 \otimes 1_n$ such that $c_1c_2 = 0$ and $(c_0 - \eta)_+ \otimes 1_n \lesssim c_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Notice that c_1, c_2 belong to $M_n(\overline{aAa})$. Hence $d_j = \text{diag}(a_j, c_j)$ belongs to $M_{m+n}(\overline{aAa})$, $d_1d_2 = 0$, and

$$(a - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{m+n} \lesssim a_j \oplus (c'_j - \delta)_+ \lesssim a_j \oplus c_j = d_j.$$

It now follows from Lemma 3.2 that $a \otimes 1_{m+n}$ is properly infinite, and this proves (iv).

(v). Assume that A is the inductive limit of a system $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ of C^* -algebras each of which is pi- n . By (iii) we can assume that each map $A_i \rightarrow A$ is an inclusion mapping (so that A is the closure of the directed union of the algebras A_i). Let a be a non-zero positive element in A and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Use [16, Lemma 2.5] to find i in \mathbb{I} and a non-zero positive element b in A_i such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \lesssim b \lesssim a$. Then

$$(a - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1} \lesssim b \otimes 1_{n+1} \lesssim b \otimes 1_n \lesssim a \otimes 1_n.$$

Since this holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we get $a \otimes 1_{n+1} \lesssim a \otimes 1_n$, and so A is pi- n .

(vi). By (ii) and (v) it suffices to show that if A is pi- n , then $M_k(A)$ is pi- n^2 for all natural numbers k .

We show first that $b \otimes 1_{n^2}$ is properly infinite for every non-zero positive element b in $M_n(A)$. Indeed, let $b_{ij} \in A$ be the matrix entries of b . Then $b_{ii} \preceq b \preceq b_{11} \oplus \cdots \oplus b_{nn}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ (see Lemma 5.3 below) and by Lemma 4.4 this implies:

$$b \otimes 1_{n^2+1} \preceq (b_{11} \oplus \cdots \oplus b_{nn}) \otimes 1_{n^2+1} \preceq (b_{11} \oplus \cdots \oplus b_{nn}) \otimes 1_n \preceq b \otimes 1_{n^2}.$$

Take now a non-zero positive matrix a in $M_k(A)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that if $\|a - c\| < \varepsilon_1$, then $\|a - c\| < \varepsilon/2$ and $\|(a - \varepsilon/2)_+ - (c - \varepsilon/2)_+\| < \varepsilon/2$. Hence, if $\|a - c\| < \varepsilon_1$, then $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \preceq (c - \varepsilon/2)_+ \preceq a$ by Lemma 2.2. Find a positive contraction e in A and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|a - a^{1/2}((e - \delta)_+ \otimes 1_k)a^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon_1.$$

Since $e \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite there is an element d in $M_{n,k}(A)$ such that $d^*(e \otimes 1_n)d = (e - \delta)_+ \otimes 1_k$. With $t = (e^{1/2} \otimes 1_n)da^{1/2}$ in $M_{n,k}(A)$ we have $\|a - t^*t\| < \varepsilon_1$ and $tt^* (= b)$ is a positive element in $M_n(A)$. Now $(tt^* - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{n^2}$, and hence $(t^*t - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{n^2}$, are properly infinite by the first part of the proof. By the choice of ε_1 we therefore get

$$(a - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{n^2+1} \preceq (t^*t - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{n^2+1} \preceq (t^*t - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{n^2} \preceq a \otimes 1_{n^2}.$$

As $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this proves that $a \otimes 1_{n^2}$ is properly infinite. \square

Proposition 4.6 *The following conditions are equivalent for every C^* -algebra A :*

- (i) A is pi - n .
- (ii) $\ell^\infty(A)$ is pi - n .
- (iii) A_ω is pi - n for every filter ω on \mathbb{N} .
- (iv) A_ω is pi - n for some filter ω on \mathbb{N} .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ be a non-zero positive element in $\ell^\infty(A)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We show that $a \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite. It is no loss of generality to assume that $\|a\| = 1$. Since A is pi - n , $(a_k - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq (a_k - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_n$, and hence $(a_k - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1} = x_k^*(a_k \otimes 1_n)x_k$ for some x_k in $M_{n,n+1}(A)$ with $\|x_k\| \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1/2}$; cf. Lemma 2.4 (ii). It follows that $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ belongs to $\ell^\infty(A)$ and that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1} = x^*(a \otimes 1_n)x$. Since this holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we get $a \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq a \otimes 1_n$. Hence $a \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite, and (ii) must hold.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) follows from Proposition 4.5 (iii); and (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) is trivial.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Let a be a non-zero positive element in A and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since A_ω is pi- n there is $x = \pi_\omega(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ in $M_{n,n+1}(A_\omega)$ such that $x^*(a \otimes 1_n)x = (a - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1}$. Each x_k belongs to $M_{n,n+1}(A)$ and

$$\limsup_{\omega} \|x_k^*(a \otimes 1_n)x_k - (a - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1}\| = 0.$$

Hence $\|x_k^*(a \otimes 1_n)x_k - (a - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1}\| < \varepsilon/2$ for some k . By Lemma 2.2 this entails that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq a \otimes 1_n$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we conclude that $a \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq a \otimes 1_n$, and so A is pi- n . \square

Lemma 4.7 *Let A be a C^* -algebra which is pi- n . Then for each pair of positive elements a, b in A , where a belongs to \overline{AbA} , and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are elements x_1, \dots, x_n in A such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^* b x_j = (a - \varepsilon)_+.$$

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By [16, Proposition 2.7 (v)] there is a natural number k such that $(a - \varepsilon/2)_+ \preceq b \otimes 1_k$. By Lemma 4.4, $b \otimes 1_k \preceq b \otimes 1_n$, and so $(a - \varepsilon/2)_+ \preceq b \otimes 1_n$. It follows that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ = x^*(b \otimes 1_n)x$ for some x in $M_{n,1}(A)$. With x_1, \dots, x_n being the entries of x , we obtain the desired identity. \square

Theorem 4.8 *Let A be a C^* -algebra.*

(i) *For each free filter ω on \mathbb{N} the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) A_ω is traceless;
- (b) A_ω is weakly purely infinite;
- (c) A is weakly purely infinite.

(ii) *If A is weakly purely infinite, then A is traceless.*

Proof: (ii). If A is weakly purely infinite, then A is pi- n for some n . Arguing as in the proof of [16, Proposition 5.1] we see that A admits no non-zero dimension function, and hence no quasitrace: Indeed, if d were a dimension function with domain I (an algebraic ideal of A) and if a is a non-zero positive element in I , then $a \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite, and hence $a \otimes 1_{n+1} \preceq a \otimes 1_n$. This implies $(n+1)d(a) \leq nd(a)$, and so $d(a) = 0$.

(i). (c) \Rightarrow (b) follows from Proposition 4.6, and (b) \Rightarrow (a) follows from (ii). We proceed to prove (a) \Rightarrow (c). We do so indirectly by assuming that A is not weakly purely infinite. We construct below a positive element a in A_ω which satisfies

$$((a - 1/4)_+ \oplus (a - 1/4)_+) \otimes 1_k \not\lesssim a \otimes 1_k \quad (4.2)$$

for all natural numbers k . It then follows from [16, Proposition 5.7] that A_ω admits a non-zero lower semi-continuous dimension functions, and hence that A is not traceless.

For each natural number k there is a positive contraction a_k in A such that

$$((a_k - 1/2)_+ \oplus (a_k - 1/2)_+) \otimes 1_m \not\lesssim a_k \otimes 1_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, k. \quad (4.3)$$

To see this, find for each k a non-zero positive element b_k in A such that $b_k \otimes 1_k$ is not properly infinite. Then $b_k \otimes 1_m$ is not properly infinite for $m = 1, 2, \dots, k$; cf. Lemma 4.4. Hence $b_k \otimes 1_{2m} \not\lesssim b_k \otimes 1_m$ for $m \leq k$. By Lemma 2.3, $(b_k - \varepsilon_m)_+ \otimes 1_{2m} \not\lesssim b_k \otimes 1_m$ for some $\varepsilon_m > 0$. Taking ε to be the minimum of $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k$ we can assume that $\varepsilon_m = \varepsilon$ for each m . Define $h: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ to be $h(t) = \min\{t/(2\varepsilon), 1\}$, and set $a_k = h(b_k)$. Then a_k is a positive contraction in A , $(b_k - \varepsilon)_+ \approx (a_k - 1/2)_+$, and $a_k \approx b_k$ (see Definition 2.1). This shows that (4.3) holds.

With $\pi_\omega: \ell^\infty(A) \rightarrow A_\omega$ denoting the quotient mapping, set $a = \pi_\omega(a_1, a_2, \dots)$. We proceed to show that (4.2) holds. Assume the contrary. Then $(a - 1/4)_+ \otimes 1_{2k} \lesssim a \otimes 1_k$ for some natural number k , and so $\|x^*(a \otimes 1_k)x - (a - 1/4)_+ \otimes 1_{2k}\| < 1/4$ for some x in $M_{k,2k}(A_\omega)$. Write $x = \pi_\omega(x_1, x_2, \dots)$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|x_n^*(a_n \otimes 1_k)x_n - (a_n - 1/4)_+ \otimes 1_{2k}\| < 1/4.$$

Because ω is a free filter there is an infinite subset X of \mathbb{N} such that

$$\|x_n^*(a_n \otimes 1_k)x_n - (a_n - 1/4)_+ \otimes 1_{2k}\| < 1/4, \quad n \in X.$$

Use Lemma 2.2 to deduce that $(a_n - 1/2)_+ \otimes 1_{2k} \lesssim a_n \otimes 1_k$ for all n in X . Because X is infinite it contains an element $n \geq k$. But this contradicts (4.3). \square

It is not known if the sum of two properly infinite elements is again properly infinite. We do however have the following weaker result.

Lemma 4.9 *Let a_1, \dots, a_n be properly infinite elements in a C^* -algebra A , and put $a = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$. Then:*

(i) $a \otimes 1_n$ is properly infinite,

(ii) and if a_1, \dots, a_n are mutually orthogonal, then a is properly infinite.

Proof: (i) follows from the relations

$$a \otimes 1_{2n} \preceq (a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n) \otimes 1_{2n} \preceq (a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \dots \oplus a_n) \preceq a \otimes 1_n.$$

(ii) follows from [16, Lemma 3.9]. \square

The next lemma is used in the proof of Proposition 4.11 which says that the multiplier algebra of a weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra is weakly purely infinite. The proof of the lemma uses a technique of Elliott from [9].

Lemma 4.10 *Let A be a σ -unital weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra, let T be a positive element in $\mathcal{M}(A)$, and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is an increasing, countable, approximate unit $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for A consisting of positive contractions satisfying $e_{n+1}e_n = e_n$ and $e_0 = 0$, such that*

$$T = a + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{2n-1}^{1/2} T f_{2n-1}^{1/2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{2n}^{1/2} T f_{2n}^{1/2}, \quad f_n = e_n - e_{n-1}, \quad (4.4)$$

where a is a (not necessarily positive) element in A with $\|a\| \leq \varepsilon$.

We have $f_n \perp f_m$ whenever $|n - m| \geq 2$, so the summands in the two (strictly convergent) sums in (4.4) are mutually orthogonal elements in A .

Proof: Take a countable approximate unit $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for A consisting of positive contractions satisfying $e_{n+1}e_n = e_n$ and $\|e_n T - T e_n\| \rightarrow 0$; cf. [18, Theorem 3.12.14]. Upon passing to a subsequence of $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ we may assume that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(e_n - e_{n-1})^{1/2} T - T(e_n - e_{n-1})^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon, \quad (4.5)$$

(where $e_0 = 0$.) Put $f_n = e_n - e_{n-1}$, so that $1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ (the sum is strictly convergent).

Then

$$a = T - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^{1/2} T f_n^{1/2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T f_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n^{1/2} T f_n^{1/2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (T f_n^{1/2} - f_n^{1/2} T) f_n^{1/2}.$$

By (4.5) we have $\|a\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|T f_n^{1/2} - f_n^{1/2} T\| < \varepsilon$, and because a is a norm convergent sum of elements from A we conclude that a belongs to A . \square

Proposition 4.11 *Let A be a σ -unital, weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra. Then its multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is weakly purely infinite.*

Proof: Assume that A is pi- r .

We show first that if $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an approximate unit as in Lemma 4.10 and if R is non-zero and given by a (strictly convergent) sum $R = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$, where a_1, a_2, \dots is a bounded sequence of positive, mutually orthogonal elements of A such that $a_k \perp e_{k-1}$ for all k , then $R \otimes 1_r$ is properly infinite. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since A is pi- r there are elements x_k in $M_{r,2r}(A)$ such that $x_k^* x_k = (a_k - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{2r}$ and $x_k x_k^* \in M_r(\overline{a_k A a_k})$; cf. Lemma 4.4. Now, $\|x_k\| = \|(a_k - \varepsilon)_+\|^{1/2}$ which shows that the sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is norm-bounded. The (i, j) th entry, $x_k(i, j)$, of x_k belongs to $\overline{a_k A a_k}$, and so $x_k(i, j) \perp e_{k-1}$. It follows that $X(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k(i, j)$ is strictly convergent in $\mathcal{M}(A)$. The resulting matrix X in $M_{r,2r}(\mathcal{M}(A))$, whose (i, j) th entry is $X(i, j)$, satisfies $X^* X = (R - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_{2r}$ and $XX^* \in M_r(\overline{R\mathcal{M}(A)R})$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $R \otimes 1_{2r} \precsim R \otimes 1_r$; cf. Lemma 2.3.

The argument above and Lemma 4.10 show that each positive element T in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ can be written as $T = a + T_1 + T_2$, where T_1, T_2 are positive elements in $\mathcal{M}(A)$, $T_1 \otimes 1_r$ and $T_2 \otimes 1_r$ are properly infinite, and a belongs to A . With $\pi: \mathcal{M}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)/A$ being the quotient mapping we get $\pi(T) = \pi(T_1) + \pi(T_2)$, and $\pi(T_j) \otimes 1_r$ is properly infinite (cf. the remarks below Lemma 3.2). Hence $\pi(T) \otimes 1_{2r}$ is properly infinite by Lemma 4.9 (i). This proves that $\mathcal{M}(A)/A$ is pi- $2r$. By Proposition 4.5 we conclude that $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is pi- $3r$ and hence weakly purely infinite. \square

We do not know if the multiplier algebra of a purely infinite C^* -algebra is again purely infinite.

We end this section by discussing a sufficient condition under which one can deduce pure infiniteness from weak pure infiniteness.

It is a consequence of a lemma by Glimm that if n is a natural number and if A is a C^* -algebra that admits an irreducible representation on a Hilbert space of dimension at least n , then there is a non-zero *-homomorphism from $M_n(C_0((0, 1]))$ into A (see [16, Proposition 4.10]).

Definition 4.12 (The global Glimm property) A C^* -algebra A is said to have the *global Glimm property* if for each natural number n , for each positive element a in A , and

for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi: M_n(C_0((0, 1])) \rightarrow \overline{aAa}$ such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ belongs to the closed two-sided ideal of A generated by the image of φ .

Equivalently, A has the global Glimm property if for each positive element a in A , for each $\varepsilon > 0$, and for each natural number n there are mutually orthogonal elements t_1, \dots, t_n in \overline{aAa} such that $t_1 \sim t_2 \sim \dots \sim t_n$ (cf. Definition 2.1) and such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ belongs to the closed two-sided ideal generated by $t = t_1 + \dots + t_n$.

Lemma 4.13 *Let a be a positive element in a C^* -algebra A and suppose that there is a full ² $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi: M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\overline{aAa})$. Then there are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent positive elements t_1, \dots, t_n in \overline{aAa} such that a belongs to the closed two-sided ideal generated by $t = t_1 + \dots + t_n$.*

Proof: Let $\{e_{ij}\}$ denote the matrix units of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Put $x_j = \varphi(e_{j1})a$, note that $x_j^*x_j = a\varphi(e_{11})a$, and put $t_j = x_jx_j^*$. Then t_1, \dots, t_n are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent positive elements in \overline{aAa} . The element $a\varphi(e_{11})a$ is full in \overline{aAa} (because $\varphi(e_{11})$ is full in $\mathcal{M}(\overline{aAa})$) and therefore a belongs to the ideal generated by $t = t_1 + \dots + t_n$. \square

Lemma 4.14 *Let A be a C^* -algebra such that no non-zero hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of A has a finite dimensional representation. Then A has the global Glimm property if A is either simple, approximately divisible, or purely infinite.*

Proof: The local version of Glimm's lemma (see [16, Proposition 4.10]) implies the global Glimm property when A is simple.

Assume next that A is approximately divisible. Let a be a positive element in A and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let B denote the algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ and find a sequence of unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi_k: B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $\varphi_k(x)a - a\varphi_k(x) \rightarrow 0$ for all $x \in B$ and all $a \in A$. Let μ denote the Haar measure on the compact unitary group of B , and define a conditional expectation $E_k: A \rightarrow A \cap \varphi_k(B)'$ by

$$E_k(a) = \int_{U(B)} \varphi_k(u)a\varphi_k(u)^* d\mu(u).$$

Then $\|a - E_k(a)\| \rightarrow 0$. Choose k large enough so that $\|a - E_k(a)\| < \varepsilon/2$, and put $a_0 = (E_k(a) - \varepsilon/2)_+$. Then a_0 is a positive element in $A \cap \varphi_k(B)'$ and $\|a - a_0\| < \varepsilon$. By

²A $*$ -homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ is called *full* if its image is not contained in a proper closed two-sided ideal in B .

Lemma 2.2 there are elements d, e in A such that $a_0 = d^*ad$ and $(a - \varepsilon)_+ = e^*a_0e$. Put $a_1 = a^{1/2}dd^*a^{1/2}$. There is an isomorphism

$$\alpha: \overline{a_0Aa_0} \rightarrow \overline{a_1Aa_1} \subseteq \overline{aAa}$$

such that $\alpha(a_0) = a_1$ (see [16, Lemma 2.4]). Being equivalent, a_0 and a_1 generate the same closed two-sided ideal of A , and $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ belongs to this ideal.

The canonical isomorphism $\beta: B \otimes C(\text{sp}(a_0)) \rightarrow C^*(\varphi_k(B), a_0)$ restricts to a *-homomorphism

$$\beta_0: B \otimes C_0(\text{sp}(a_0) \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \overline{a_0Aa_0},$$

and $a_0 = \beta_0(1 \otimes \iota)$, where ι in $C_0(\text{sp}(a_0) \setminus \{0\})$ is given by $\iota(t) = t$. Take a full *-homomorphism $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B$, a surjective *-homomorphism $C_0((0, 1]) \rightarrow C_0(\text{sp}(a_0) \setminus \{0\})$, and obtain in this way a full *-homomorphism

$$M_n(C_0((0, 1])) = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes C_0((0, 1]) \rightarrow B \otimes C_0(\text{sp}(a_0) \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow \overline{a_0Aa_0},$$

the image of which generates an ideal of A that contains a_0 and therefore $(a - \varepsilon)_+$.

