# REAL RANK AND SQUARING MAPPINGS FOR UNITAL $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

A. CHIGOGIDZE, A. KARASEV, AND M. RØRDAM

ABSTRACT. It is proved that if X is a compact Hausdorff space of Lebesgue dimension  $\dim(X)$ , then the squaring mapping  $\alpha_m \colon (C(X)_{\operatorname{sa}})^m \to C(X)_+$ , defined by  $\alpha_m(f_1,\ldots,f_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2$ , is open if and only if  $m-1 \geq \dim(X)$ . Hence the Lebesgue dimension of X can be detected from openness of the squaring maps  $\alpha_m$ . In the case m=1 it is proved that the map  $x\mapsto x^2$ , from the self-adjoint elements of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra A into its positive elements, is open if and only if A is isomorphic to C(X) for some compact Hausdorff space X with  $\dim(X)=0$ .

#### 1. Introduction

A compact Hausdorff space X is defined to have Lebesgue dimension  $\leq m$  if for every closed subset F of X, each continuous map  $F \to S^m$  has a continuous extension  $X \to S^m$ .

Various types of ranks for (unital)  $C^*$ -algebras have been inspired by corresponding prototypes in the classical dimension theory of (compact) spaces, such as the one given above. While the Lebesgue dimension of a compact space has numerous equivalent formulations, the extensions of these equivalent formulations to non-commutative  $C^*$ -algebras most often differ. Examples of such ranks for  $C^*$ -algebras are the stable rank defined by Rieffel in [7], the real rank defined by Brown and Pedersen in [1], the analytic rank defined by Murphy in [4], the tracial rank defined by Lin in [3], the completely positive rank considered by Winter in [8], and the bounded rank defined in [2] (see also [6] for the definition of the exponential rank).

It was shown in [2] that a unital  $C^*$ -algebra A has real rank at most n if the squaring map  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_i^2$ , from the set of (n+1)-tuples of self-adjoint elements to the set of positive elements in A, is open; and it was asked if the reverse also holds, in which case openness of the squaring maps would determine the real rank of the  $C^*$ -algebra.

In the present note we answer this question in the affirmative in the commutative case — and in the negative in the general (non-commutative) case.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46L05; Secondary: 46L85, 54F45.

Key words and phrases. Real rank, bounded rank, Lebesgue dimension.

The first named author was partially supported by NSERC research grant.

The latter — negative — answer follows from our result that the squaring map  $x \mapsto x^2$  (from the set of self-adjoint elements to the set of positive elements) is open if and only if the  $C^*$ -algebra is commutative and of real rank zero. Hence the squaring map  $x \mapsto x^2$  is not open for the  $C^*$ -algebra  $M_2$  of 2 by 2 matrices, but this  $C^*$ -algebra has real rank zero.

The athors would like to thank A. N. Dranishnikov for useful conversations related to Proposition 2.2.

## 2. Results

For a  $C^*$ -algebra A we use the standard notation  $A_{\operatorname{sa}}$  and  $A_+$  to denote the set of all self-adjoint and the set of all positive elements of A, respectively. The real rank of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra A, denoted by  $\operatorname{RR}(A)$ , is in [1] defined as follows: For each non-negative integer n,  $\operatorname{RR}(A) \leq n$  if for every (n+1)-tuple  $(x_1,\ldots,x_{n+1})$  in  $A_{\operatorname{sa}}$  and every  $\varepsilon>0$ , there exists an (n+1)-tuple  $(y_1,\ldots,y_{n+1})$  in  $A_{\operatorname{sa}}$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} y_k^2$  is invertible and  $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \|x_k-y_k\|<\varepsilon$ . Let us say that a unital  $C^*$ -algebra A has an open m-squaring map if the map

Let us say that a unital  $C^*$ -algebra A has an open m-squaring map if the map  $\alpha_m \colon (A_{sa})^m \to A_+$ , defined by  $\alpha_m(x_1, \ldots, x_m) = \sum_{k=1}^m x_k^2$ , is open. Observe that  $\alpha_m$  is open at  $(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $a \in A_+$  with  $\|\sum_{k=1}^m x_k^2 - a\| < \delta$  there is an m-tuple  $(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$  in  $A_{sa}$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^m y_k^2 = a$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^m \|x_k - y_k\| < \varepsilon$ .