Suppose finally that A is purely infinite. Let a be a positive element in A and let $\varepsilon > 0$ and n in \mathbb{N} be given. By Lemma 2.3 there is d in $M_{1,n}(A)$ such that $d^*ad = (a - \varepsilon)_+ \otimes 1_n$. Write $d = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$. Then $d_i^*ad_j = \delta_{ij}(a - \varepsilon)_+$ for all i, j . Put $t_j = a^{1/2}d_jd_j^*a^{1/2}$. Then t_1, \dots, t_n are mutually orthogonal elements in \overline{aAa} each equivalent to $(a - \varepsilon)_+$, and this shows that A has the global Glimm property. \square

Proposition 4.15 *A weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra is purely infinite if and only if it has the global Glimm property.*

Proof: The “only if” part follows from Proposition 4.14. Assume next that A is weakly purely infinite, say pi- n , with the global Glimm property. Let a be a non-zero positive element in A and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then \overline{aAa} contains pairwise orthogonal and equivalent positive elements t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ belongs to the closed two-sided ideal generated by $t = t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n$. Now,

$$t_1 \otimes 1_n \sim t_1 \oplus t_2 \oplus \dots \oplus t_n \sim t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_n = t.$$

Hence t is properly infinite. Since $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ belongs to the ideal generated by t we conclude that $(a - \varepsilon)_+ \preceq t$. Conversely, $t \preceq a$ because t belongs to \overline{aAa} (see below Lemma 2.3).

Using again that t is properly infinite, we get

$$(a - \varepsilon)_+ \oplus (a - \varepsilon)_+ \precsim t \oplus t \precsim t \precsim a.$$

Since this holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$ we conclude that a is properly infinite. This shows that A is purely infinite because a was arbitrary. \square

Every hereditary sub- C^* -algebra and every quotient of a weakly purely infinite is again weakly purely infinite, and no weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra can be finite dimensional (e.g. by Theorem 4.8). Hence no hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of a weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra admits a finite dimensional representation. Together with Proposition 4.14 and Lemma 4.15 this proves:

Corollary 4.16 *Any weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra, which is either simple or approximately divisible, is purely infinite.*

A C^* -algebra A is said to have property (SP) (“small projections”) if every non-zero hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of A contains a non-zero projection.

Proposition 4.17 *Every non-zero projection in a weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra with property (SP) is infinite.*

Proof: Let A be a weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra with property (SP) and let p be a non-zero projection in A . Upon replacing A with pAp (which again is weakly purely infinite by Proposition 4.5 (ii) and which has property (SP)), it suffices to show that the unit is infinite in a unital, weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra A with property (SP).

Since A is weakly purely infinite it admits no finite dimensional representations. Hence Glimm’s lemma applies (cf. [16, Proposition 4.10]), and there is a non-zero $*$ -homomorphism from $M_n(C_0((0, 1]))$ into A . It follows that A contains mutually orthogonal positive elements t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n and elements x_2, \dots, x_n such that $x_j x_j^* = t_j$ and $x_j^* x_j = t_1$. Find a non-zero projection p_1 in $\overline{t_1 A t_1}$. Let $x_j = v_j |x_j|$ be the polar decomposition for x_j where v_j is a partial isometry in A^{**} . Then $u_j = v_j p_1$ belongs to A , $u_j^* u_j = p_1$, and $p_j = u_j u_j^*$ belongs to $\overline{t_j A t_j}$. In particular, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent projections. Consequently,

$$p = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n \sim p_1 \oplus p_2 \oplus \dots \oplus p_n \sim p_1 \otimes 1_n$$

is properly infinite. As A contains a non-zero properly infinite projection, the unit of A must be infinite. \square

Proposition 4.18 *Every weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra of real rank zero is purely infinite.*

Proof: We check that if B is a non-zero hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of a quotient of a weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra A , then B contains an infinite projection. By [16, Proposition 4.7], this will ensure that A is purely infinite. By Proposition 4.5 (ii), B is weakly purely infinite. Any C^* -algebra of real rank zero has property (SP). Proposition 4.17 therefore yields that every non-zero projection in B is infinite. \square

One can relax the real rank zero condition in Proposition 4.18 to the weaker condition that every quotient of the C^* -algebra has property (SP).

We conclude this section with some re-formulations of the open problem, if weak pure infiniteness implies pure infiniteness:

Proposition 4.19 *The following six conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *All weakly purely infinite C^* -algebras are purely infinite.*
- (ii) *All non-zero projections in any weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra are properly infinite.*
- (iii) *All non-zero projections in any weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra are infinite.*
- (iv) *Every unital weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra is infinite.*
- (v) *Every unital weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra is properly infinite.*
- (vi) *The multiplier algebra of any σ -unital weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra is properly infinite.*

Proof: The implications (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) are trivial, and (v) \Rightarrow (vi) follows from Proposition 4.11.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v). Let A be a unital weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra. If A is not properly infinite, then A has a non-zero finite quotient A/I (by [16, Corollary 3.15]). But A/I is weakly purely infinite by Proposition 4.5 (iii), thus contradicting (iv).

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). All non-zero projections in a purely infinite C^* -algebra are properly infinite by [16, Theorem 4.16].

(vi) \Rightarrow (i). Let A be a weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra. To show that A is purely infinite it suffices to show that all non-zero positive elements a in A are properly infinite, and this will be the case if \overline{aAa} is purely infinite.

Recall from Lemma 4.5 (ii) that \overline{aAa} is weakly purely infinite. If (vi) holds, then $\mathcal{M}(\overline{aAa})$ is properly infinite, and so there is a full (possibly non-unital) embedding of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ into $\mathcal{M}(\overline{aAa})$ for every natural number n . Lemma 4.13 therefore implies that \overline{aAa} has the global Glimm property, and by Proposition 4.15 we conclude that \overline{aAa} is purely infinite as desired. \square

5 Strongly purely infinite C^* -algebras

Our third notion of pure infiniteness is perhaps not very intuitive, but the definition is still local and algebraic like the definitions of being purely infinite and weakly purely infinite. It turns out that this notion is precisely what is required to obtain an \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorption theorem (Theorem 8.6) and a Weyl–von Neumann type result such as Theorem 7.21.

Definition 5.1 A C^* -algebra A is said to be *strongly purely infinite* if for every

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)^+$$

and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist d_1, d_2 in A such that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} d_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

The matrix diagonalization appearing in this definition can be rephrased in a number of ways (see also Remark 5.10 below):

Lemma 5.2 For each element $\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix}$ in $M_2(A)^+$, the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist d_1, d_2 in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $\|d_1^*ad_1 - a\| \leq \varepsilon$, $\|d_2^*bd_2 - b\| \leq \varepsilon$, and $\|d_2^*xd_1\| \leq \varepsilon$.

(ii) For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist d_1, d_2 in A such that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} d_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

(iii) For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there exist d_1 in \overline{aAa} and d_2 in \overline{bAb} such that $d_1^*ad_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+$, $d_2^*bd_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+$, and $\|d_2^*xd_1\| \leq \delta$.

Proof: The implications (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i) are obvious.

Assume that (i) holds. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ be given, and find e_1, e_2 in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $\|e_1^*ae_1 - a\| \leq \varepsilon/2$, $\|e_2^*be_2 - b\| \leq \varepsilon/2$, and $\|e_2^*xe_1\| \leq \delta/2$. Set $f_j = g_j^{1/2}e_jg_j^{1/2}$, $j = 1, 2$, where g_1 and g_2 are positive contractions (approximate units) in \overline{aAa} , respectively in \overline{bAb} , chosen such that $\|f_1^*af_1 - a\| < \varepsilon$, $\|f_2^*bf_2 - b\| < \varepsilon$, and $\|f_2^*xf_1\| \leq \delta$. By Lemma 2.2 there are contractions h_1 and h_2 in \overline{aAa} , respectively in \overline{bAb} , such that $h_1^*f_1^*af_1h_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+$ and $h_2^*f_2^*bf_2h_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+$. We can therefore take d_j to be f_jh_j , $j = 1, 2$. \square

In a strongly purely infinite (or in a purely infinite) C^* -algebra A , if $a = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is a positive element in $M_2(A)$, then $\text{diag}(a_{11}, a_{22}) \precsim a$. The converse holds in any C^* -algebra:

Lemma 5.3 *Let A be any C^* -algebra, let n be a positive integer, and let $a = (a_{ij})$ be a positive element in $M_n(A)$. Then $a \precsim \text{diag}(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn})$.*

Proof: This follows from the fact that a belongs to the hereditary sub- C^* -algebra generated by $\text{diag}(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn})$ (and from the comment below Lemma 2.3). Alternatively, the lemma can be obtained from the inequality $a \leq n \cdot \text{diag}(a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn})$. \square

Proposition 5.4 *Every strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra is purely infinite.*

Proof: If A is strongly purely infinite and if a is a positive element in A , then

$$a \oplus a = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \precsim \begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{pmatrix} = rr^* \sim r^*r = 2a \approx a$$

(see Definition 2.1), when r is the column matrix in $M_{2,1}(A)$ with both entries equal to $a^{1/2}$. \square

The following definition is convenient for the formulation of some of our next lemmas.

Definition 5.5 (The matrix diagonalization property) An n -tuple (a_1, \dots, a_n) in a C^* -algebra A is said to have the *matrix diagonalization property* if for every positive matrix $a = (a_{ij})$ in $M_n(A)$ with $a_{jj} = a_j$, and for every $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \dots, \varepsilon_n > 0$ and every $\delta > 0$ there are elements d_1, \dots, d_n in A with

$$d_j^*a_{jj}d_j = (a_{jj} - \varepsilon_j)_+, \quad \|d_i^*a_{ij}d_j\| \leq \delta \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \quad (5.1)$$

The norm estimate on the elements d_j in the next lemma will be improved later in Corollary 7.22, where it is shown that we can take d_1, \dots, d_n to be contractions if A is strongly purely infinite.

Lemma 5.6 *Let a_1, \dots, a_n be positive elements in a C^* -algebra A , let $\varepsilon_1 > 0, \dots, \varepsilon_n > 0$, and suppose that the n -tuple $((a_1 - r\varepsilon_1)_+, \dots, (a_n - r\varepsilon_n)_+)$ has the matrix diagonalization property for some r in $(0, 1)$. Then for each positive matrix $a = (a_{ij})$ in $M_n(A)$, with $a_{jj} = a_j$, and for each $\delta > 0$ there are elements d_1, \dots, d_n in A such that (5.1) holds and such that $\|d_j\|^2 \leq (r\varepsilon_j)^{-1}\|a_j\|$.*

Proof: Let $f_\varepsilon: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the continuous function given by

$$f_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{(t - r\varepsilon)/t}, & t \geq r\varepsilon, \\ 0, & t < r\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(a - r\varepsilon)_+ = f_\varepsilon(a)^2 a \geq r\varepsilon f_\varepsilon(a)^2$$

for all positive a in A . Put $b_{ij} = f_{\varepsilon_i}(a_i)a_{ij}f_{\varepsilon_j}(a_j)$. Then $b = (b_{ij})$ is a positive matrix in $M_n(A)$ and $b_{jj} = (a_j - r\varepsilon_j)_+$. By assumption, and because $r\varepsilon_j < \varepsilon_j$, there are elements e_1, \dots, e_n in A such that $e_j^* b_{jj} e_j = (a_j - \varepsilon_j)_+$ and $\|e_i^* b_{ij} e_j\| \leq \delta$ for $i \neq j$. Put $d_j = f_{\varepsilon_j}(a_j)e_j$, so that $d_i^* a_{ij} d_j = e_i^* b_{ij} e_j$. Then (5.1) holds, and

$$\|d_j\|^2 = \|e_j^* f_{\varepsilon_j}(a_j)^2 e_j\| \leq \frac{1}{r\varepsilon_j} \|e_j^* f_{\varepsilon_j}(a_j) a_j f_{\varepsilon_j}(a_j) e_j\| = \frac{1}{r\varepsilon_j} \|(a_j - \varepsilon_j)_+\| \leq \frac{1}{r\varepsilon_j} \|a_j\|$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 5.7 *Let a_1, \dots, a_n be positive elements in a C^* -algebra A , and suppose that each pair $((a_i - \eta_i)_+, (a_j - \eta_j)_+)$, $i \neq j$, has the matrix diagonalization property for every choice of $\eta_k \geq 0$. Then the n -tuple (a_1, \dots, a_n) has the matrix diagonalization property.*

Proof: The lemma is proved by induction on n . For $n = 2$ there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $n \geq 3$ and that the lemma has been verified for $n - 1$. Let $a = (a_{ij})$ be a positive matrix in $M_n(A)$ with $a_{jj} = a_j$, and let $\varepsilon_j > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ be given. We find d_1, \dots, d_n in A with $d_j^* a_{jj} d_j = (a_{jj} - \varepsilon_j)_+$ and $\|d_i^* a_{ij} d_j\| \leq \delta$ for $i \neq j$. The proof has three steps: First we diagonalize the lower $n - 1$ by $n - 1$ sub-matrix of a , then we diagonalize the resulting upper $n - 1$ by $n - 1$ sub-matrix, and at the end we take care of the entries at the positions $(1, n)$ and $(n, 1)$.

Take $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ (to be determined later). By the induction hypothesis there are elements f_2, \dots, f_n in A such that $f_j^* a_{jj} f_j = (a_{jj} - \varepsilon_j/2)_+$ and $\|f_i^* a_{ij} f_j\| \leq \delta_0$ for $i \neq j$. Set $f_1 = 1$ and put $b_{ij} = f_i^* a_{ij} f_j$, making $b = (b_{ij})$ a positive matrix in $M_n(A)$. Use the

induction hypothesis and Lemma 5.6 (with $r = 1/2$) to find elements g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} in A such that

$$\|g_j\| \leq 2\varepsilon_j^{-1}\|a_{jj}\|, \quad g_j^*b_{jj}g_j = (b_{jj} - \varepsilon_j/2)_+, \quad \|g_i^*b_{ij}g_j\| \leq \delta_1 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Set $g_n = 1$ and set $c_{ij} = g_i^*b_{ij}g_j$. Then

$$c_{jj} = \begin{cases} (a_{jj} - \varepsilon_j/2)_+, & j = 1, j = n, \\ (a_{jj} - \varepsilon_j)_+, & 2 \leq j \leq n-1, \end{cases} \quad \|c_{ij}\| \leq \begin{cases} \delta_1, & i \neq j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1, \\ \|g_j\|\delta_0, & i = n, 2 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ \|g_i\|\delta_0, & j = n, 2 \leq i \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

Use again the induction assumption and Lemma 5.6 (with $r = 1/2$) to find h_1 and h_n in A with $\|h_j\| \leq 2\varepsilon_j^{-1}\|a_{jj}\|$ and

$$\|h_1^*c_{1n}h_n\| = \|h_n^*c_{n1}h_1\| \leq \delta, \quad h_j^*c_{jj}h_j = (c_{jj} - \varepsilon_j/2)_+ = (a_{jj} - \varepsilon_j)_+ \quad \text{for } j = 1, n,$$

and put $h_j = 1$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$. Then $d_j = f_j g_j h_j$ satisfies $d_j^* a_{jj} d_j = (a_{jj} - \varepsilon_j)_+$, and if δ_0 and δ_1 have been chosen small enough, then also $\|d_i^* a_{ij} d_j\| \leq \delta$ whenever $i \neq j$. \square

As each pair of positive elements in a strongly purely infinite has the matrix diagonalization property, Lemma 5.7 and Lemma 5.6 imply:

Lemma 5.8 *Let A be a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra. Then for each positive matrix $a = (a_{ij})$ in $M_n(A)$, for each choice of $\varepsilon_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and for each $\delta > 0$ there are elements d_1, \dots, d_n in A such that*

$$d_j^* a_{jj} d_j = (a_{jj} - \varepsilon_j)_+, \quad \|d_i^* a_{ij} d_j\| \leq \delta \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad \|d_j\|^2 \leq 2\varepsilon_j^{-1}\|a_{jj}\|. \quad (5.2)$$

Lemma 5.9 *Let A be a C^* -algebra, and let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m be two families of positive elements in A such that the $(n+m)$ -tuple $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m)$ has the matrix diagonalization property. Then the pair $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i, \sum_{j=1}^m b_j)$ has the matrix diagonalization property.*

Proof: We may assume that $m = n$ (otherwise take for example $b_{m+1} = \dots = b_n = 0$). Put $a = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$ and $b = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$, and let x in A be such that $\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ x & b \end{pmatrix}$ is a positive matrix

in $M_2(A)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Put

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{1/2} \\ \vdots \\ a_n^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad t = \begin{pmatrix} b_1^{1/2} \\ \vdots \\ b_n^{1/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $s^*s = a$ and $t^*t = b$. Write $s = ua^{1/2}$ and $t = vb^{1/2}$ for some partial isometries u, v in $M_{n,1}(A^{**})$. Recall that uc , respectively, vc , belongs to $M_{n,1}(A)$ for every c in \overline{aAa} , respectively, in \overline{bAb} . Also,

$$uau^* = ss^* = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_1^{1/2}a_n^{1/2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_n^{1/2}a_1^{1/2} & \cdots & a_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad vbv^* = tt^* = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & \cdots & b_1^{1/2}b_n^{1/2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_n^{1/2}b_1^{1/2} & \cdots & b_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} uau^* & ux^*v^* \\ vxu^* & vbv^* \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2n}(A)^+,$$

and that the diagonal entries of this matrix are $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n)$, and this $2n$ -tuple has the matrix diagonalization property by assumption. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 5.11 (iii) we find matrices f_1, f_2 in $M_n(A)$ such that

$$\|f_1^*uau^*f_1 - uau^*\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|f_2^*vbv^*f_2 - vbv^*\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|f_2^*vxu^*f_1\| < \varepsilon.$$

Replacing f_j by $e_j f_j e_j$, where e_1 and e_2 are suitable approximate units in the hereditary sub- C^* -algebras generated by uau^* , respectively, vbv^* , we can assume that f_1 and f_2 belong to these respective hereditary sub- C^* -algebras. This will ensure that $d_1 = u^*f_1u$ and $d_2 = v^*f_2v$ belong to A . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \|d_1^*ad_1 - a\| &= \|ud_1^*ad_1u^* - uau^*\| = \|f_1^*uau^*f_1 - uau^*\| < \varepsilon, \\ \|d_2^*bd_2 - b\| &= \|vd_2^*bd_2v^* - vbv^*\| = \|f_2^*vbv^*f_2 - vbv^*\| < \varepsilon, \\ \|d_2^*xd_1\| &= \|vd_2^*xd_1u^*\| = \|f_2^*vxu^*f_1\| < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Remark 5.10 (Matrix diagonalization revisited) A C^* -algebra A is strongly purely infinite if and only if for each pair of positive element a, b in A and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there

are elements d_1, d_2 in A such that

$$\|d_1^* a d_1 - a\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \|d_2^* b d_2 - b\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \|d_2^* b^{1/2} a^{1/2} d_1\| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (5.3)$$

To see this, note first that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & a^{1/2} b^{1/2} \\ b^{1/2} a^{1/2} & b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & b^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & b^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)^+.$$

The “only if” part of the claim now follows immediately from Definition 5.1.

To prove the “if” part it suffices to show that $((a - \eta)_+, (b - \eta)_+)$ has the matrix diagonalization property for every pair of positive elements a, b in A and for every $\eta > 0$; cf. Lemma 5.6. Let x in A be such that $\begin{pmatrix} (a - \eta)_+ & x^* \\ x & (b - \eta)_+ \end{pmatrix}$ is positive. Put $c = a + b$. It follows from (5.3), as in the proof of Proposition 5.4, that A must be purely infinite. Hence, for some $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (a - \eta)_+ & x^* \\ x & (b - \eta)_+ \end{pmatrix} \lesssim_0 \begin{pmatrix} (a - \eta/2)_+ & 0 \\ 0 & (b - \eta/2)_+ \end{pmatrix} \lesssim_0 \begin{pmatrix} (c - \delta)_+ & 0 \\ 0 & (c - \delta)_+ \end{pmatrix} \lesssim_0 c,$$

where $e \lesssim_0 f$ means that $e = z^* f z$ for some z in (a rectangular matrix over) A . We need therefore only show that each positive matrix in $M_2(A)$ of the form $y^* c y$, where y belongs to $M_{1,2}(A)$ and c is a positive element in A , can be approximately matrix diagonalized.

Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} = y^* c y$ be given and write $y = (y_1, y_2)$. Then $a = y_1^* c y_1$, $b = y_2^* c y_2$, and $x = y_2^* c y_1$. Consider the polar decompositions $c^{1/2} y_1 = u a^{1/2}$ and $c^{1/2} y_2 = v b^{1/2}$, where u, v are partial isometries in A^{**} , and put $a_0 = u a u^*$ and $b_0 = v b v^*$. Then a_0 and b_0 belong to A , and

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^* & 0 \\ 0 & v^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_0^{1/2} b_0^{1/2} \\ b_0^{1/2} a_0^{1/2} & b_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Find e_1, e_2 in A such that

$$\|e_1^* a_0 e_1 - a_0\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|e_2^* b_0 e_2 - b_0\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|e_2^* b_0^{1/2} a_0^{1/2} e_1\| < \varepsilon.$$

Upon replacing e_1 by $g e_1 g$ for a suitable positive contraction in the hereditary sub- C^* -algebra $\overline{a_0 A a_0}$ we may assume that e_1 belongs to this sub- C^* -algebra. Similarly, we may assume that e_2 belongs to $\overline{b_0 A b_0}$. Then $d_1 = u^* e_1 u$ and $d_2 = v^* e_2 v$ belong to A , and

$$\|d_1^* a d_1 - a\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|d_2^* b d_2 - b\| < \varepsilon, \quad \|d_2^* x d_1\| < \varepsilon.$$

Proposition 5.11 (Permanence properties)

- (i) *If A is strongly purely infinite, then so is every non-zero quotient of A .*
- (ii) *If A is strongly purely infinite, then so are all its non-zero hereditary sub- C^* -algebras.*
- (iii) *If A and B are stably isomorphic and if A is strongly purely infinite, then so is B .*
- (iv) *Any inductive limit of a system of strongly purely infinite C^* -algebras is again strongly purely infinite.*

Proof: (i). We must show that A/I is strongly purely infinite whenever I is a closed two-sided ideal in A . To see this take a positive element b in $M_2(A/I)$. Lift b to a positive element a in $M_2(A)$. Find d_1, d_2 in A that approximately matrix diagonalize a as in Definition 5.1. The images under the quotient mapping $A \rightarrow A/I$ of d_1, d_2 will then approximately matrix diagonalize b .

(ii). This follows from Lemma 5.2.

(iv). In the light of (i) it suffices to show that if A is a C^* -algebra with a directed family $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ of strongly purely infinite sub- C^* -algebras A_i such that $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{I}} A_i$ is dense in A , then A is strongly purely infinite.

We must for each positive matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ x^* & b \end{pmatrix}$ in $M_2(A)$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ show that there are d_1, d_2 in A such that $\|d_1^* a d_1 - a\| \leq \varepsilon$, $\|d_2^* b d_2 - b\| \leq \varepsilon$, and $\|d_2^* x d_1\| \leq \varepsilon$. It is no loss of generality to assume that the given positive matrix is a contraction.

Choose $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $\delta_2 \leq \varepsilon/2$ and $(2\delta_2^{-1} + 1)\delta_1 \leq \varepsilon/2$. Find i in \mathbb{I} and a positive element $\begin{pmatrix} a_0 & x_0 \\ x_0^* & b_0 \end{pmatrix}$ in $M_2(A_i)$ such that

$$\|a - a_0\| < \delta_1, \quad \|b - b_0\| < \delta_1, \quad \|x - x_0\| < \delta_1.$$

Find next d_1, d_2 in A_i with $\|d_i\|^2 \leq 2\delta_2^{-1}$ and

$$d_1^* a_0 d_1 = (a_0 - \delta_2)_+, \quad d_2^* b_0 d_2 = (b_0 - \delta_2)_+, \quad \|d_2^* x_0 d_1\| < \delta_2;$$

cf. Lemma 5.6. Then

$$\|d_1^* a d_1 - a\| \leq \|d_1\|^2 \|a - a_0\| + \|a - a_0\| + \delta_2 \leq (2\delta_2^{-1} + 1)\delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq \varepsilon,$$

and, similarly, $\|d_2^* b d_2 - b\| < \varepsilon$. Also,

$$\|d_2^* x d_1\| \leq \|d_1\| \|d_2\| \|x - x_0\| + \|d_2^* x_0 d_1\| \leq 2\delta_2^{-1} \delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

This shows that A is strongly purely infinite.

(iii). By (ii) it suffices to show that $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is strongly purely infinite when A is strongly purely infinite, and using (iv) it suffices to show that $M_n(A)$ is strongly purely infinite for all natural numbers n , when A is strongly purely infinite. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix}$ be a positive element in $M_2(M_n(A))$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then a and b are positive elements of $M_n(A)$. Denote by \widehat{a} and \widehat{b} the diagonal parts of a and b , i.e., \widehat{a} is the diagonal matrix in $M_n(A)$ whose diagonal entries are equal to the diagonal entries of a , and similarly for \widehat{b} . Then $\text{diag}(\widehat{a}, \widehat{b})$ is the diagonal part of $\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix}$. As remarked in Lemma 5.3, $a \preceq \widehat{a}$ and $b \preceq \widehat{b}$. Hence there is $\delta > 0$ and e_1, e_2 in $M_n(A)$ such that $e_1^*(\widehat{a} - \delta)_+e_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+$ and $e_2^*(\widehat{b} - \delta)_+e_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+$.

According to Lemma 5.8 we can find (diagonal) matrices f_1, f_2 in $M_n(A)$ such that

$$f_1^*af_1 = (\widehat{a} - \delta)_+, \quad f_2^*bf_2 = (\widehat{b} - \delta)_+, \quad \|f_2^*xf_1\| \leq \|e_1\|^{-1}\|e_2\|^{-1}\varepsilon.$$

Put $d_1 = f_1e_1$ and $d_2 = f_2e_2$. Then $d_1^*ad_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+$, $d_2^*bd_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+$, and $\|d_2^*xd_1\| \leq \|e_1\|\|e_2\|\|f_2^*xf_1\| \leq \varepsilon$. This shows that $M_n(A)$ is strongly purely infinite. \square

Proposition 5.12 *The following conditions are equivalent for every C^* -algebra A :*

- (i) A is strongly purely infinite.
- (ii) $\ell^\infty(A)$ is strongly purely infinite.
- (iii) A_ω is strongly purely infinite for every filter ω on \mathbb{N} .
- (iv) A_ω is strongly purely infinite for some filter ω on \mathbb{N} .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume that A is strongly purely infinite. To show that $\ell^\infty(A)$ is strongly purely infinite take a positive matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix}$ in $\ell^\infty(A)$. Upon scaling this matrix, we can assume that a and b are contractions. Write

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots), \quad b = (b_1, b_2, \dots), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, \dots).$$

Then $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, and $\{x_n\}$ are sequences of contractions in A and $\begin{pmatrix} a_n & x_n^* \\ x_n & b_n \end{pmatrix}$ is positive in $M_2(A)$ for each n . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Use Lemma 5.6 to find elements $d_{1,n}, d_{2,n}$ in A with

$$d_{1,n}^*a_nd_{1,n} = (a_n - \varepsilon)_+, \quad d_{2,n}^*b_nd_{2,n} = (b_n - \varepsilon)_+, \quad \|d_{2,n}^*x_nd_{1,n}\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \|d_{j,n}\|^2 \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1}.$$

Then $d_j = (d_{j,1}, d_{j,2}, \dots)$ belongs to $\ell^\infty(A)$ for $j = 1, 2$, and

$$d_1^*ad_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+, \quad d_2^*bd_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+, \quad \|d_2^*xd_1\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

This shows that $\ell^\infty(A)$ is strongly purely infinite.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). This follows from Proposition 5.11 (i).

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv). Trivial!

(iv) \Rightarrow (i). Assume that A_ω is strongly purely infinite for some filter ω on \mathbb{N} . Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix}$ be a positive element in $M_2(A)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $\pi_\omega: \ell^\infty(A) \rightarrow A_\omega$ denote the quotient mapping. Identify x in A with $\pi_\omega(x, x, \dots)$ (thus viewing A as a sub- C^* -algebra of A_ω). Then $\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix}$ is a positive element in $M_2(A_\omega)$. We can therefore find d_1, d_2 in A_ω such that $\|d_1^* a d_1 - a\| < \varepsilon$, $\|d_2^* b d_2 - b\| < \varepsilon$, and $\|d_2^* x d_2\| < \varepsilon$. Write $d_j = \pi_\omega(d_{j,1}, d_{j,2}, \dots)$. Then

$$\limsup_\omega \|d_{1,n}^* a d_{1,n} - a\| < \varepsilon, \quad \limsup_\omega \|d_{2,n}^* b d_{2,n} - b\| < \varepsilon, \quad \limsup_\omega \|d_{2,n}^* x d_{1,n}\| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence $\|d_{1,n}^* a d_{1,n} - a\| < \varepsilon$, $\|d_{2,n}^* b d_{2,n} - b\| < \varepsilon$, and $\|d_{2,n}^* x d_{1,n}\| < \varepsilon$ for each n in some subset belonging to ω , and hence for at least one n . This completes the proof. \square

Combining Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 2.5 we get the following sharpening of Lemma 5.8 for limit algebras:

Lemma 5.13 *Let ω be a free filter on \mathbb{N} and let A be a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra. Then for each positive matrix $a = (a_{ij})$ in $M_n(A_\omega)$ and for each choice of $\varepsilon_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, there are elements d_1, \dots, d_n in A_ω such that*

$$d_j^* a_{jj} d_j = (a_{jj} - \varepsilon_j)_+, \quad \|d_i^* a_{ij} d_j\| = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad \|d_j\|^2 \leq 2\varepsilon_j^{-1} \|a_{jj}\|.$$

Proposition 5.14 *Every approximately divisible, purely infinite C^* -algebra is strongly purely infinite.*

Proof: Let A be an approximately divisible, purely infinite C^* -algebra, and let ω be a free filter on \mathbb{N} . We show that A_ω is strongly purely infinite, and it will then follow from Proposition 5.12 that A is strongly purely infinite.

Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix}$ be a positive matrix in $M_2(A_\omega)$. Lift T to a positive matrix (T_1, T_2, \dots) in $M_2(\ell^\infty(A))$ and write $T_n = \begin{pmatrix} a_n & x_n^* \\ x_n & b_n \end{pmatrix}$. With $E = M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$ we can find unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi_n: E \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n(e) a_n - a_n \varphi_n(e)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n(e) b_n - b_n \varphi_n(e)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n(e) x_n - x_n \varphi_n(e)\| = 0$$

for all e in E .

With $\pi_\omega: \ell^\infty(\mathcal{M}(A)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)_\omega$ the quotient mapping, define

$$\varphi: E \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)_\omega \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A_\omega) \text{ by } \varphi(e) = \pi_\omega(\varphi_1(e), \varphi_2(e), \dots).$$

Then a, b, x commute with the image of φ .

Choose a full (non-unital) $*$ -homomorphism $\iota: M_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow E$, let $\{e_{ij}\}$ be the matrix units for $M_2(\mathbb{C})$, and put $f_{ij} = \iota(e_{ij})$. There are elements e_1, e_2, e_3 in E such that $1 = \sum_{j=1}^3 e_j^* f_{11} e_j$. Hence

$$a = \sum_{j=1}^3 \varphi(e_j^*) \varphi(f_{11}) a \varphi(e_j)$$

and so a belongs to the ideal generated by $\varphi(f_{11})a (= \varphi(f_{11})a\varphi(f_{11}))$. Similarly, b belongs to the ideal generated by $\varphi(f_{22})b\varphi(f_{22})$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since A_ω is purely infinite (by Proposition 3.5) there are elements c_1, c_2 in A_ω such that

$$c_1^* \varphi(f_{11}) a \varphi(f_{11}) c_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+, \quad c_2^* \varphi(f_{22}) b \varphi(f_{22}) c_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+.$$

Put $d_1 = \varphi(f_{11})c_1$ and $d_2 = \varphi(f_{22})c_2$. Then $d_2^* x d_1 = 0$ because x commutes with the image of φ , $d_1^* a d_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+$, and $d_2^* b d_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+$. Hence T can be matrix diagonalized, and this proves that A_ω is strongly purely infinite. \square

6 Purely infinite C^* -algebras of real rank zero

It is shown in this section that every purely infinite C^* -algebra of real rank zero is strongly purely infinite.

Call an element a in a C^* -algebra A *locally central* if it belongs to the center of the hereditary sub- C^* -algebra \overline{aAa} . Every projection and every multiple of a projection are locally central. If a is locally central, then so is $(a - \rho)_+$ for every $\rho \geq 0$.

Definition 6.1 A C^* -algebra A is said to have the *locally central decomposition property* if for every a in A^+ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist locally central elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n in A^+ such that

(i) a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n belong to \overline{AaA} ,

(ii) $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ belongs to $\overline{A(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j)A}$.

Remark 6.2 If a purely infinite C^* -algebra A satisfies the locally central decomposition property then it has the following stronger property:

For every a in A^+ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist e, f in A and locally central elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n in A^+ such that

$$(i) \quad e^*ae = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j,$$

$$(ii) \quad f^*(e^*ae)f = (a - \varepsilon)_+.$$

To see that the locally central decomposition property implies conditions (i) and (ii) above, take a in A^+ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Applying conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 6.1 to $(a - \varepsilon/3)_+$ and to $\varepsilon/3$, and using the assumption that A is purely infinite, we find locally central elements a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n in A^+ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \precsim (a - \varepsilon/3)_+, \quad (a - 2\varepsilon/3)_+ \precsim \sum_{j=1}^n a_j.$$

We can now use Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3 to find e, f in A such that (i) and (ii) above hold.

Proposition 6.3 *Every purely infinite C^* -algebra of real rank zero has the locally central decomposition property.*

Proof: Let a be a non-zero positive element in a purely infinite C^* -algebra A of real rank zero. Since \overline{aAa} has an approximate unit consisting of projections, it contains for each $\varepsilon > 0$ a projection p such that $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ belongs to the ideal generated by p . Hence the two conditions of Definition 6.1 are satisfied (with $n = 1$ and $a_1 = p$). \square

It is shown in [4] that continuous field C^* -algebras, whose fibers are purely infinite and simple, have the locally central decomposition property.

Lemma 6.4 *Let A be a purely infinite C^* -algebra. Let $\delta > 0$, let x, f in A , and e in $\overline{x^*Ax}$ be given such that x is a contraction and*

$$\|f^*xe\| \leq \delta^2, \quad (|x| - \delta)_+ \leq |e|.$$

Let D be a hereditary sub- C^ -algebra of A which contains x^*x . Then for each positive element b in D and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists d in D with $d^*d = (b - \varepsilon)_+$ and*

$$\|f^*xd\| \leq (2\|b\|\|f\|(1 + 5\|f\|))^{1/2}\delta^{1/2}.$$

Proof: We may assume that $\delta < 1$. Put $b_0 = (b - \varepsilon)_+$ and find positive contractions u_1, u_2 in D such that $u_1 u_2 = u_2 u_1 = u_2$ and $u_2 b_0 = b_0 u_2 = b_0$.

Let J be the closed two-sided ideal in A generated by $(|e^*| - \delta)_+$ and let $\pi_J: A \rightarrow A/J$ be the quotient mapping. Then $\|\pi_J(e)\| \leq \delta$, and hence $\|\pi_J(|x|)\| \leq 2\delta$. Accordingly, we can find a positive contraction g in J such that $\|xu_1^{1/2}(1-g)\| \leq \sqrt{5}\delta$.

Put $a = u_1^{1/2}(1-g)^2 u_1^{1/2} + |e^*|$. Then a belongs to D and $(u_1 - \delta)_+$ belongs to the closed two-sided ideal I in A generated by $(a - \delta)_+$. To see the latter, observe first that $\|\pi_I(|e^*|)\| \leq \|\pi_I(a)\| \leq \delta$, which shows that $(|e^*| - \delta)_+$ belongs to I . This entails that I contains J . Hence

$$\pi_I(u_1) = \pi_I(u_1^{1/2}(1-g)^2 u_1^{1/2}) \leq \pi_I(a) \leq \delta,$$

whence $(u_1 - \delta)_+$ belongs to I .