For the reader's convenience we present a shorter proof of [2, Proposition 7.1].

**Proposition 2.1.** Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. If the (n+1)-squaring map on A is open, then  $RR(A) \leq n$ .

Proof. Let  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1})$  be an (n+1)-tuple of self-adjoint elements in A and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By openness of the (n+1)-squaring map there is  $\delta > 0$  and an (n+1)-tuple  $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1})$  of self-adjoint elements in A such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \|x_k - y_k\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} y_k^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} x_k^2 + \delta \cdot 1$ , and the latter element is invertible (because each  $x_k^2$  is positive).

Next, we prove the reverse of Proposition 2.1 in the commutative case.

**Proposition 2.2.** If X is a compact space such that dim  $X \leq n$ , then C(X) has open (n + 1)-squaring map.

*Proof.* Let  $f = f_1^2 + \dots + f_{n+1}^2$ . Put  $m_i = \sup f_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$  and let  $m = \max\{m_i\}$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \frac{(\varepsilon/3)^4}{m^2}, \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2 \right\} \text{ and } U = \left\{ x \in X \colon f(x) > \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2 \right\}.$$

Let also  $A = f^{-1}([0, (\varepsilon/3)^2])$  and  $S = f^{-1}((\varepsilon/3)^2)$ . Then A and S are closed subsets of X such that  $A = X \setminus U$  and  $S \subseteq A$ .

Now consider the diagonal product

$$F(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_{n+1}(x)) : X \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

and note that

$$A = F^{-1}(B^{n+1})$$
 and  $S = F^{-1}(S^n)$ ,

where

$$B^{n+1} = B^{n+1}(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon/3)$$
 and  $S^n = \partial B^{n+1}(\mathbf{0}, \varepsilon/3)$ .

Since dim  $A \leq \dim X \leq n$ , the map  $F|S: S \to S^n$  admits an extension  $H: A \to S^n$  (see, for instance, [5, Ch. 3, Theorem 2.2]). Let  $h_i: A \to \mathbb{R}$  be the *i*-th component of the map H. Since  $H(A) \subseteq S^n$  it follows that  $h_1^2 + \cdots + h_{n+1}^2 = (\varepsilon/3)^2$ . Note also that since H|S = F|S we have  $h_i|S = f_i|S$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$ .

The last condition allows us to define for each i = 1, ..., n + 1 a continuous map  $h_i$  on X by letting

$$\widetilde{h}_i(x) = \begin{cases} f_i(x), & \text{if } x \in U \\ h_i(x), & \text{if } x \in A \end{cases}$$

Observe that the function  $\widetilde{h} = \widetilde{h_1}^2 + \cdots + \widetilde{h}_{n+1}^2$  is strictly positive on X. Notice also that  $\widetilde{h}|_U = f|_U$  and  $\widetilde{h}|_A = (\varepsilon/3)^2$ .

Take next a positive function g in C(X) with  $||f-g||_{\infty} < \delta$ . Define a function  $\lambda$  on X by  $\lambda(x) = (g(x)/\tilde{h}(x))^{1/2}$ . Note that  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

Now define  $g_i$  for i = 1, ..., n + 1, on X by the formula  $g_i(x) = \widetilde{h}_i(x) \cdot \lambda(x)$ . Clearly

$$g_1^2(x) + \dots + g_{n+1}^2(x) = \left(\widetilde{h}_1(x) \cdot \lambda(x)\right)^2 + \dots + \left(\widetilde{h}_{n+1}(x) \cdot \lambda(x)\right)^2 =$$
$$\lambda^2(x) \left(\widetilde{h}_1^2(x) + \dots + \widetilde{h}_{n+1}^2(x)\right) = \frac{g(x)}{\widetilde{h}(x)} \cdot \left(\widetilde{h}_1^2(x) + \dots + \widetilde{h}_{n+1}^2(x)\right) = g(x).$$

Next let us show that  $g_i$  is sufficiently close to  $f_i$  for each i = 1, ..., n + 1. Indeed, since  $||f - g||_{\infty} < \delta$  we conclude that for each  $x \in A$  we have

$$g(x) < f(x) + \delta < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2.$$

Since  $g_i^2 \leq g$  and  $f_i^2 \leq f$ , the last inequality implies that

$$|g_i(x)| < \sqrt{2\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2} < 2\frac{\varepsilon}{3} \text{ and } |f_i(x)| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Hence

$$|f_i(x) - g_i(x)| \le |f_i(x)| + |g_i(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2\frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon$$

as required.

Further, if  $x \in U$ , then  $\widetilde{h}(x) = f(x)$  and consequently

$$\widetilde{h}(x) - \delta < g(x) < \widetilde{h}(x) + \delta.$$

Hence

$$1 - \frac{\delta}{\widetilde{h}(x)} < \frac{g(x)}{\widetilde{h}(x)} < 1 + \frac{\delta}{\widetilde{h}(x)}$$

for  $x \in U$ . Since

$$\widetilde{h}(x) = f(x) > \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2 \text{ for } x \in U \text{ and } \delta \leq \frac{(\varepsilon/3)^4}{m^2}$$

we have (for  $x \in U$ )

$$1 - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3m}\right)^2 = 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{m^2} \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^4}{\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2} < \frac{g(x)}{\widetilde{h}(x)} < 1 + \frac{\frac{1}{m^2} \cdot \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^4}{\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^2} = 1 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3m}\right)^2$$

and

$$1 - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3m}\right)^2 < \lambda^2(x) < 1 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3m}\right)^2.$$

Consequently,

$$\left|1 - \lambda^2(x)\right| < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3m}\right)^2$$
.

Since  $\lambda(x) \geq 0$ , this implies

$$[1 - \lambda(x)]^2 \le |1 - \lambda(x)| \cdot |1 + \lambda(x)| = |1 - \lambda^2(x)| < \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3m}\right)^2.$$

Therefore

$$|1 - \lambda(x)| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{3m}$$
 for any  $x \in U$ .

Finally we have

$$|f_i(x) - g_i(x)| = |1 - \lambda(x)| \cdot |f_i(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3m} \cdot m < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \text{ for any } x \in U.$$

This completes the verification of the fact that  $|f_i(x) - g_i(x)| < \varepsilon$  for each  $x \in X$  and any i = 1, ..., n + 1.

Corollary 2.3. Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) The squaring map  $x \mapsto x^2$  from  $A_{sa}$  to  $A_+$  is open.
- (ii) A is commutative and RR(A) = 0.
- (iii) A is isomorphic to a  $C^*$ -algebra of the form C(X) for a compact Hausdorff space X with dim X=0.

*Proof.* The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) follows from Gelfand's duality and [1, Proposition 1.1].

The implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from Proposition 2.2.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume that (i) holds. Then RR(A) = 0 by Proposition 2.1. It remains to show that A is commutative. Since A is of real rank zero it suffices to show that any two projections p, q in A commute.

Take the symmetry s = p - (1 - p). Then s is self-adjoint and  $s^2 = 1$ . By openness of the squaring map there are self-adjoint elements  $s_n$  in A such that  $||s_n - s|| \to 0$  and  $s_n^2 = 1 + n^{-1}q$ . Define  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $\varphi(t) = \max\{0, t\}$ . For each n, the element  $\varphi(s_n)$  commutes with  $s_n$ , hence with  $s_n^2$ , and hence with q. Since  $\varphi(s) = p$  we obtain

$$pq - qp = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\varphi(s_n)q - q\varphi(s_n)) = 0,$$

as desired.

## 3. Related comments and open problems

Existence of square roots: Suppose that A is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra and that x is a self-adjoint element in A. Does there exist a continuous square root  $\rho_x = \rho \colon \Omega \to A_{\mathrm{sa}}$  (i.e.,  $\rho(a)^2 = a$  for all  $a \in \Omega$ ) defined on an open neighborhood  $\Omega \subseteq A_+$  of  $x^2$  such that  $\rho(x^2) = x$ ? If this is true for all self-adjoint elements a in A, then the equivalent conditions of Corollary 2.3 are satisfied.