We have $u_2 \preceq (u_1 - \delta)_+ \preceq (a - \delta)_+$ (in A and hence in D), the second relation is because $(a - \delta)_+$ is properly infinite. By Lemma 2.4 (ii) we can find y in D such that $\|y\|^2 \leq 2/\delta$ and $y^* a y = u_2$. Put $d = a^{1/2} y b_0^{1/2}$. Then d belongs to D , $d^* d = b_0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^* x d\|^2 &= \|f^* x d d^* x^* f\| \leq \|b_0\| \|y\|^2 \|f^* x a x^* f\| \\ &\leq 2\delta^{-1} \|b\| (\|f^* x u_1^{1/2} (1-g)^2 u_1^{1/2} x^* f\| + \|f^* x |e^*| x^* f\|) \\ &\leq 2\delta^{-1} \|b\| (\|f\|^2 \|x u_1^{1/2} (1-g)\|^2 + \|f^* x |e^*| \|x^*\| \|f\|) \\ &\leq 2\delta^{-1} \|b\| (5\delta^2 \|f\|^2 + \delta^2 \|f\|) \\ &= 2\delta \|b\| \|f\| (5\|f\| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 6.5 *Let A be a purely infinite C^* -algebra, let D_1 and D_2 be hereditary sub- C^* -algebras of A , and let x be an element in A such that $x^* x \in D_1$ and $x x^* \in D_2$. Let a be a positive element in D_1 , let b be a positive element in D_2 , and let $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ be given. It follows that for each $\delta > 0$ there exist $d_1 \in D_1$ and $d_2 \in D_2$ such that $d_1^* d_1 = (a - \varepsilon_1)_+$, $d_2^* d_2 = (b - \varepsilon_2)_+$, and $\|d_2^* x d_1\| < \delta$.*

Proof: Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, we may assume that a, b , and x are contractions. Choose $\eta > 0$ such that $12^3 \eta \leq \delta^4$. Using that A is purely infinite we can find h_1, h_2 in $\overline{x^* A x}$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} (|x| - \eta)_+^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (|x|^{1/2} - \eta)_+^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1^* & 0 \\ h_2^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |x| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & h_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

or, equivalently, such that

$$h_1^*|x|h_1 = (|x| - \eta)_+^2, \quad h_2^*|x|h_2 = (|x|^{1/2} - \eta)_+^2, \quad h_1^*|x|h_2 = 0.$$

Let $x = v|x| = |x^*|v$ be the polar decomposition for x , where v is a partial isometry in A^{**} . Put $x_1 = v|x|^{1/2}$, $f_1 = x_1h_1v^*$, and $e_1 = h_2$. Then e_1 belongs to $\overline{x^*Ax} = \overline{x_1^*Ax_1}$, $f_1^*x_1e_1 = vh_1^*x_1^*x_1h_2 = vh_1^*|x|h_2 = 0$,

$$|e_1| = (h_2^*h_2)^{1/2} \geq (h_2^*|x|h_2)^{1/2} = (|x|^{1/2} - \eta)_+ = (|x_1| - \eta)_+,$$

and f_1 is a contraction. It follows from Lemma 6.4 that there exists d_1 in D_1 with $d_1^*d_1 = (a - \varepsilon_1)_+$ and $\|f_1^*x_1d_1\| \leq (12\eta)^{1/2} (= \eta_1)$.

Put $x_2 = x^*$, $f_2 = d_1$, and $e_2 = vh_1v^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_1^*x_1d_1 &= vh_1^*x_1^*x_1f_2 = vh_1^*|x|f_2 \\ &= (f_2^*|x|h_1v^*)^* = (f_2^*x^*vh_1v^*)^* = (f_2^*x_2e_2)^*, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\|f_2^*x_2e_2\| \leq \eta_1$. Moreover, e_2 belongs to $v(\overline{x^*Ax})v^* = \overline{xAx^*} = \overline{x_2^*Ax_2}$, $x_2^*x_2 = xx^*$ belongs to D_2 , and

$$\begin{aligned} |e_2| &= v(h_1^*h_1)^{1/2}v^* \geq v(h_1^*|x|h_1)^{1/2}v^* = v(|x| - \eta)_+v^* \\ &= (|x^*| - \eta)_+ = (|x_2| - \eta)_+ \geq (|x_2| - \eta_1)_+. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Lemma 6.4 once more to get an element d_2 in D_2 satisfying $d_2^*d_2 = (b - \varepsilon_2)_+$, and

$$\|f_2^*x_2d_2\| \leq (12\eta_1\|b\|)^{1/2} \leq (12\eta_1)^{1/2} \leq (12(12\eta)^{1/2})^{1/2} \leq \delta.$$

This completes the proof because $\|d_2^*x_1d_1\| = \|d_1^*x^*d_2\| = \|f_2^*x_2d_2\|$. \square

Remark 6.6 (Pure infiniteness versus strong pure infiniteness) At a first glance it would seem that Proposition 6.5 proves that every purely infinite C^* -algebra is strongly purely infinite. Here is what actually follows from this proposition: If a, b are positive elements in a purely infinite C^* -algebra A and if $x = b^{1/2}x_0a^{1/2}$, where x_0 belongs to $\overline{(b - \rho)_+A(a - \rho)_+}$ for some $\rho > 0$, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ there are elements d_1, d_2 in A such that $d_1^*ad_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+$, $d_2^*bd_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+$, and $\|d_2^*x_1d_1\| \leq \delta$.

Indeed, assume as we may that $\rho < \varepsilon$, and put

$$a_0 = (a - \rho)_+, \quad b_0 = (b - \rho)_+, \quad D_1 = \overline{a_0Aa_0}, \quad D_2 = \overline{b_0Ab_0},$$

so that $x_0^*x_0$ belongs to D_1 , $x_0x_0^*$ belongs to D_2 , $(a - \varepsilon)_+ = (a_0 - (\varepsilon - \rho))_+$, and $(b - \varepsilon)_+ = (b_0 - (\varepsilon - \rho))_+$. We can apply Proposition 6.5 to get e_1 in D_1 and e_2 in D_2 satisfying $e_1^*e_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+$, $e_2^*e_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+$, and $\|e_2^*x_0e_1\| \leq \delta$. Now, find d_1, d_2 in A such that $e_1 = a^{1/2}d_1$ and $e_2 = b^{1/2}d_2$. Then $d_1^*ad_1 = e_1^*e_1 = (a - \varepsilon)_+$, $d_2^*bd_2 = e_2^*e_2 = (b - \varepsilon)_+$, and

$$\|d_2^*xd_1\| = \|d_2^*b^{1/2}x_0a^{1/2}d_1\| = \|e_2^*x_0e_1\| \leq \delta.$$

In general we cannot take x to be of the form $b^{1/2}x_0a^{1/2}$, with x_0 as above, although, by Remark 5.10, to prove that A is strongly purely infinite, it would suffice to find d_1, d_2 as above for $x = b^{1/2}a^{1/2}$.

The two next lemmas concern the matrix diagonalization property of n -tuples as in Definition 5.5 and locally central elements (defined above Definition 6.1).

Lemma 6.7 *Each n -tuple (a_1, \dots, a_n) of locally central positive elements in a purely infinite C^* -algebra has the matrix diagonalization property.*

Proof: By Lemma 5.7 it suffices to prove the lemma for $n = 2$. Recall that $(a_j - \eta)_+$ is locally central for each $\eta \geq 0$.

Let x in A be such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & x^* \\ x & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)^+.$$

Choose a continuous function $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying that $f(t) = 0$ when $t \leq \delta/2$ and $|f(t)t - t| < \delta$ for all $t \geq 0$. Put $D_j = \overline{a_j A a_j}$, $j = 1, 2$, so that x^*x belongs to D_1 and xx^* belongs to D_2 . It follows from Proposition 6.5 that there exist d_j in D_j , $j = 1, 2$, satisfying $d_j^*d_j = f(a_j)$ and $\|d_j^*xd_j\| < \delta$. Notice that $\|d_j\|^2 = \|f(a_j)\| \leq 1$. Because a_j is a central element in D_j we get $d_j^*a_jd_j = d_j^*d_ja_j = f(a_j)a_j$. Hence $\|d_j^*a_jd_j - a_j\| \leq \delta$ and $\|d_j^*xd_j\| \leq \delta$, and this shows that (a_1, a_2) has the matrix diagonalization property; cf. Lemma 5.2. \square

Theorem 6.8 *Every purely infinite C^* -algebra with the locally central decomposition property is strongly purely infinite.*

Proof: Let A be a C^* -algebra with the locally central decomposition property, and let

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)^+$$

and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By the assumption that A satisfies the locally central decomposition property and by Remark 6.2 and Lemmas 6.7 and 5.9 there are elements e_1, f_1, e_2, f_2 in A

such that $(e_1^*ae_1, e_2^*be_2)$ satisfies the matrix diagonalization property, and such that

$$\|f_1^*(e_1^*ae_1)f_1 - a\| \leq \varepsilon/2, \quad \|f_2^*(e_2^*be_2)f_2 - b\| \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

Now,

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & e_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e_1^*ae_1 & x_1^* \\ x_1 & e_2^*be_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x_1 = e_2^*xe_1$. Find g_1, g_2 in A such that

$$\|g_1^*(e_1^*ae_1)g_1 - e_1^*ae_1\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|f_1\|^2}, \quad \|g_2^*(e_2^*be_2)g_2 - e_2^*be_2\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2\|f_2\|^2}, \quad \|g_2^*x_1g_1\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|f_1\|\|f_2\|}.$$

Put $d_j = e_jg_jf_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|d_1^*ad_1 - a\| &= \|f_1^*g_1^*(e_1^*ae_1)g_1f_1 - a\| \\ &\leq \|f_1\|^2\|g_1^*(e_1^*ae_1)g_1 - e_1^*ae_1\| + \|f_1^*(e_1^*ae_1)f_1 - a\| \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly, $\|d_2^*bd_2 - b\| \leq \varepsilon$. Finally,

$$\|d_2^*xd_1\| = \|f_2^*g_2^*e_2^*xe_1g_1f_1\| = \|f_2^*g_2^*x_1g_1f_1\| \leq \|f_1\|\|f_2\|\|g_2^*x_1g_1\| \leq \varepsilon,$$

as desired. □

Combining Theorem 6.8 with Proposition 6.3 yields:

Corollary 6.9 *Every purely infinite C^* -algebra of real rank zero is strongly purely infinite.*

7 Approximately inner completely positive contraction on strongly purely infinite C^* -algebras

The main result of this section is a local variation of the Weyl–von Neumann theorem. It says that any approximately inner, completely positive contraction from a nuclear sub- C^* -algebra of a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra A into A is approximately 1-step inner. This result will in Section 8 be used to show that A is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ if A is nuclear, strongly purely infinite, stable, and separable.

The section is divided into three, the last of which contains a refinement of matrix diagonalization in strongly purely infinite C^* -algebras that will not be used in the rest of the paper.

Some preliminary results

We begin by defining what it means for a completely positive mapping to be (approximately) inner:

Definition 7.1 (Inner and approximately inner maps) Let A and B be C^* -algebras both contained in a C^* -algebra E , and let $T: B \rightarrow A$ be a completely positive map. The map T is said to be n -step inner (relatively to E) if there are elements e_1, \dots, e_n in E such that

$$T(b) = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j^* b e_j$$

for all b in B . We say that T is inner if T is n -step inner for some natural number n .

If for each finite subset F of B and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an n -step inner, respectively, an inner completely positive map $S: B \rightarrow A$ such that $\|T(b) - S(b)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all b in F , then T is called *approximately n -step inner*, respectively, *approximately inner*.

The C^* -algebra E in the definition above will usually be either A , the multiplier algebra of A , or a limit algebra A_ω for some free filter ω on \mathbb{N} . If $E = A$ or if it is clear from the context which ambient C^* -algebra we are considering, then we may omit the reference “relatively to E ”. Lemma 7.3 below says that approximate innerness is independent of the ambient C^* -algebra. First we need a lemma:

Lemma 7.2 *Let $T: B \rightarrow A$ be a completely positive contraction that is approximately n -step inner relatively to a C^* -algebra E containing both A and B . Then for each finite subset F of B and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are elements e_1, \dots, e_n in E such that*

$$\|T(b) - \sum_{j=1}^n e_j^* b e_j\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad b \in F, \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e_j^* e_j \right\| \leq 1.$$

Proof: Let $\delta = \varepsilon / (2 + \max\{\|b\| : b \in F\})$. Find a positive contraction f in B such that $\|f b f - b\| \leq \delta$ for all b in F .

Take an n -step inner completely positive map S with $\|T(b) - S(b)\| < \delta$ for all b in $f F f \cup \{f^2\}$. Write $S(b) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^* b d_j$, where d_j belong to E . Put $e_j = (1 + \delta)^{-1/2} f d_j$.

Then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e_j^* e_j \right\| = (1 + \delta)^{-1} \|S(f^2)\| \leq (1 + \delta)^{-1} (\|T(f^2)\| + \delta) \leq 1.$$

We also have

$$(1 + \delta) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n e_j^* b e_j - T(b) \right) = (S(fbf) - T(fbf)) - T(b - fbf) - \delta T(b),$$

for $b \in B$, from which the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 7.3 *Let A and B be C^* -algebras with B separable, and let $T: B \rightarrow A$ be a completely positive contraction.*

- (i) *Suppose that B is a sub- C^* -algebra of A_ω for some free filter ω on \mathbb{N} and that T is approximately n -step inner relatively to A_ω . Then T is (exactly) n -step inner relatively to A_ω and T is approximately n -step inner relatively to A .*
- (ii) *Suppose that B is a sub- C^* -algebra of $\mathcal{M}(A)$ and that T is approximately n -step inner relatively to $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Then T is approximately n -step inner relatively to A .*

Proof: (i). Assume that T is approximately n -step inner relatively to A_ω . Then for each natural number k there are contractions $d_{1,k}, \dots, d_{n,k}$ in A_ω such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n d_{j,k}^* b d_{j,k} = T(b)$$

for all b in B . By the obvious generalization of Lemma 2.5 to polynomials in $2n$ non-commuting variables we find contractions d_1, \dots, d_n in A_ω satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j^* b d_j = T(b)$ for all b in B .

Write $d_j = \pi_\omega(d_j^{(1)}, d_j^{(2)}, \dots)$ where each $d_j^{(k)}$ is a contraction in A . Then

$$\limsup_{\omega} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (d_j^{(k)})^* b d_j^{(k)} - T(b) \right\| = 0, \quad b \in B.$$

For each finite subset F of B and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we can therefore find a natural number k such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n (d_j^{(k)})^* b d_j^{(k)} - T(b) \right\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad b \in F.$$

Hence T is approximately n -step inner relatively to A .

(ii). Assume that T is approximately n -step inner relatively to $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Take a finite subset F of B and $\varepsilon > 0$. Find an n -step inner completely positive contraction $S: B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $\|T(b) - S(b)\| \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all b in F . Write $S(b) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^* b d_j$ for suitable

d_j in $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Find a positive contraction f in A with $\|f^{1/2}T(b)f^{1/2} - T(b)\| \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all b in F , and put $e_j = d_j f^{1/2}$. Then each e_j belongs to A , and

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e_j^* b e_j - T(b) \right\| \leq \|f^{1/2}(S(b) - T(b))f^{1/2}\| + \|f^{1/2}T(b)f^{1/2} - T(b)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all b in F . □

Part (i) of our next preliminary result describes (approximately) 1-step inner maps, and part (ii) is a related result that will be used in Section 8.

Lemma 7.4 *Let A be a stable C^* -algebra.*

- (i) *Let B be a separable sub- C^* -algebra of A , and let $V: B \rightarrow A$ be an approximately 1-step inner completely positive contraction. Then there is a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of isometries in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $\|t_n^* b t_n - V(b)\| \rightarrow 0$ for all b in B .*
- (ii) *If there is a sequence $\{d_n\}$ of elements in $M_2(A)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| d_n^* \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} d_n - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 0 \tag{7.1}$$

for all a in A , then there are isometries u_n, v_n in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $u_n u_n^* + v_n v_n^* \leq 1$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} u_n^* & 0 \\ v_n^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_n & v_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 0 \tag{7.2}$$

for all a in A .

Proof: Because A is stable we can write $A = A_0 \otimes \mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{K} denotes the compact operators on a separable Hilbert space H . We can then view $1 \otimes B(H)$ as a sub- C^* -algebra of $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Choose an increasing approximate unit $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for \mathcal{K} consisting of projections. Then $\|a(1 - 1 \otimes e_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every a in A . Take isometries $s_{n,1}, s_{n,2}$ in $1 \otimes B(H)$ satisfying $s_{n,1} s_{n,1}^* + s_{n,2} s_{n,2}^* = 1$ and $s_{n,2} s_{n,2}^* \leq 1 - 1 \otimes e_n$. Then $\|a s_{n,2} s_{n,2}^*\| \rightarrow 0$ for all a in A .

(i). Use Lemma 7.2 to find a sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of contractions in A such that $d_n^* b d_n \rightarrow V(b)$ for all b in B , and put

$$t_n = s_{n,1} s_{n,1}^* d_n + s_{n,2} (1 - d_n^* s_{n,1} s_{n,1}^* d_n)^{1/2}. \tag{7.3}$$

Then each t_n is an isometry and $t_n^* b t_n \rightarrow V(b)$ for $b \in B$.

(ii). Observe first that $\mathcal{M}(M_2(A)) = M_2(\mathcal{M}(A))$. Put $e = \text{diag}(1, 0) \in M_2(\mathcal{M}(A))$. By Lemma 7.2 we can assume that each d_n is a contraction. Upon replacing d_n by ed_n we may also assume that $ed_n = d_n$. Let $s_{n,j} \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ be as above, and set

$$\tilde{s}_{n,1} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{n,1}^2 & s_{n,1}s_{n,2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{s}_{n,2} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{n,2}s_{n,1} & s_{n,2}^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let here t_n in $M_2(\mathcal{M}(A))$ be given by (7.3) where $s_{n,1}, s_{n,2}$ are replaced by $\tilde{s}_{n,1}, \tilde{s}_{n,2}$. Then t_n is an isometry satisfying (7.1) (with t_n in the place of d_n), $t_n = et_n$, and hence

$$t_n = \begin{pmatrix} u_n & v_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

for some u_n, v_n in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ that necessarily are isometries with $u_n u_n^*$ orthogonal to $v_n v_n^*$ because t_n is an isometry. \square

A local Weyl–von Neumann theorem

The main result of this subsection is Theorem 7.21 which will be proved in a series of lemmas. Two of these lemmas (Lemma 7.7 and Lemma 7.12) will use the following:

Remark 7.5 (A construction with commuting elements) Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be commuting positive contractions in a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra A , and let $\eta_1 > 0$, $\eta_2 > 0$, and $\eta_3 \geq 0$ be given, where $\eta_1 < 1/2$. We underline that η_2 and η_3 can be chosen independent from η_1 , and that we allow $\eta_3 = 0$ only if A is the ultrapower of some other strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra.

Let X denote the primitive ideal spectrum of $D = C^*(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, so that D is isomorphic to $C_0(X)$. For each x in X let $\rho_x: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denote the corresponding character on D . Identifying X with the image of the (injective) map

$$x \mapsto (\rho_x(a_1), \rho_x(a_2), \dots, \rho_x(a_n)),$$

X becomes a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Put

$$\Omega = \{x \in X : \max\{a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x)\} \geq \eta_2\},$$

so that Ω is a compact subset of X . It is a standard fact from dimension theory that every

open cover of $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ has an open sub-cover such that each point in \mathbb{R}^n belongs to at most $n + 1$ of the open sets in the sub-cover. Use this to find open sets U_1, \dots, U_r and V_1, \dots, V_r such that

- (i) $\Omega \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_r \subseteq U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_r \subseteq X$, and $\overline{V_j} \subseteq U_j$;
- (ii) $|\rho_x(a_k) - \rho_{x'}(a_k)| \leq \eta_2$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$, for all x, x' in U_j , and for all k ;
- (iii) each x in X is contained in at most $n + 1$ of the open sets U_1, \dots, U_r .

Choose x_j in V_j for each j . Let g_1, \dots, g_r be positive contractions in D such that $g_1 + \dots + g_r \leq 1$, $\rho_x(g_1 + \dots + g_r) = 1$ for all x in Ω , and $\rho_x(g_j) = 0$ when $x \notin V_j$. Choose next positive contractions f_1, \dots, f_r in D such that $f_j g_j = g_j$ and $\rho_x(f_j) = 0$ when $x \notin U_j$. Then

$$\|a_k - \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_{x_j}(a_k) g_j\| \leq \eta_2, \quad \|ca_k c - \rho_{x_j}(a_k) c^2\| \leq \eta_2 \quad (7.4)$$

for all contraction c in $\overline{f_j D f_j}$, for $k = 1, \dots, n$, and for $j = 1, \dots, r$. Put

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1^{1/2} & \dots & f_r^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{1,r}(A), \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^{1/2} \\ \vdots \\ g_r^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{r,1}(A).$$

For each $\delta \geq 0$ define $S_\delta: D \rightarrow M_r(D)$ by

$$S_\delta(c) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{x_1}(c)(f_1 - \delta)_+ & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \rho_{x_r}(c)(f_r - \delta)_+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad c \in D.$$

Applying Lemma 5.8 (with $\varepsilon_j = \eta_1$ and δ small enough) to the positive matrix

$$f^* f = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & \dots & f_1^{1/2} f_r^{1/2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ f_r^{1/2} f_1^{1/2} & \dots & f_r \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtain elements d_1, d_2, \dots, d_r in A such that $d_j^* f_j d_j = (f_j - \eta_1)_+$, $\|d_j\|^2 \leq 2/\eta_1$, and

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} d_1^* & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & d_r^* \end{pmatrix} f^* f \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & d_r \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} (f_1 - \eta_1)_+ & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & (f_r - \eta_1)_+ \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \eta_3. \quad (7.5)$$

(We can take $\eta_3 = 0$ if A is a limit algebra; cf. Lemma 5.13, otherwise we must require $\eta_3 > 0$.) Set $h_j = f_j^{1/2} d_j$, and set $h = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$ in $M_{1,r}(A)$, so that $h_j^* h_j = d_j^* f_j d_j = (f_j - \eta_1)_+$.

Lemma 7.6 *In the notation of Remark 7.5,*

$$\|h^* a_k h - S_{\eta_1}(a_k)\| \leq 2(n+1)\eta_2\eta_1^{-1} + \eta_3, \quad \|g^* S_{2\eta_1}(a_k) g - a_k\| \leq 2\eta_1 + \eta_2,$$

g is a contraction, and $\|f\|^2 \leq n+1$.

Proof: Since $ff^* = \sum_{j=1}^r f_j \leq n+1$ by condition (iii) in Remark 7.5, we have $\|f\|^2 \leq n+1$.

For each $j = 1, \dots, r$ let p_j in A^{**} correspond to the indicator function 1_{U_j} so that $f_j p_j = f_j$ and $\|p_j a_k - \rho_{x_j}(a_k) p_j\| \leq \eta_2$; cf. the second estimate in (7.4). Then, using this in the third inequality and (7.5) to see the first inequality below, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h^* a_k h - S_{\eta_1}(a_k)\| \\ & \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} d_1^* & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & d_r^* \end{pmatrix} \left(f^* a_k f - f^* f \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{x_1}(a_k) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \rho_{x_r}(a_k) \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & d_r \end{pmatrix} \right\| + \eta_3 \\ & \leq 2\eta_1^{-1} \left\| f^* f \begin{pmatrix} a_k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & a_k \end{pmatrix} - f^* f \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{x_1}(a_k) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \rho_{x_r}(a_k) \end{pmatrix} \right\| + \eta_3 \\ & = 2\eta_1^{-1} \left\| f^* f \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & p_r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_k - \rho_{x_1}(a_k) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & a_k - \rho_{x_r}(a_k) \end{pmatrix} \right\| + \eta_3 \\ & \leq 2\eta_1^{-1} \|f^* f\| \eta_2 + \eta_3 \leq 2(n+1)\eta_2\eta_1^{-1} + \eta_3. \end{aligned}$$

Next, $g^* g = \sum_{j=1}^r g_j \leq 1$, so g is a contraction. We have $g_j(f_j - 2\eta_1)_+ = (1 - 2\eta_1)g_j$

because $f_j g_j = g_j$ and $\eta_1 \leq 1/2$ by the assumptions in Remark 7.5. Hence,

$$g^* S_{2\eta_1}(a_k) g = \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_{x_j}(a_k) g_j^{1/2} (f_j - 2\eta_1)_+ g_j^{1/2} = (1 - 2\eta_1) \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_{x_j}(a_k) g_j.$$

This and the first estimate in (7.4) yield $\|g^* S_{2\eta_1}(a_k) g - a_k\| \leq 2\eta_1 + \eta_2$. \square

Lemma 7.7 *Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be commuting positive elements in a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra A . Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each m in \mathbb{N} there exists b in $M_m(A)$ such that*

$$\left\| b^* \begin{pmatrix} a_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} b - \begin{pmatrix} a_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_k \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$ (where a_k is repeated m times in the second matrix).

Proof: Upon replacing ε and the elements a_1, \dots, a_n with $\lambda\varepsilon$ and $\lambda a_1, \dots, \lambda a_n$ for some positive real number λ we may assume that each a_k is a contraction and that $\varepsilon < 1$.

Apply Remark 7.5 and Lemma 7.6 with

$$\eta_1 = \varepsilon/4, \quad \eta_2 = \min \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon^3}{320(n+1)}, \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \right\}, \quad \eta_3 = \varepsilon^2/40.$$

Then by Lemma 7.6,

$$\|h^* a_k h - S_{\varepsilon/4}(a_k)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{20}, \quad \|g^* S_{\varepsilon/2}(a_k) g - a_k\| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (7.6)$$

Because A is purely infinite we have $(f_j - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_m \lesssim (f_j - \varepsilon/2)_+$, so by Lemma 2.4 (ii) and (2.1) there are t_1, \dots, t_r in $M_{1,m}(A)$ with

$$t_j^* (f_j - \varepsilon/4)_+ t_j = (f_j - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_m, \quad \|t_j\|^2 \leq 5/\varepsilon.$$

Put

$$t = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \cdots & 0_{1,m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{1,m} & \cdots & t_r \end{pmatrix} \in M_{r,rm}(A).$$

Then $\|t\|^2 \leq 5/\varepsilon$ and

$$t^* \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(f_1 - \varepsilon/4)_+ & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \alpha_r(f_r - \varepsilon/4)_+ \end{pmatrix} t = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(f_1 - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_m & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \alpha_r(f_r - \varepsilon/2)_+ \otimes 1_m \end{pmatrix}$$

for all complex numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$. Taking u in $M_{rm}(\mathbb{C})$ to be the permutation unitary which implements the natural isomorphism from $M_r(M_m) \cong M_m \otimes M_r$ onto $M_m(M_r) \cong M_r \otimes M_m$ we get

$$u^* t^* \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(f_1 - \varepsilon/4)_+ & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \alpha_r(f_r - \varepsilon/4)_+ \end{pmatrix} t u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(f_1 - \varepsilon/2)_+ & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \alpha_r(f_r - \varepsilon/2)_+ \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_m,$$

and in particular, $u^* t^* S_{\varepsilon/4}(c) t u = S_{\varepsilon/2}(c) \otimes 1_m$ for all c in D , which by the first inequality in (7.6) implies that

$$\|u^* t^* h^* a_k h t u - S_{\varepsilon/2}(a_k) \otimes 1_m\| \leq \varepsilon/4 \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (7.7)$$

Put $b_0 = h t u (g \otimes 1_m) \in M_{1,m}(A)$. By (7.7) and the second inequality in (7.6) we get the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_0^* a_k b_0 - a_k \otimes 1_m\| &\leq \|(g^* \otimes 1_m)(u^* t^* h^* a_k h t u - (S_{\varepsilon/2}(a_k) \otimes 1_m))(g \otimes 1_m)\| \\ &\quad + \|(g^* \otimes 1_m)(S_{\varepsilon/2}(a_k) \otimes 1_m)(g \otimes 1_m) - a_k \otimes 1_m\| \\ &\leq \|u^* t^* h^* a_k h t u - S_{\varepsilon/2}(a_k) \otimes 1_m\| + \|g^* S_{\varepsilon/2}(a_k) g - a_k\| \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Taking b in $M_m(A)$ to be the matrix whose first row is b_0 and whose other rows are zero, the lemma is proved. \square

Proposition 7.8 *Let A be a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra.*

- (i) *Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be commuting, positive elements in A . For each natural number m and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a contraction b in $M_m(A)$ such that*

$$\left\| b^* \begin{pmatrix} a_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} b - \begin{pmatrix} a_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_k \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$ (where a_k is repeated m times in the second matrix).

(ii) Let ω be a free filter on \mathbb{N} . Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be commuting, positive elements in A_ω , and let m be a natural number. Then there exists a contraction b in $M_m(A_\omega)$ such that

$$b^* \begin{pmatrix} a_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} b = \begin{pmatrix} a_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_k & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_k \end{pmatrix}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$ (where a_k is repeated m times in the second matrix).

Proof: (i). Lemma 7.7 says that the completely positive contraction $\text{diag}(a, \dots, 0) \mapsto \text{diag}(a, \dots, a)$, where a belongs to the abelian C^* -algebra generated by a_1, \dots, a_n , is approximately 1-step inner relatively to $M_m(A)$. It therefore follows from Lemma 7.2 that we can approximate this completely positive contraction by 1-step inner maps implemented by contractions b .

(ii). This follows from (i), Proposition 5.12, and Lemma 2.5. \square

For any positive element a in a *purely infinite* C^* -algebra A , for any m in \mathbb{N} , and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find d in $M_{1,m}(A)$ such that $\|d^*ad - a \otimes 1_m\| \leq \varepsilon$. It is, however, not known to us if one always can choose d to be a *contraction*. One of the offsprings of Proposition 7.8 above is that d can be chosen to be a contraction in a *strongly purely infinite* C^* -algebra.

Lemma 7.9 *Let D be a C^* -algebra, let a be a positive element in D , and let d be a contraction in D . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i) d commutes with a , and $d^*da = a$,

(ii) $d^*ad = a$ and $d^*a^2d = a^2$.

Proof: (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Set $x = ad - da$. Then

$$x^*x = d^*a^2d - d^*ada - ad^*ad + ad^*da = ad^*da - a^2 \leq 0$$

(because d is a contraction). This shows that x must be zero. Hence d commutes with a , and $d^*da = d^*ad = a$. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is trivial. \square

Lemma 7.10 *Suppose that A is a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra. Let ω be a free filter on \mathbb{N} , and let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be a set of commuting normal elements in A_ω . Then for each natural number m there are contractions r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m in $A_\omega \cap \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}'$ such that*

$$r_i^*r_j = \delta_{ij}r_1^*r_1, \quad r_1^*r_1a_k = a_k, \quad \text{for all } i, j, k.$$

Proof: We can without loss of generality assume that each a_j is positive. Use Lemma 2.5 to find a positive contraction e in A_ω such that $ea_k = a_k e = a_k$. Given m , take a contraction b in $M_m(A_\omega)$ as in Proposition 7.8 (ii) with respect to the set

$$\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_1^2, a_2^2, \dots, a_n^2, e, e^2\}.$$

We can assume that b is a row matrix, i.e., that

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & \cdots & b_m \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then each b_j is a contraction, $b_i^* a_k b_j = \delta_{ij} a_k$, and $b_i^* a_k^2 b_j = \delta_{ij} a_k^2$.

We conclude from Lemma 7.9 that each b_i belongs to $A_\omega \cap \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}'$, and that $b_i^* b_j a_k = \delta_{ij} a_k$. Similarly we find that each b_i commutes with e , that $b_i^* b_i e = e$, and that $b_i^* e b_j = \delta_{ij} e$. Set $r_i = b_i e^{1/2}$. Then each r_i is a contraction in $A_\omega \cap \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}'$ and $r_i^* r_j = \delta_{ij} e$. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 7.11 *Let D and E be C^* -algebras, and let $S: D \rightarrow E$ and $T: E \rightarrow D$ be completely positive contractions.*

- (i) *If a is a positive element in D such that $\|S(a^2) - S(a)^2\| \leq \varepsilon$, then $\|S(ab) - S(a)S(b)\| \leq \varepsilon^{1/2}$ and $\|S(ba) - S(b)S(a)\| \leq \varepsilon^{1/2}$ for all contractions b in D .*
- (ii) *If a is a positive element in E such that $\|S(T(a)) - a\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\|S(T(a^2)) - a^2\| \leq \varepsilon$, then $\|S(T(a)^2) - S(T(a))^2\| \leq (1 + 2\|a\|)\varepsilon$.*

Proof: (i). By Stinespring's theorem we can find a representation of E on a Hilbert space H , a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi: D \rightarrow B(H)$, and a projection p on H such that $S(d) = p\pi(d)p$, when viewing E as a sub- C^* -algebra of $B(H)$. Define $G(x) = (1 - p)\pi(x)p$ for x in D . Then $S(y^*x) - S(y^*)S(x) = G(y)^*G(x)$ for all $x, y \in D$ and $\|G(b)\| \leq \|b\| \leq 1$. Hence

$$\|S(ab) - S(a)S(b)\|^2 \leq \|G(a)\|^2 \|G(b)\|^2 \leq \|G(a)^*G(a)\| = \|S(a^2) - S(a)^2\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

The second inequality follows by replacing b by b^* .

(ii). Any completely positive contraction V between two C^* -algebras satisfies the inequality $V(x)^*V(x) \leq V(x^*x)$. (This can for example be proved using Stinespring's

theorem as in the proof of (i) above.) Using this fact twice together with the estimate $\|S(T(a))^2 - a^2\| \leq 2\|a\|\varepsilon$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq S(T(a)^2) - S(T(a))^2 \leq S(T(a^2)) - S(T(a))^2 \\ &\leq (a^2 + \varepsilon \cdot 1) - (a^2 - 2\|a\|\varepsilon \cdot 1) = (1 + 2\|a\|)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (ii). □

Lemma 7.12 *Suppose that A is a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra. Let ω be a free filter on \mathbb{N} , let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be a set of commuting normal elements in A_ω , and let b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m be elements in A_ω . Then there exists a contraction d in A_ω with*

$$d^*da_k = a_k, \quad [d, a_k] = 0, \quad [d^*b_jd, a_k] = 0, \quad [d^*b_jd, d^*b_id] = 0$$

for all i, j , and k .

Proof: It is no loss of generality to assume that all a_k and all b_j are positive contractions. Next, it suffices to prove the lemma in the case where $m = 1$. Indeed, for $m \geq 2$ use the case $m = 1$ to find a contraction d_1 satisfying

$$d_1^*d_1a_k = a_k, \quad [d_1, a_k] = 0, \quad [d_1^*b_1d_1, a_k] = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Recall that $d_1^*a_kd_1 = a_k$ (by Lemma 7.9). Then repeat the process on the $n+1$ commuting elements $a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1} = d_1^*b_1d_1$ and on $\tilde{b}_1 = d_1^*b_2d_1$ to obtain a contraction d_2 satisfying

$$d_2^*d_2a_k = a_k, \quad [d_2, a_k] = 0, \quad [d_2^*\tilde{b}_1d_2, a_k] = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n+1.$$

After m such steps we have found contractions d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m , and $d = d_1d_2 \cdots d_m$ will then be as desired.

Assume accordingly that $m = 1$ and that $b = b_1$ is a positive contraction. By Lemma 7.9 it suffices to find a contraction d in A_ω such that $d^*a_kd = a_k$, $d^*a_k^2d = a_k^2$, and $[d^*bd, a_k] = 0$ for all k . By Lemma 2.5 it suffices to show that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a contraction d in A_ω such that

$$\|d^*a_k^\nu d - a_k^\nu\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \|[d^*bd, a_k]\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad \nu = 1, 2. \quad (7.8)$$

We may assume that $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$.

We apply Remark 7.5 and Lemma 7.6 with A_ω in the place of A , with $\eta_1 = \varepsilon/4$, $\eta_3 = 0$, with $\eta_2 > 0$ chosen such that

$$2\sqrt{3\eta_2} + 2\eta_2 \leq \varepsilon, \quad 64(2n+1)\varepsilon^{-2}\eta_2 + \varepsilon/2 + \eta_2 \leq \varepsilon,$$

and with $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, a_1^2, \dots, a_n^2\}$ in the place of the set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. Hence n (from Remark 7.5) is replaced with $2n$. In Remark 7.5 we chose positive contractions $f_1, \dots, f_r, g_1, \dots, g_r$ in the abelian C^* -algebra D generated by a_1, \dots, a_n . By making a new choice of these positive contractions (if necessary) we can find a third set of positive contractions k_1, \dots, k_r in D with $f_j k_j = k_j$ and $k_j g_j = g_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$.

With h_j as in Remark 7.5 we have $h_i^* h_j = \delta_{ij}(f_j - \varepsilon/4)_+$ by (7.5) because $\eta_3 = 0$. In particular, $h_j^* b h_j \leq h_j^* h_j = (f_j - \varepsilon/4)_+$ because b is a contraction. Apply Lemma 5.13 to the positive matrix

$$h^* b h + \begin{pmatrix} (f_1 - \varepsilon/4)_+ - h_1^* b h_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & (f_r - \varepsilon/4)_+ - h_r^* b h_r \end{pmatrix}$$

to obtain positive elements e_1, \dots, e_r in A_ω such that

$$e_j^*(f_j - \varepsilon/4)_+ e_j = (f_j - \varepsilon/2)_+, \quad e_i^* h_i^* b h_j e_j = 0 \quad \text{when } i \neq j, \quad \|e_j\|^2 \leq 8/\varepsilon.$$

Put $d = \sum_{j=1}^r h_j e_j g_j^{1/2}$. Since $f_j g_j = g_j$ we obtain $g_j(f_j - \varepsilon/2)_+ = (1 - \varepsilon/2)g_j$ (because $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$), whence

$$d^* d = \sum_{i,j=1}^r g_i^{1/2} e_i^* \delta_{ij} (f_j - \varepsilon/4)_+ e_j g_j^{1/2} = \sum_{j=1}^r g_j^{1/2} (f_j - \varepsilon/2)_+ g_j^{1/2} = (1 - \varepsilon/2) \sum_{j=1}^r g_j,$$

and so d is a contraction. We proceed to verify (7.8).