Suppose that A = C(X) for some 0-dimensional compact Hausdorff space X (i.e., that the conditions of Corollary 2.3 are satisfied). Take a self-adjoint (i.e., real valued)  $f \in C(X)$ , and suppose that there is a clopen set U such that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in U$  and  $f(x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in X \setminus U$ . Then the function  $\rho_U \colon C(X)_+ \to C(X)_{\operatorname{sa}}$  defined by

$$\rho_U(g) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{g(x)}, & x \in U, \\ -\sqrt{g(x)}, & x \in X \setminus U, \end{cases}$$

is a continuous square root with  $\rho_U(f^2) = f$ . It is not clear to the authors if there are continuous square roots at arbitrary real valued functions f in C(X).

In the case where  $A = M_n$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra of n by n matrices, if x is a self-adjoint element and if  $x^2$  has n distinct eigenvalues, then there is a continuous square root  $\rho$  with  $\rho(x^2) = x$  defined on some neighborhood of  $x^2$ .

In the case where  $A=M_2$ , it follows from Corollary 2.3 (and its proof) that there is no continuous square root  $\rho$  defined on a neighborhood of I such that  $\rho(I)=\operatorname{diag}(1,-1)$ . It is easily checked explicitly that if r is a (small) non-zero real number, then any square root of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ r & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} a & s \\ s & a \end{pmatrix}$ , where a and s are real numbers satisfying  $a^2+s^2=1$  and 2as=r, and any such square root has distance at least 1 to  $\operatorname{diag}(1,-1)$ .

We end this note by listing some open problems related to openness of the squaring maps:

**Question 1.** Let A be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, let m be a positive integer, and suppose that the squaring map  $\alpha_m$  (defined above Proposition 2.1) is open. Does it follow that  $\alpha_n$  is open for all  $n \geq m$ ?

The answer to Question 1 is affirmative for commutative  $C^*$ -algebras by Propositions 2.1 and 2.2. The difficulty in this question lies in the fact that if  $\Omega$  is an open subset of  $A_+$  and if  $a \in A_+$ , then  $a + \Omega$  need not be open in  $A_+$ . (For instance,  $1 + A_+$  is not open in  $A_+$ .)

**Question 2.** Are Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 valid also in the *non-unital* case? (For Proposition 2.2, this means that we will be talking about locally compact Hausdorff spaces rather than compact Hausdorff spaces.) What is the relationship between openness of  $\alpha_n$  on a non-unital  $C^*$ -algebra A and openness of  $\alpha_n$  on its unitization?

**Question 3.** Are the squaring maps  $\alpha_m$  open for all  $m \geq 2$  when A is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra of real rank zero?

**Question 4.** Does the class of  $C^*$ -algebras, for which the squaring map  $\alpha_2$  is open, have any nice properties? More generally, are there any justifications for considering the rank of a  $C^*$ -algebra defined by openness of the squaring maps; and will this rank reflect any "dimension like" properties of the  $C^*$ -algebra?

#### References

- L. G. Brown, G. K. Pedersen, C\*-algebra of real rank zero, J. Functional Anal. 99 (1991), 131-149.
- [2] A. Chigogidze, V. Valov, Bounded rank of C\*-algebras, Preprint math.OA/0109100 (2001).
- [3] H. Lin, The tracial topological rank of  $C^*$ -algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. 83 (2001), 199–234.
- [4] G. J. Murphy, The analytic rank of a C\*-algebra, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1992), 741–746.
- [5] A. R. Pears, *Dimension Theory of General Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1975.

- [6] N. C. Phillips, Simple C\*-algebras with the property (FU), Math. Scand. 69 (1991), 121– 151.
- [7] M. A. Rieffel, Dimension and stable rank in the K-theory of C\*-algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. 46 (1983), 301–333.
- [8] W. Winter, Covering dimension for nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras, Preprint math.OA/0107218 (2001).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, McLean Hall, 106 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 5E6, Canada *E-mail address*: chigogid@math.usask.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, McLean Hall, 106 Wiggins Road, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 5E6, Canada *E-mail address*: karasev@math.usask.ca

Department of Mathematics, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

E-mail address: rordam@math.ku.dk