Let $g \in M_{r,1}(A_\omega)$ and $h \in M_{1,r}(A_\omega)$ be as in Remark 7.5 and define $R: M_r(A_\omega) \rightarrow A_\omega$ and $T: A_\omega \rightarrow M_r(A_\omega)$ by

$$R(x) = g^* x g, \quad T(y) = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 e_1^* & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & k_r e_r^* \end{pmatrix} h^* y h \begin{pmatrix} e_1 k_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & e_r k_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

The (ij) th entry of $T(b)$ is given by

$$(T(b))_{ij} = k_i e_i^* h_i^* b h_j e_j k_j = \begin{cases} k_j e_j^* h_j^* b h_j e_j k_j, & \text{if } i = j \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

We conclude that

$$R(T(b)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^r g_i^{1/2} (T(b))_{ij} g_j^{1/2} = \sum_{j=1}^r g_j^{1/2} e_j^* h_j^* b h_j e_j g_j^{1/2} = d^* b d,$$

because $g_j^{1/2} k_j = g_j^{1/2}$.

For each $\delta \geq 0$ consider the map $S_\delta: D \rightarrow M_r(D)$ from Remark 7.5. The map $S = S_0$ is given by

$$S(c) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{x_1}(c) f_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \rho_{x_r}(c) f_r \end{pmatrix}, \quad c \in D.$$

Use this, the expression for $(T(b))_{ij}$, and that $f_j k_j = k_j$ to see that $S(c)T(b) = T(b)S(c)$ for all c in D . Because $g_j^{1/2} f_j g_j^{1/2} = g_j$ we get $R(S(c)) = \sum_{j=1}^r \rho_{x_j}(c) g_j$ for all c in D . Hence $\|R(S(a_k^\nu)) - a_k^\nu\| \leq \eta_2$ for all k and for $\nu = 1, 2$ by (7.4). Lemma 7.11 (ii) now yields

$$\|R(S(a_k)^2) - R(S(a_k))^2\| \leq (1 + 2\|a_k\|)\eta_2 \leq 3\eta_2,$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(S(a_k)T(b)) - R(S(a_k))R(T(b))\| &\leq \sqrt{3\eta_2}, \\ \|R(T(b)S(a_k)) - R(T(b))R(S(a_k))\| &\leq \sqrt{3\eta_2}, \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 7.11 (i). Recalling that $S(a_k)$ commutes with $T(b)$ and that $R(T(b)) = d^* b d$, this leads to the estimate $\|R(S(a_k))d^* b d - d^* b d R(S(a_k))\| \leq 2\sqrt{3\eta_2}$. Since $\|R(S(a_k)) - a_k\| \leq \eta_2$ we get

$$\|[d^* b d, a_k]\| \leq 2\sqrt{3\eta_2} + 2\eta_2 \leq \varepsilon, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

by the choice of η_2 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} d^*cd &= g^* \begin{pmatrix} e_1^* & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_r^* \end{pmatrix} h^*ch \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_r \end{pmatrix} g, \\ g^*S_{\varepsilon/2}(c)g &= g^* \begin{pmatrix} e_1^* & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_r^* \end{pmatrix} S_{\varepsilon/4}(c) \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e_r \end{pmatrix} g, \end{aligned}$$

for all c in D . From Lemma 7.6 we know that

$$\|h^*a_k^\nu h - S_{\varepsilon/4}(a_k^\nu)\| \leq 8\varepsilon^{-1}(2n+1)\eta_2, \quad \|g^*S_{\varepsilon/2}(a_k^\nu)g - a_k^\nu\| \leq \varepsilon/2 + \eta_2$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and for $\nu = 1, 2$. By the choice of η_2 this shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|d^*a_k^\nu d - a_k^\nu\| &\leq \|d^*a_k^\nu d - g^*S_{\varepsilon/2}(a_k^\nu)g\| + \|g^*S_{\varepsilon/2}(a_k^\nu)g - a_k^\nu\| \\ &\leq 8\varepsilon^{-1}\|h^*a_k^\nu h - S_{\varepsilon/4}(a_k^\nu)\| + \varepsilon/2 + \eta_2 \\ &\leq 64(2n+1)\varepsilon^{-2}\eta_2 + \varepsilon/2 + \eta_2 \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $\nu = 1, 2$. We have now established (7.8). \square

Proposition 7.13 (Extension) *Let A be a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra, let ω be a free filter on \mathbb{N} , let B be a separable sub- C^* -algebra of A_ω , and let C be an abelian sub- C^* -algebra of B . It follows that there is a 1-step inner completely positive contraction $T: B \rightarrow A_\omega$ such that the image of T is contained in an abelian sub- C^* -algebra of A_ω and such that $T(c) = c$ for all c in C .*

Proof: Choose countable subsets $\{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ and $\{c_1, c_2, \dots\}$ of positive contractions in B , respectively, C , which span dense subspaces of B and C , respectively.

By Lemma 7.12 there is for each natural number n a contraction d_n in A_ω such that

$$d_n^*c_k d_n = c_k, \quad [d_n^*b_j d_n, c_k] = 0, \quad [d_n^*b_j d_n, d_n^*b_i d_n] = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (7.9)$$

Lemma 2.5 then shows that there is a contraction d in A_ω such that (7.9) holds for all i, j , and k in \mathbb{N} . Now, the completely positive contraction given by $T(b) = d^*bd$ has the desired properties. \square

Proposition 7.14 *Let A be a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra.*

- (i) Let ω be a free filter on \mathbb{N} , let B be a separable sub- C^* -algebra of A_ω , and let $V: B \rightarrow A_\omega$ be an approximately inner, completely positive contraction whose image is contained in an abelian sub- C^* -algebra of A_ω . It follows that V is 1-step inner relatively to A_ω .
- (ii) Let B be a separable sub- C^* -algebra of $\mathcal{M}(A)$, the multiplier algebra of A , and let $V: B \rightarrow A$ be an approximately inner completely positive contraction whose image is contained in an abelian sub- C^* -algebra of A . Then V is approximately 1-step inner relatively to A .

Proof: We prove (i) and (ii) simultaneously. It suffices to show that if B is either a separable subalgebra of A_ω or of $\mathcal{M}(A)$, if $V: B \rightarrow A_\omega$ is approximately inner (relatively to A_ω), then V is 1-step inner relatively to A_ω . Because if $V: B \rightarrow A$ is 1-step inner relatively to A_ω , then V is approximately 1-step inner relatively to A by Lemma 7.3 (i).

Choose a set $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$ of positive elements that span a dense subset of B . By Lemma 2.5 it suffices, for each n and for each $\varepsilon > 0$, to find a contraction f in A_ω such that $\|V(b_k) - f^*b_kf\| \leq \varepsilon$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By assumption there is an m -step inner completely positive contraction $W: B \rightarrow A_\omega$ such that $\|V(b_k) - W(b_k)\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. Find d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m in A_ω such that $W(b) = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i^* b d_i$.

By Lemma 7.12 there is a contraction d in A_ω such that each pair of elements in the finite set

$$\{d^* d_i^* b_k d_j d : i, j = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, \dots, n\}$$

commute, and such that $d^* V(b_k) d = V(b_k)$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$; cf. Lemma 7.9.

In particular, each $d^* d_i^* b_k d_j d$ is normal. Use Lemma 7.10 to find contractions r_1, \dots, r_m in A_ω commuting with each $d^* d_i^* b_k d_j d$, and such that $r_j^* d^* d_j^* b_k d_i d r_j = \delta_{ij} d^* d_j^* b_k d_i d$ (cf. Lemma 7.9). Put $f = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j d r_j$. Then

$$f^* b_k f = \sum_{i,j=1}^m r_j^* d^* d_j^* b_k d_i d r_j = \sum_{j=1}^m d^* d_j^* b_k d_j d = d^* W(b_k) d, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

As $\|W(b_k) - V(b_k)\| \leq \varepsilon$, and d is a contraction satisfying $d^* V(b_k) d = V(b_k)$, we conclude that $\|V(b_k) - f^* b_k f\| \leq \varepsilon$. \square

Definition 7.15 For each pair of C^* -algebras A and B such that $B \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A)$, define $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)$ to be the set of all approximately inner completely positive maps from B to A whose image is contained in an abelian sub- C^* -algebra of A . Define $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$ to be the set

of all completely positive maps $T: B \rightarrow A$ such that

$$T(b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_j^* T_0(c_j^* b c_i) a_i, \quad b \in B \quad (7.10)$$

for some natural number n , for some a_1, \dots, a_n in $\mathcal{M}(A)$, for some c_1, \dots, c_n in $\mathcal{M}(B)$, and for some T_0 in $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ denote the pointwise-norm closure of $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$.

Let A be a C^* -algebra such that its multiplier algebra $\mathcal{M}(A)$ contains a unital copy of the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_2 . Each stable C^* -algebra has this property, and if A is stable and ω is any filter on \mathbb{N} , then A_ω has this property. Indeed, there is a unital embedding of $B(H)$, where H is a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space, into $\mathcal{M}(A)$ and there is a unital embedding of \mathcal{O}_2 into $B(H)$. Also, there is a (canonical) unital embedding of $\mathcal{M}(A)$ into $\mathcal{M}(A_\omega)$.

By assumption there are isometries s_1, s_2 in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ satisfying the Cuntz relation: $s_1 s_1^* + s_2 s_2^* = 1$. Fixing two such isometries s_1, s_2 we can for each C^* -algebra B define the Cuntz sum of two maps $T_1, T_2: B \rightarrow A$ by

$$(T_1 \oplus T_2)(b) = s_1 T_1(b) s_1^* + s_2 T_2(b) s_2^*, \quad b \in B. \quad (7.11)$$

The operation \oplus depends on the choice of s_1, s_2 , but only up to unitary equivalence; \oplus is not associative, but $(T_1 \oplus T_2) \oplus T_3$ is unitarily equivalent to $T_1 \oplus (T_2 \oplus T_3)$. With this in mind, define inductively $T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_n$ to be $(T_1 \oplus T_2) \oplus T_3 \oplus \dots \oplus T_n$. Then

$$(T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_n)(b) = s_{1,n} T_1(b) s_{1,n}^* + \dots + s_{n,n} T_n(b) s_{n,n}^* \quad (7.12)$$

for some isometries $s_{1,n}, \dots, s_{n,n}$ in A_ω satisfying $1 = s_{1,n} s_{1,n}^* + \dots + s_{n,n} s_{n,n}^*$.

Lemma 7.16 *Let A and $B \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A)$ be C^* -algebras, and assume that there is a unital embedding of \mathcal{O}_2 into $\mathcal{M}(A)$. Then the following holds:*

- (i) *If T_1, T_2 belong to $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$, then so does their Cuntz sum $T_1 \oplus T_2$.*
- (ii) *If T_1, \dots, T_n belong to $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$, if a_1, \dots, a_n are elements in $\mathcal{M}(A)$, then $T: B \rightarrow A$, given by $T(b) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j^* T_j(b) a_j$, belongs to $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$ is a cone.*
- (iii) *If T belongs to $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$, if a_1, \dots, a_n belong to $\mathcal{M}(A)$, and c_1, \dots, c_n belong to $\mathcal{M}(B)$, then $S: B \rightarrow A$, given by $S(b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_j^* T(c_j^* b c_i) a_i$, belongs to $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$.*

Straightforward continuity considerations show that Lemma 7.16 holds with $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$ replaced by $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$.

Proof: (i). Suppose first that T_1, T_2 belong to $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)$. The image of T_j is then contained in an abelian sub- C^* -algebra D_j of A . Now, $D = s_1 D_1 s_1^* + s_2 D_2 s_2^*$ is an abelian sub- C^* -algebra of A which contains the image of $T_1 \oplus T_2$. It is easy to check that $T_1 \oplus T_2$ is approximately inner. Hence $T_1 \oplus T_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)$. Assume next that T_1, T_2 belong to $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$. Then

$$T_k(b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_k} a_{j,k}^* S_k(c_{j,k}^* b c_{i,k}) a_{i,k}$$

for suitable $a_{j,k}$ in $\mathcal{M}(A)$, $c_{j,k}$ in $\mathcal{M}(B)$, and with S_1, S_2 in $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)$. We saw above that $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)$. The Cuntz relation for the isometries s_1, s_2 implies that $s_k^* S(b) s_l = \delta_{k,l} S_k(b)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (T_1 \oplus T_2)(b) &= \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_k} s_k a_{j,k}^* S_k(c_{j,k}^* b c_{i,k}) a_{i,k} s_k^* \\ &= \sum_{k,l=1}^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_k} s_k a_{j,k}^* s_k^* S(c_{j,k}^* b c_{i,l}) s_l a_{i,l} s_l^*, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows from the latter expression that $T_1 \oplus T_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$.

(ii). In the notation of (ii) and (7.12), put $a = \sum_{j=1}^n s_j a_j \in \mathcal{M}(A)$. Then

$$T(b) = a^*(T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n)(b)a.$$

From (i) we know that $T_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T_n$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$. It is now clear from (7.10) that T belongs to $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$.

(iii). Let S, T be as stipulated. Find R in $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)$, elements e_1, \dots, e_m in $\mathcal{M}(A)$, and elements f_1, \dots, f_m in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ such that

$$T(b) = \sum_{k,l=1}^m e_k^* R(f_k^* b f_l) e_l, \quad b \in B.$$

Then

$$S(b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_j^* T(c_j^* b c_i) a_i = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k,l=1}^m a_j^* e_k^* R(f_k^* c_j^* b c_i f_l) e_l a_i.$$

This expression conforms with (7.10), and so S belongs to $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$. \square

The two following (well known) facts, formulated as a lemma, are used in the proof of Lemma 7.18 below. If ρ is a positive functional on a C^* -algebra D and if d is an element in D , then $d^*\rho d$ denotes the positive functional on D given by $(d^*\rho d)(x) = \rho(d^*xd)$.

Lemma 7.17 *Let D be a C^* -algebra.*

- (i) *Let f_1, \dots, f_n be elements in the dual of D . Then there is a cyclic representation π of D on some Hilbert space H , a cyclic vector ξ in H , and elements c_1, \dots, c_n in $\pi(D)' \cap B(H)$ such that $f_j(d) = \langle \pi(d)\xi, c_j^*\xi \rangle$ for all d in D .*
- (ii) *Suppose that \mathcal{K} is a weak-* closed sub-cone of the cone of all positive linear functionals on D , and suppose that $d^*\rho d$ belongs to \mathcal{K} for every d in D and for every ρ in \mathcal{K} . Let J be the set of all d in D such that $\rho(d^*d) = 0$ for all ρ in \mathcal{K} . Then J is a closed two-sided ideal in D ; and if ρ_0 is a positive functional on D such that $\rho_0(d^*d) = 0$ for all d in J , then ρ_0 belongs to \mathcal{K} .*

Proof: (i). Since each element in the dual of D is a linear combination of positive functionals on D it suffices to consider the case where each f_j is a positive. Put $f = f_1 + \dots + f_n$, and let (π, H, ξ) be the GNS-representation with respect to f , so that $f(d) = \langle \pi(d)\xi, \xi \rangle$ for all d in D . By (a linear version of) Sakai's Radon–Nikodým theorem (see [12, Proposition 7.3.5]) there are elements c_j in $B(H) \cap \pi(D)'$ such that $f_j(d) = \langle c_j\pi(d)\xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \pi(d)\xi, c_j^*\xi \rangle$ for all d in D .

(ii). The set J is a closed left-ideal of D (because the left-kernel of each ρ in \mathcal{K} is a closed left-ideal). Also, for each z in J , for each d in D , and for each ρ in \mathcal{K} we have $\rho((zd)^*(zd)) = (d^*\rho d)(z^*z) = 0$ because $d^*\rho d$ belongs to \mathcal{K} . This proves that zd belongs to J , and hence that J is a closed two-sided ideal in D .

To prove the second part of (ii) we may assume that $J = 0$. (Otherwise just replace A by A/J and view ρ_0 and each functional in \mathcal{K} as a functional on A/J .) Suppose that ρ_0 does not belong to \mathcal{K} . Then, by Hahn–Banach's theorem and the characterization of weak-* continuous linear mappings on the dual space of A as being evaluation maps $\rho \mapsto \rho(a)$ for some a in A , there is an element a in A such that $\rho_0(a) < 0$ and $\rho(a) \geq 0$ for all ρ in \mathcal{K} . Upon replacing a by $(a + a^*)/2$ we may assume that a is self-adjoint. Write $a = a_+ - a_-$ where a_+ and a_- are the positive and negative parts of a . Let $\{e_n\}$ be an approximate unit consisting of positive contractions for the C^* -algebra generated by a_- . Then $e_n a e_n \rightarrow -a_-$ and $e_n a e_n \leq 0$ for all n . For each ρ in \mathcal{K} , $e_n^* \rho e_n$ belongs to \mathcal{K} , and hence

$$0 \leq (e_n^* \rho e_n)(a) = \rho(e_n a e_n) \leq 0,$$

so that $\rho(-e_n a e_n) = 0$ for ρ in \mathcal{K} and for all n . Since $-e_n a e_n$ is positive, this entails that $e_n a e_n = 0$ for all n , and hence that $a_- = 0$. But this contradicts the fact that $\rho_0(a) < 0$. \square

Lemma 7.18 *Let B be a separable nuclear sub- C^* -algebra of a stable C^* -algebra A , and suppose that for each positive b in B there is V in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ such that $V(b) = b$. Then the inclusion mapping $\iota: B \rightarrow A$ belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$.*

Proof: For each finite set b_1, \dots, b_n in B and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we must find V in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ such that $\|V(b_j) - b_j\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all j . Equivalently, we must show that

$$(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \overline{\{(V(b_1), \dots, V(b_n)) : V \in \mathcal{C}(B, A)\}}. \quad (7.13)$$

By a Hahn–Banach separation argument, and because the set displayed above is convex (cf. Lemma 7.16 (ii)) it will suffice to show the following: For each finite set b_1, \dots, b_n in B , for each set f_1, \dots, f_n in A^* , and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is V in $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$ such $|f_j(b_j) - f_j(V(b_j))| \leq \varepsilon$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Choose a cyclic representation $\pi: A \rightarrow B(H)$, a cyclic vector ξ in H , and elements c_1, \dots, c_n in $\pi(A)' \cap B(H)$ such that $f_j(b) = \langle \pi(b)\xi, c_j^*\xi \rangle$ for all b in B and for all j ; cf. Lemma 7.17 (i).

Let C be the sub- C^* -algebra of $B(H) \cap \pi(A)'$ generated by c_1, \dots, c_n . Keeping π and ξ fixed, let φ_V be the positive functional on $B \otimes C$ defined by

$$\varphi_V(b \otimes c) = \langle \pi(V(b))\xi, c^*\xi \rangle, \quad b \in B, \quad c \in C. \quad (7.14)$$

(A priori, φ_V defines a functional on the maximal tensor product $B \otimes_{\max} C$, but the maximal and the minimal tensor products on $B \otimes C$ coincide because B is nuclear.) Let \mathcal{K} be the weak- $*$ closure of the cone $\{\varphi_V : V \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)\}$. Observe that

$$|f_j(b_j) - f_j(V(b_j))| = |\langle \pi(b_j)\xi, c_j^*\xi \rangle - \langle \pi(V(b_j))\xi, c_j^*\xi \rangle| = |\varphi_\iota(b_j \otimes c_j) - \varphi_V(b_j \otimes c_j)|.$$

Hence it will suffice to show that φ_ι belongs to \mathcal{K} .

We proceed to check that $d^* \rho d$ belongs to \mathcal{K} for all ρ in \mathcal{K} and for all d in $B \otimes C$. By continuity of the maps $\rho \mapsto d^* \rho d$ and $d \mapsto d^* \rho d$ (with d , respectively, ρ fixed) it suffices to show that $d^* \varphi_V d$ belongs to \mathcal{K} for $d = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \otimes y_j$ in the algebraic tensor product $B \otimes C$

and for V in $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} (d^*\varphi_V d)(b \otimes c) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \varphi_V(x_i^* b x_j \otimes y_i^* c y_j) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \pi(V(x_i^* b x_j))\xi, (y_i^* c y_j)^*\xi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \pi(V(x_i^* b x_j))y_j \xi, c^* y_i \xi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The vectors $y_j \xi$ can be approximated arbitrarily well by vectors of the form $\pi(a_j)\xi$ for suitable elements a_j in A . Let $W: B \rightarrow A$ be given by $W(b) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i^* V(x_i^* b x_j) a_j$. Then W belongs to $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$ by Lemma 7.16 (iii), and

$$\varphi_W(b \otimes c) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle \pi(V(x_i^* b x_j))\pi(a_j)\xi, c^*\pi(a_i)\xi \rangle.$$

We conclude that $d^*\varphi_V d$ can be approximated in the weak-* topology by elements of the form φ_W with W in $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$.

As in Lemma 7.17 (ii), let J be the closed two-sided ideal in $B \otimes C$ consisting of those elements z such that $\varphi_V(z^*z) = 0$ for all V in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$. By Lemma 7.17 (ii) it now suffices to show that $\varphi_\iota(z^*z) = 0$ for all z in J . It is a consequence of a theorem of Blackadar, [1, Theorem 3.3], see also [13, Proposition 2.13], that J is the closed linear span of the set of elementary tensors $x \otimes y$ in J because B is nuclear. The left kernel L of φ_ι , consisting of all z in $B \otimes C$ such that $\varphi_\iota(z^*z) = 0$, is a closed linear subspace of $B \otimes C$, and so it suffices to show that $\varphi_\iota(x^*x \otimes y^*y) = 0$ whenever $x \in B$ and $y \in C$ are such that $x \otimes y$ belongs to J . By assumption there is V_x in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ such that $V_x(x^*x) = x^*x$ for each x in B . It follows that

$$\varphi_\iota(x^*x \otimes y^*y) = \langle \pi(x^*x)\xi, y^*y\xi \rangle = \varphi_{V_x}(x^*x \otimes y^*y) = 0$$

as desired. □

Lemma 7.19 *Let B be a sub- C^* -algebra of a C^* -algebra A such that \mathcal{O}_2 admits a unital embedding into $\mathcal{M}(A)$, and assume that the inclusion mapping $B \hookrightarrow A$ belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ contains every approximately inner completely positive map from B to A .*

Proof: Because $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ is closed in the pointwise-norm topology, it suffices to show that each inner completely positive map $T: B \rightarrow A$ belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$. Let $\iota: B \rightarrow A$ denote

the inclusion mapping (that belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$), and find d_1, \dots, d_n in A such that

$$T(b) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^* b d_j = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^* \iota(b) d_j.$$

It now follows from Lemma 7.16 (ii) (and the remark below Lemma 7.16) that T belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$. \square

Lemma 7.20 *Let A be a C^* -algebra, let B be a sub- C^* -algebra of $\mathcal{M}(A)$, and assume that every map in $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)$ is approximately 1-step inner. Then every map in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$ is approximately 1-step inner.*

Proof: By the definition of being approximately 1-step inner, it suffices to show that every map in $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$ is approximately 1-step inner. Take (a non-zero) T in $\mathcal{C}(B, A)$ and find T_0 in $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A)$, a_1, \dots, a_n in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ and c_1, \dots, c_n in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ such that (7.10) holds. Put

$$C = \max\{\|a_1\|, \|a_2\|, \dots, \|a_n\|\}.$$

Let F be a finite subset of B and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose a positive contraction f in B such that $\|f b f - b\| \leq \varepsilon/2\|T\|$ for all b in F . By the assumption that T_0 is approximately 1-step inner, there is d in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ such that

$$\|T_0(c_j^* f b f c_i) - d^* c_j^* f b f c_i d\| \leq \varepsilon/(2C^2 n^2), \quad b \in F, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Put $e = \sum_{i=1}^n f c_i d a_i \in \mathcal{M}(A)$. Then, for all b in B ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(b) - e^* b e\| &\leq \|T(b) - T(f b f)\| + \|T(f b f) - e^* b e\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|a_j^* T_0(c_j^* f b f c_i) a_i - a_j^* d^* c_j^* f b f c_i d a_i\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|a_j\| \|a_i\| \varepsilon (2C^2 n^2)^{-1} \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that T is approximately 1-step inner. \square

Theorem 7.21 *Let A be a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra and let B be a nuclear, separable sub- C^* -algebra of A . Then each approximately inner, completely positive map from B to A is approximately 1-step inner.*

Proof: It suffices to prove the theorem in the case where A is stable. Indeed, $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is strongly purely infinite by Proposition 5.11 and we may view any C^* -algebra A as being a sub- C^* -algebra of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. If $V: B \rightarrow A$ is approximately inner, then clearly so is $V: B \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Next, if $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of elements in $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ satisfying $d_n^* b d_n \rightarrow V(b)$, then we will also have $g_n^* b g_n \rightarrow V(b)$, when $g_n = e_n d_n e_n \in A$ for a suitable approximate unit $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for A .

Let ω be a free filter on \mathbb{N} , and view A as a sub- C^* -algebra of the limit algebra A_ω . By Lemma 7.3 (i) it suffices to show that each approximately inner completely positive map from B to A_ω is approximately 1-step inner. The inclusion mapping $\iota: B \rightarrow A_\omega$ belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A_\omega)$ by Lemma 7.18 and Proposition 7.13, and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A_\omega)$ therefore contains all approximately inner completely positive maps by Lemma 7.19. Proposition 7.14 (i) says that each map in $\mathcal{C}_0(B, A_\omega)$ is 1-step inner. Lemma 7.20 then implies that each map in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(B, A_\omega)$ — and hence each approximately inner, completely positive map from B to A_ω — is approximately 1-step inner. \square

Other applications

In the definition of strong pure infiniteness there is no assumptions made on the norm of the elements d_1, d_2 . A norm estimate for d_1 and d_2 was proved in Lemma 5.6. Now we can show that d_1 and d_2 can be chosen to be contractions:

Corollary 7.22 *Let A be a strongly purely infinite C^* -algebra. For each*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(A)^+$$

and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are contractions d_1, d_2 in A such that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} d_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

If, moreover, $A = E_\omega$ for some C^ -algebra E and for some free filter ω on \mathbb{N} , then there are contractions d_1, d_2 in A such that*

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & d_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$$

Proof: The second statement (concerning the case $A = E_\omega$) follows from the first state-

ment and from Lemma 2.5. We proceed to prove the first statement of the corollary. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix}$ in $M_2(A)^+$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose positive contractions e in $C^*(a)$ and f in $C^*(b)$ such that $\|a - eae\| < \varepsilon/2$ and $\|b - fbf\| < \varepsilon/2$. Put $B_0 = C^*(a, b, x)$, put $B = M_2(B_0)$, and put

$$C = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} : c_1 \in C^*(a), c_2 \in C^*(b) \right\}.$$

Then C is an abelian sub- C^* -algebra of the separable C^* -algebra B . Let ω be a free ultrafilter on \mathbb{N} . Apply Proposition 7.13 (and its proof) to find a contraction d in B_ω and an abelian sub- C^* -algebra D of B_ω such that d^*bd belongs to D for all b in B and $d^*cd = c$ for all c in C . Write

$$d = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in B_\omega = M_2((B_0)_\omega).$$

For each c_1 in $C^*(a)$ and for each c_2 in $C^*(b)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &= d^* \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} d = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11}^* c_1 d_{11} & d_{11}^* c_1 d_{12} \\ d_{12}^* c_1 d_{11} & d_{12}^* c_1 d_{12} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} &= d^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} d = \begin{pmatrix} d_{21}^* c_2 d_{21} & d_{21}^* c_2 d_{22} \\ d_{22}^* c_2 d_{21} & d_{22}^* c_2 d_{22} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$e^{1/2}d_{12} = 0, \quad f^{1/2}d_{21} = 0, \quad d_{11}^*c_1d_{11} = c_1, \quad d_{22}^*c_2d_{22} = c_2, \quad (7.15)$$

for all $c_1 \in C^*(a)$ and all $c_2 \in C^*(b)$. Using this, we get

$$d^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ f^{1/2}xe^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ d_{22}^*f^{1/2}xe^{1/2}d_{11} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and this element commutes with $\text{diag}(e^{1/2}, 0)$ and with $\text{diag}(0, f^{1/2})$. Hence

$$0 = d_{22}^*f^{1/2}xe^{1/2}d_{11}e^{1/2} = f^{1/2}d_{22}^*f^{1/2}xe^{1/2}d_{11}. \quad (7.16)$$

Put $s = e^{1/2}d_{11}e^{1/2}$ and $t = f^{1/2}d_{22}f^{1/2}$. Then s and t are contractions, $t^*xs = 0$ by (7.16),

and

$$s^*as = e^{1/2}d_{11}^*(e^{1/2}ae^{1/2})d_{11}e^{1/2} = eae, \quad t^*bt = f^{1/2}d_{22}^*(f^{1/2}bf^{1/2})d_{22}f^{1/2} = fbf$$

by (7.15). Write

$$s = \pi_\omega(s_1, s_2, \dots), \quad t = \pi_\omega(t_1, t_2, \dots),$$

where s_n and t_n are contractions in $B_0 \subseteq A$. Then

$$\limsup_\omega \|t_n^*x s_n\| = 0, \quad \limsup_\omega \|s_n^*a s_n - a\| < \varepsilon/2, \quad \limsup_\omega \|t_n^*b t_n - b\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

We can therefore find n such that $\|t_n^*x s_n\| \leq \varepsilon/2$, $\|s_n^*a s_n - a\| \leq \varepsilon/2$, and $\|t_n^*b t_n - b\| \leq \varepsilon/2$, and we can take $d_1 = s_n$ and $d_2 = t_n$. \square

8 Tensor products with \mathcal{O}_∞

Corollary 8.3 below gives a McDuff type description of C^* -algebras A that satisfy $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$. This corollary is proved in [13] by the first named author. For the convenience of the reader, we give here the proof in a version taken almost verbatim from [19, Chapter 7] (a work in progress at the time where this is written).

Proposition 8.1 (An approximate intertwining) *Let A and B be separable C^* -algebras and let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be an injective $*$ -homomorphism. Suppose that there is a sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of unitaries in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n \varphi(a) - \varphi(a) v_n\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(v_n^* b v_n, \varphi(A)) = 0,$$

for all a in A and all b in B . Then A and B are isomorphic, and there is an isomorphism $\psi: A \rightarrow B$ which is approximately unitarily equivalent to φ .

Proof: Let $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$ and $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$ be (countable) dense subsets of A , respectively, of B . Passing to a subsequence of $\{v_n\}$ we can inductively select unitaries v_n in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ and elements $a_{n,j}$ in A such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n^*(v_{n-1}^* \cdots v_2^* v_1^* b_j v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{n-1}) v_n - \varphi(a_{n,j})\| &\leq 1/n, \\ \|v_n \varphi(a_j) - \varphi(a_j) v_n\| &\leq 2^{-n}, \quad \|v_n \varphi(a_{m,j}) - \varphi(a_{m,j}) v_n\| \leq 2^{-n}, \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. Being a limit of a Cauchy sequence,

$$\psi(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n \varphi(a) v_n^* \cdots v_2^* v_1^*$$

exists for all a in $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$, and hence for all a in A ; and $\psi: A \rightarrow B$ is a $*$ -homomorphism. Clearly ψ is injective, because $\|\psi(a_j)\| = \|a_j\|$ for all j , and ψ is approximately unitarily equivalent to φ . Observe that

$$\|\psi(a_{n,j}) - v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n \varphi(a_{n,j}) v_n^* \cdots v_2^* v_1^*\| < 2^{-n},$$

and use this to deduce

$$\|b_j - \psi(a_{n,j})\| \leq 2^{-n} + \|v_n^* v_{n-1}^* \cdots v_1^* b_j v_1 \cdots v_{n-1} v_n - \varphi(a_{n,j})\| \leq 2^{-n} + 1/n.$$

Since $\psi(A)$ is closed and $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$ is dense in B we conclude that $\psi(A) = B$. \square

Theorem 8.2 ([13, Corollary 10.8]) *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra and let B be a unital and separable C^* -algebra. Then A is isomorphic to $A \otimes B$ if*

- (i) *there is a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of unital injective $*$ -homomorphisms from B into $\mathcal{M}(A)$ satisfying $\|\varphi_n(x)a - a\varphi_n(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ for all a in A and all b in B , and*
- (ii) *the two $*$ -homomorphisms $\alpha, \beta: B \rightarrow B \otimes B$ given by $\alpha(b) = b \otimes 1$ and $\beta(b) = 1 \otimes b$, $b \in B$, are approximately unitarily equivalent.*

It is shown in [15], based on ideas from the paper [8] by Effros, that a C^* -algebra B that satisfies (ii) must necessarily be simple and nuclear.

Proof: We show that the conditions of Proposition 8.1 are satisfied with respect to the injective $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow A \otimes B$ given by $a \mapsto a \otimes 1_B$. More specifically we shall for each finite subset F of A , for each finite subset G of B , and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ find a unitary v in $\mathcal{M}(A \otimes B)$ such that

$$\|v\varphi(a) - \varphi(a)v\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{dist}(v^*(a \otimes b)v, \varphi(A)) \leq \varepsilon, \quad a \in F, b \in G. \quad (8.1)$$

Let ω be a free filter on \mathbb{N} . Let $\alpha_n: B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A \otimes B)$ be given by $\alpha_n(b) = \varphi_n(b) \otimes 1$, and define $\alpha: B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A \otimes B)_\omega$ to be $\alpha(b) = \pi_\omega(\alpha_1(b), \alpha_2(b), \dots)$. By the assumption on the $*$ -homomorphisms φ_n , the image of α commutes with the image of φ (when viewing $\mathcal{M}(A \otimes B)$ as a sub- C^* -algebra of $\mathcal{M}(A \otimes B)_\omega$). Let $\beta: B \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A \otimes B) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(A \otimes B)_\omega$

be given by $\beta(b) = 1 \otimes b$. The images of α and β commute with each other and with the image of φ . The C^* -algebra generated by $\alpha(B)$ and $\beta(B)$ is isomorphic to $B \otimes B$ (because B is nuclear and simple). By (ii) there is a unitary w in $C^*(\alpha(B), \beta(B))$ with $\|w^*\beta(b)w - \alpha(b)\| \leq \varepsilon/(2C)$ for all b in G , where $C = \max\{\|a\| : a \in F\}$. Since w commutes with the image of φ we have

$$w^*(a \otimes b)w = w^*\varphi(a)\beta(b)w = \varphi(a)w^*\beta(b)w, \quad a \in A, b \in B.$$

Write $w = \pi_\omega(w_1, w_2, \dots)$ where each w_n is a unitary in $\mathcal{M}(A \otimes B)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_\omega \|w_n\varphi(a) - \varphi(a)w_n\| &= 0, & \limsup_\omega \|w_n^*(a \otimes b)w_n - \varphi(a)w_n^*\beta(b)w_n\| &= 0, \\ \limsup_\omega \|w_n^*\beta(b)w_n - \alpha_n(b)\| &= \|w^*\beta(b)w - \alpha(b)\| \leq \varepsilon/(2C), \end{aligned}$$

for all a in A and all b in B . It follows that

$$\|w_n\varphi(a) - \varphi(a)w_n\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \|w_n^*(a \otimes b)w_n - \varphi(a)\alpha_n(b)\| \leq \varepsilon, \quad a \in F, b \in G,$$

for all $n \in X$ for some $X \in \omega$, and hence for at least one n . The element $\varphi(a)\alpha_n(b)$ belongs to $A \otimes 1 = \varphi(A)$ and (8.1) is therefore satisfied with $v = w_n$. \square

Corollary 8.3 *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra. Then A is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ if and only if there is a sequence of unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi_n: \mathcal{O}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $\|\varphi_n(x)a - a\varphi_n(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ for all x in \mathcal{O}_∞ and for all a in A .*

Proof: The “if” part follows immediately from Theorem 8.2 together with the theorem of Lin and Phillips, [17], that any pair of unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ are approximately unitarily equivalent.

It is shown in Lin and Phillips’ paper, [17], that \mathcal{O}_∞ is isomorphic to $\bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{O}_\infty$. As a consequence of this isomorphism we get a sequence $\psi_n: \mathcal{O}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\infty$ of unital $*$ -homomorphisms satisfying $\|\psi_n(x)y - y\psi_n(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ for all x, y in \mathcal{O}_∞ . Let A be any C^* -algebra. The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{M}(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ is a unital sub- C^* -algebra of $\mathcal{M}(A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty)$, and so $\varphi_n(x) = 1 \otimes \psi_n(x)$ defines a sequence of unital $*$ -homomorphisms from \mathcal{O}_∞ into $\mathcal{M}(A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty)$ which satisfies $\|\varphi_n(x)a - a\varphi_n(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ for all x in \mathcal{O}_∞ and for all a in $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$. \square

It follows easily from Corollary 8.3 that any C^* -algebra that absorbs \mathcal{O}_∞ is approximately divisible.

Proposition 8.4 *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra which is either unital or stable. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$,
- (ii) *for each natural number m , every approximately inner, completely positive contraction from a sub- C^* -algebra B of $M_m(A)$ into $M_m(A)$ is approximately 1-step inner,*
- (iii) *there is sequence $\{d_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $M_2(A)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| d_n^* \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} d_n - \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 0 \quad (8.2)$$

for all a in A .

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If A satisfies (i), then so does $M_m(A)$ for all natural numbers m . We need therefore only consider the case $m = 1$. Let B be a sub- C^* -algebra of A . It suffices to show that each n -step inner completely positive contraction $V: B \rightarrow A$ is approximately 1-step inner. Find d_1, \dots, d_n in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ with $V(b) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j^* b d_j$. By Corollary 8.3 we can find sequences of isometries $\{t_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ satisfying

$$t_{1,k} t_{1,k}^* + \dots + t_{n,k} t_{n,k}^* \leq 1, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|t_{j,k} a - a t_{j,k}\| = 0,$$

for all a in A and all j . Put $f_k = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{j,k} d_j$. Then $f_k^* a f_k \rightarrow V(a)$, and this shows that V is approximately 1-step inner.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let B be the sub- C^* -algebra of $M_2(A)$ consisting of all elements of the form $\text{diag}(a, 0)$ with a in A . Let $V: B \rightarrow M_2(A)$ be given by

$$V \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

The map V is approximately 2-step inner, and hence V is approximately 1-step inner if (ii) holds.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). If A is stable, then by Lemma 7.4 (ii) we can take d_n in $M_2(\mathcal{M}(A))$ satisfying (8.2) to be isometries of the form

$$d_n = \begin{pmatrix} u_n & v_n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.3)$$

Notice that (8.3) and (8.2) imply that u_n and v_n are isometries in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ with orthogonal range projections, that $u_n^* a u_n \rightarrow a$ and $v_n^* a v_n \rightarrow a$ for all a in A , and hence (by Lemma 7.9) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n a - a u_n\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n a - a v_n\| = 0 \quad (8.4)$$

for all a in A .

Let \mathcal{E}_2 be the universal C^* -algebra generated by two isometries with orthogonal range projections. The two isometries u_n, v_n have orthogonal range projections (for each fixed n), and so there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\psi_n: \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ mapping the two canonical generators of \mathcal{E}_2 onto u_n and v_n . By (8.4) we see that $\psi_n(x)a - a\psi_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ for all x in \mathcal{E}_2 and all a in A . The C^* -algebra \mathcal{E}_2 has a unital sub- C^* -algebra isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_∞ . Taking $\varphi_n: \mathcal{O}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ to be the restriction of ψ_n , an application of Corollary 8.3 yields that A is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$.

Suppose now that A is unital (and that (iii) holds). Put $e = \text{diag}(1, 0)$ in $M_2(A)$. Upon replacing d_n by ed_n we may assume that $d_n = ed_n$. Applying (8.2) to $a = 1$ we find that $d_n^* d_n \rightarrow 1$. Hence $d_n^* d_n$ is invertible (for n large enough) and $d_n = w_n |d_n|$ for some isometry w_n . Since $\|w_n - d_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and since $w_n = ew_n$ we can replace d_n by w_n and obtain isometries u_n and v_n such that (8.3) holds. The rest of the proof now follows the proof for the stable case. \square

Recall that a C^* -algebra A is called \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing if $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ is isomorphic to A .

Proposition 8.5 (Permanence properties)

- (i) *If A is an \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing C^* -algebra, then so is every closed two-sided ideal in A .*
- (ii) *If A is an \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing C^* -algebra, then so is every quotient of A .*
- (iii) *If A is a separable \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing C^* -algebra, and if B is a hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of A admitting an approximate unit consisting of projections, then B is \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing.*
- (iv) *If A is an inductive limit of a sequence $A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow A_3 \rightarrow \dots$ of separable C^* -algebras A_n , each of which absorbs \mathcal{O}_∞ , and if each connecting map $A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$ is non-degenerate³, then A absorbs \mathcal{O}_∞ .*

³A $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi: D \rightarrow E$ is said to be *non-degenerate* if $\varphi(D)E\varphi(D)$ is dense in E .

Proof: (i) and (ii). Write $A = A_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ for some C^* -algebra A_0 . Suppose that I is an ideal in A . Because \mathcal{O}_∞ is exact and simple, $I = I_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ for some ideal I_0 in A_0 ; cf. [1, Theorem 3.3] and [13, Proposition 2.13]. Hence I is \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing, and $A/I \cong (A_0/I_0) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ because \mathcal{O}_∞ is exact.

(iii). Let $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be an increasing approximate unit for B where each p_k is a projection. Observing that there are unital embeddings of \mathcal{E}_2 into \mathcal{O}_∞ and vice versa, we may use Corollary 8.3 — with \mathcal{E}_2 in the place of \mathcal{O}_∞ — to find an asymptotically central sequence of unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi_n: \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$. Let s, t be the two canonical generators of \mathcal{E}_2 , i.e., s, t are isometries with $ss^* \perp tt^*$. Put $p_0 = 0$ and put $q_k = p_k - p_{k-1}$ for all k in \mathbb{N} . Then $1 = \sum_{j=1}^\infty q_j$ in $\mathcal{M}(B)$ (the sum is strictly convergent). Also,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n(s)q_k - q_k\varphi_n(s)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n(t)q_k - q_k\varphi_n(t)\| = 0.$$

The relations satisfied by s, t are stable, and we can therefore for each k in \mathbb{N} find sequences $\{s_{n,k}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{t_{n,k}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of isometries in $q_k A q_k = q_k B q_k$ such that $s_{n,k} s_{n,k}^* \perp t_{n,k} t_{n,k}^*$, and such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|q_k \varphi_n(s) q_k - s_{n,k}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|q_k \varphi_n(t) q_k - t_{n,k}\| = 0.$$

For each n there is a (unique) unital $*$ -homomorphism $\psi_n: \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(B)$ that satisfies

$$\psi_n(s) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty s_{n,k}, \quad \psi_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty t_{n,k},$$

(the sums are strictly convergent). We have $\|\psi_n(x)b - b\psi_n(x)\| \rightarrow 0$ for each x in \mathcal{E}_2 and for each b in B . (To see this, consider first b in $p_k B p_k$ for some k .) Hence the conditions of Corollary 8.3 are satisfied, and so B is isomorphic to $B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$.

(iv). Let $\mu_n: A_n \rightarrow A$ be the inductive limit map. Then μ_n is non-degenerate for each n and it therefore extends to a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\hat{\mu}_n: \mathcal{M}(A_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$. Use Corollary 8.3 to find a sequence of unital $*$ -homomorphisms $\varphi_{k,n}: \mathcal{O}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A_n)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_{k,n}(x)a - a\varphi_{k,n}(x)\| = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{O}_\infty, a \in A_n.$$

Let $\psi_{k,n}: \mathcal{O}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A)$ be the composition mapping $\hat{\mu}_n \circ \varphi_{k,n}$. Then

$$\lim_{k,n \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_{k,n}(x)a - a\psi_{k,n}(x)\| = 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{O}_\infty, a \in A.$$

Corollary 8.3 now shows that A absorbs \mathcal{O}_∞ . □

Theorem 8.6 *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra. If A is strongly purely infinite and nuclear, and if A is either stable or has an approximate unit consisting of projections, then A is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$. Conversely, for any C^* -algebra A , if A is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$, then A is strongly purely infinite.*

Proof: Assume first that A is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$. Then, by Corollary 8.3, there are sequences $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of isometries in $\mathcal{M}(A)$ such that $u_n u_n^* \perp v_n v_n^*$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n a - a u_n\| = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n a - a v_n\| = 0$$

for all a in A . Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_n^* & 0 \\ 0 & v_n^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x^* \\ x & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_n & 0 \\ 0 & v_n \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$$

for all a, b, x in A . We can now take $d_1 = e u_n$ and $d_2 = e v_n$ for an appropriate approximate unit e for A and for n large enough to show that A is strongly purely infinite; cf. Lemma 5.2.

Suppose next that A is strongly purely infinite, nuclear, and that A either has an approximate unit consisting of projections or is stable. In the former case, if $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ absorbs \mathcal{O}_∞ , then so does A by Proposition 8.5 (iii), and $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is strongly purely infinite by Proposition 5.11 (iii). It therefore suffices to consider the case where A is stable.

Let B and $V: B \rightarrow M_2(A)$ be as in the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) of Proposition 8.4. Being isomorphic to A , B is nuclear, and V is approximately 2-step inner. Thus V is approximately 1-step inner by Theorem 7.21, and so A is isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ by Proposition 8.4. \square

9 Summary and open problems

The main results of this paper are contained in Theorem 7.21 and in the theorem below:

Theorem 9.1 *Consider the following six properties of a separable C^* -algebra A :*

- (i) $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$.
- (ii) A is strongly purely infinite ⁴.
- (iii) A is purely infinite ⁵.

⁴A definition of being strongly purely infinite is given in Definition 5.1.

⁵A definition of being purely infinite is given in Definition 3.4.

(iv) A is weakly purely infinite ⁶.

(v) A_ω is traceless ⁷ for some free filter ω on \mathbb{N} .

(vi) A is traceless.

Then

$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Leftrightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (vi),$$

and

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) if A is nuclear, and either stable or with an approximate unit consisting of projections;

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) if A is either simple, of real rank zero, or approximately divisible ⁸;

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii) if and only if A has the global Glimm property ⁹, and in particular if A is either simple, of real rank zero, or approximately divisible;

(vi) \Rightarrow (v) if A is approximately divisible.

Proof: The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (ii) \Rightarrow (i) are treated in Theorem 8.6.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is proved in Proposition 5.4. It is shown in Corollary 6.9 that (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) if A is of real rank zero, and hence in particular if A is simple, because all simple, purely infinite C^* -algebras are of real rank zero. Proposition 5.14 shows that (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) for approximately divisible C^* -algebras.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) follows from [16, Theorem 4.16]; cf. the remark below Definition 4.3. The implication (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) is treated in Proposition 4.15, Corollary 4.16 and Proposition 4.18.

The equivalence (iv) \Leftrightarrow (v) is proved in Theorem 4.8 (i), and by Theorem 4.8 (ii) we have (iv) \Rightarrow (vi). Finally, the implication (vi) \Rightarrow (iv) is proved to hold for approximately divisible C^* -algebras in [16, Theorem 5.9]. \square

The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) does not hold in general. There is in [7, Theorem 1.4] an example of a simple, unital, purely infinite, separable C^* -algebra A which is not approximately divisible. Hence A is not isomorphic to $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ (as noted below Corollary 8.3). We do not have counterexamples to any other implication of Theorem 9.1.¹⁰

⁶A definition of being weakly purely infinite is given in Definition 4.3.

⁷Traceless C^* -algebras are defined in Definition 4.2.

⁸A definition of being approximately divisible can be found in Definition 4.1.

⁹A definition of the global Glimm property can be found in Definition 4.12

¹⁰Added to proof: It has recently been shown by the second named author that the implication (vi) \Rightarrow (v) fails: there is a non-nuclear simple counterexample.

We summarize the situation when A is either simple, approximately divisible or of real rank zero. The conclusions of Corollary 9.2 below was obtained in 1994 by the first named author (and is published in [15]).

Corollary 9.2 *Let A be a simple, separable, nuclear C^* -algebra. Then $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ if and only if A is purely infinite.*

Proof: If A is simple and purely infinite, then A is either stable or unital by [22]. Hence conditions (i) – (v) in Theorem 9.1 are equivalent for A . \square

Corollary 9.3 *Let A be a separable, nuclear C^* -algebra that is either stable or admits an approximate unit consisting of projections. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$,
- (ii) A is approximately divisible and purely infinite,
- (iii) A is approximately divisible and traceless.

Proof: The implications (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from Theorem 9.1. If (i) holds then A is approximately divisible (this is easy to see from Corollary 8.3), and A is traceless (by Theorem 9.1). \square

It is a consequence of the next corollary that all non-degenerate (simple and non-simple) Cuntz–Krieger algebras absorb \mathcal{O}_∞ . A Cuntz–Krieger algebra \mathcal{O}_A is non-degenerate if its corresponding matrix has no irreducible component which is a permutation matrix. Non-degenerate Cuntz–Krieger algebras have real rank zero and all their non-zero projections are properly infinite.

Corollary 9.4 *Let A be a separable, nuclear C^* -algebra of real rank zero. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$,
- (ii) A is strongly purely infinite,
- (iii) A is purely infinite,
- (iv) A is weakly purely infinite,
- (v) A_ω is traceless for all free filters ω on \mathbb{N} ,

(vi) *all non-zero projections in A are properly infinite.*

Proof: Each C^* -algebra of real rank zero admits an approximate unit consisting of projections, and so conditions (i) – (v) of Theorem 9.1 (and hence of the present corollary) are equivalent for separable, nuclear C^* -algebras of real rank zero. The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (vi) follows from [16, Theorem 4.16] (saying that all non-zero positive elements in a purely infinite C^* -algebra are properly infinite), and (vi) \Rightarrow (iii) follows from [16, Proposition 4.7] (since every hereditary sub- C^* -algebra of a quotient of a C^* -algebra of real rank zero again is of real rank zero). \square

There are still several unanswered questions regarding the structure of infinite C^* -algebras. We list some of the more intriguing of these open problems below:

Question 9.5 (Three kinds of pure infiniteness) Do we have

$$A \text{ strongly purely infinite} \iff A \text{ purely infinite} \iff A \text{ weakly purely infinite}$$

for all C^* -algebras A ?

The two right-implications “ \Rightarrow ” in Question 9.5 are true and easy to prove (see Theorem 9.1). All weakly purely infinite C^* -algebras are purely infinite if and only if all weakly purely infinite C^* -algebras have the global Glimm property (see Proposition 4.15), or, equivalently if and only if all non-zero projections in a weakly purely infinite C^* -algebra are infinite (see Proposition 4.19).

We do not know if the multiplier algebra of a purely infinite C^* -algebra is purely infinite. We do not even know if its unit is infinite. Following the proof of Proposition 4.11, this would follow if we have an affirmative answer to the following:

Question 9.6 (Sums of properly infinite elements) Let a and b be positive elements in a C^* -algebra A such that, for some $\delta > 0$, the elements $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ and $(b - \varepsilon)_+$ are properly infinite for all $\varepsilon \in [0, \delta]$. Does it follow that their sum $a + b$ is properly infinite?

A partial answer to this question can be found in Lemma 4.9. Note that our assumption on a and b is slightly stronger than just asking these two elements to be properly infinite. For example, any strictly positive element a in the C^* -algebra \mathcal{K} of compact operators on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space is properly infinite (see [16, Proposition 3.7]), but $(a - \varepsilon)_+$ is not properly infinite for $\varepsilon > 0$.

There are strongly purely infinite C^* -algebras that are neither stable nor have an approximate unit consisting of projections. Take for example $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ (which by the way

clearly is \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing). The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 9.1 therefore does not apply to all separable, nuclear C^* -algebras. Nonetheless, we have no (nuclear, separable) counter example to this implication. We therefore ask:

Question 9.7 (Morita equivalence of \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorption) Suppose that A and B are stably isomorphic C^* -algebras and that $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$. Does it follow that $B \cong B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$?

One can answer Question 9.7 in the affirmative if one can prove that the inductive limit of any sequence $A_1 \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \cdots$ of \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing, separable C^* -algebras, not necessarily with non-degenerate connecting mappings (see Proposition 8.5 (iii)) is \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing.

We know that extensions of weakly purely infinite and of purely infinite C^* -algebras again are weakly purely infinite, respectively, purely infinite. What is the situation for strongly purely infinite C^* -algebras?

Question 9.8 (Extensions of \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing C^* -algebras) Given an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$$

of C^* -algebras. Suppose that I and B are strongly purely infinite. Does it follow that A is strongly purely infinite? Can one conclude that $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ if we know that $I \cong I \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ and $B \cong B \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$?

It is shown in Proposition 8.5 that I and B are \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing if A is \mathcal{O}_∞ -absorbing.

References

- [1] B. Blackadar, *Infinite tensor products of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **72** (1977), no. 2, 313–334.
- [2] ———, *Comparison theory for simple C^* -algebras*, Operator Algebras and Applications (Cambridge – New York) (D. E. Evans and M. Takesaki, eds.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 135, Cambridge Uni. Press, 1989, pp. 21–54.
- [3] B. Blackadar and D. Handelman, *Dimension functions and traces on C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **45** (1982), 297–340.
- [4] E. Blanchard and E. Kirchberg, *Non simple purely infinite C^* -algebras: The Hausdorff case*, SFB Preprint 478, Universität Münster, 2001.

- [5] J. Cuntz, *Dimension functions on simple C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. **233** (1978), 145–153.
- [6] ———, *K -theory for certain C^* -algebras*, Ann. of Math. **113** (1981), 181–197.
- [7] K. J. Dykema and M. Rørdam, *Purely infinite simple C^* -algebras arising from free product constructions*, Canadian J. Math. **50** (1998), no. 2, 323–341.
- [8] E. G. Effros, *Nuclearity and the C^* -algebraic flip*, Mathematical problems in theoretical physics (Berlin-New York), Lecture Notes in Phys., Springer.
- [9] G. A. Elliott, *Derivations of matroid C^* -algebras, II*, Ann. of Math. **100** (1974), 407–422.
- [10] ———, *Are amenable C^* -algebras classifiable?*, Representation Theory of Groups and Algebras, vol. 145, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence RI., 1992.
- [11] U. Haagerup, *Every quasi-trace on an exact C^* -algebra is a trace*, preprint, 1991.
- [12] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras: Advanced theory*, vol. II, Academic Press, London, 1986.
- [13] E. Kirchberg, *The classification of Purely Infinite C^* -algebras using Kasparov's Theory*, to appear in the Fields Institute Communication series.
- [14] ———, *On the existence of traces on exact stably projectionless simple C^* -algebras*, Operator Algebras and their Applications (P. A. Fillmore and J. A. Mingo, eds.), Fields Institute Communications, vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., 1995, pp. 171–172.
- [15] E. Kirchberg and N. C. Phillips, *Embedding of exact C^* -algebras into \mathcal{O}_2* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **525** (2000), 17–53.
- [16] E. Kirchberg and M. Rørdam, *Non-simple purely infinite C^* -algebras*, American J. Math. **122** (2000), 637–666.
- [17] H. Lin and N. C. Phillips, *Approximate unitary equivalence of homomorphisms from \mathcal{O}_∞* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **464** (1995), 173–186.
- [18] G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London, 1979.

- [19] M. Rørdam, *Classification of Nuclear, Simple C^* -algebras*, to appear in the *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences* volume **126** "Classification of Nuclear C^* -Algebras. Entropy in Operator Algebras" (with Erling Størmer) in the "Operator Algebras and Non-Commutative Geometry" subseries edited by Joachim Cuntz and Vaughan Jones.
- [20] ———, *On the Structure of Simple C^* -algebras Tensored with a UHF-Algebra, II*, J. Funct. Anal. **107** (1992), 255–269.
- [21] ———, *Classification of extensions of certain C^* -algebras by their six term exact sequences in K -theory*, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), 97–117.
- [22] S. Zhang, *Certain C^* -algebras with real rank zero and their corona and multiplier algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **155** (1992), 169–197.

UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, EINSTEINSTRASSE, D-48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

E-mail address: kirchbee@math.uni-muenster.de

On leave from:

HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNTER DEN LINDEN 6, D-10099 BERLIN, GERMANY

E-mail address: kirchbrg@mathematik.hu-berlin.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5, 2100 COPENHAGEN Ø, DENMARK

E-mail address: rordam@math.ku.dk

Internet home page: www.math.ku.dk/~rordam/