The Stable rank of $C^*_{red}(F_n)$ is one — A Survey

Mikael Rørdam

1 Introducton

In a recent paper, [1], by Ken Dykema, Uffe Haagerup and the author of this note it was proved that any reduced free product of C^* -algebras with respect to tracial states has stable rank one, provided that the ingoing C^* -algebras satisfy a certain (mild) condition (called the Avitzour condition). Recall that a unital C^* -algebra A has stable rank one if its group GL(A) of invertible elements is a norm dense subset of A. This definition is due to Marc Rieffel, [4], who associates the stable rank, a number in $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\} \cup \{\infty\}$, to each C^* -algebra, as an analogue of dimension for topological spaces.

Rieffel posed in his paper the problem of calculating the stable rank of some concrete (simple, finite) C^* -algebras of interest, namely the irrational rotation C^* -algebras and the C^* -algebra $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$ arising from the free group F_n of n generators, where $2 \leq n \leq \infty$. Ian Putnam, [3], settled the first question by proving that all the irrational rotation C^* -algebras have stable rank one. It is proved in [1], as a corollary to its main theorem, that $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$ has stable rank one for all $2 \leq n \leq \infty$.

The purpose of this note is to give a direct and self contained proof of this corollary. The proof given here does not contain any new ideas, not already contained in [1], and the papers it is based upon ([2] and [5]), but it is shorter, and perhaps also less technical, having the privilege of dealing only with a special case of main theorem of [1].

It is a commonly asked question if every finite, simple C^* -algebra has stable rank one. (A unital C^* -algebra is said to be finite if it contains no non-unitary isometries.) In Section 5 we give an example that shows that the approach taken in [1] cannot be generalized (in any obvious way) to settle this conjecture for all finite, simple C^* -algebras. This example, I believe, has not been published before.

After a first draft of this note was written, Jesper Villadsen has constructed an example of a finite, simple, unital C^* -algebra which is not of stable rank one ([6]).

2 The distance to the invertible elements

We shall in this section give a direct proof of a theorem from [5] that states that if the set of invertible elements in a unital C^* -algebra is not dense, then the C^* -algebra contains an element with the largest possible distance to the invertibles.

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, and denote, as above, the group of invertible elements in A by GL(A). Upon representing A faithfully on a Hilbert space H, we may assume that $A \subseteq B(H)$. Each element $a \in A$ has a polar decomposition a = v|a|, where v is a partial isometry in B(H) and $|a| = (a^*a)^{1/2} \in A$. For each $\alpha > 0$, define projections

$$p_{\alpha} = 1_{(\alpha,\infty)}(|a|), \qquad q_{\alpha} = 1_{(\alpha,\infty)}(|a^*|),$$

on H. Observe that $vp_{\alpha}v^*=q_{\alpha}$.

Lemma 2.1 If $vp_{\alpha} = yp_{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and for some $y \in GL(A)$, then $dist(a, GL(A)) \leq \alpha$.

Proof: Define $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ by $f(t) = \max\{0, t - \alpha\}$. Then (b =) v f(|a|) = y f(|a|). Since $y(f(|a|) + \varepsilon \cdot 1) \in GL(A)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, we see that b belongs to the closure of GL(A). Hence

$$dist(a, GL(A)) \le ||a - b|| = ||v(|a| - f(|a|))|| = \sup_{t \in (|a|)} |t - f(t)| \le \alpha.$$

For each $\alpha > 0$, let $g_{\alpha} \colon \mathbb{R}^{+} \to \mathbb{R}^{+}$ be the function given by $g_{\alpha}(t) = \min\{1, \alpha^{-1}t\}$. Set $b_{\alpha} = vg_{\alpha}(|a|) \in A$.

Lemma 2.2 If $0 < \alpha < \beta$ and if $||b_{\alpha} - y|| < 1$ for some $y \in GL(A)$, then there exists $y' \in GL(A)$ such that $vp_{\beta} = y'p_{\beta}$.

Proof: Observe first that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - y^* v) p_{\alpha}\| &= \|(v^* - y^*) v p_{\alpha}\| = \|(v^* - y^*) q_{\alpha} v\| \\ &= \|(v^* g_{\alpha}(|a^*|) - y^*) q_{\alpha}\| \le \|v^* g_{\alpha}(|a^*|) - y^*\| \\ &= \|b_{\alpha}^* - y^*\| = \|b_{\alpha} - y\| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $h: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a continuous function satisfying $0 \le h \le 1$, h is zero on the interval $[0, \alpha]$, and h is equal to 1 on the interval $[\beta, \infty)$. Put

$$z = (1 - y^*v)h(|a|) = (1 - y^*v)p_{\alpha}h(|a|).$$

Then $z \in A$ because h(0) = 0. Moreover, $||z|| \le ||(1 - y^*v)p_{\alpha}|| < 1$ and $zp_{\beta} = (1 - y^*v)p_{\beta}$. Hence $1 - z \in GL(A)$ and $(1 - z)p_{\beta} = y^*vp_{\beta}$. It follows that $vp_{\beta} = y'p_{\beta}$, when $y' = (y^*)^{-1}(1 - z) \in GL(A)$.

Theorem 2.3 ([5, Theorem 2.6]) If A has stable rank not equal to one, then there exists an element x in A with

$$dist(x, GL(A)) = ||x|| = 1.$$

Proof: Assume that A is a unital C^* -algebra of stable rank different from one. Then there is an element $a \in A$ not in the closure of GL(A). Choose α, β such that

$$0 < \alpha < \beta < \operatorname{dist}(a, \operatorname{GL}(A)).$$

If $||b_{\alpha} - y|| < 1$ for some $y \in GL(A)$, then $vp_{\beta} = y'p_{\beta}$ for some $y' \in GL(A)$ by Lemma 2.2. However, from Lemma 2.1, this would entail that $dist(a, GL(A)) \leq \beta$, in contradiction with the choice of β .

It follows that $\operatorname{dist}(b_{\alpha}, \operatorname{GL}(A)) \geq 1$. In combination with the obvious fact that $||b_{\alpha}|| \leq 1$, this yields that $||x|| = \operatorname{dist}(x, \operatorname{GL}(A)) = 1$, when $x = b_{\alpha}$.

3 A norm estimate

The two first lemmas of this section are from Uffe Haagerup's paper [2]. Proposition 3.3 is an easy consequence of these two lemmas, and it will, together with Theorem 2.3, go into the proof of Theorem 4.2.

Let e_i denote the generators of F_n . Each element of the free group F_n is a finite word in e_i and their inverses. Such a word in F_n is called *reduced* if no occurrence of e_i follows or is followed by e_i^{-1} . The length of a $g \in F_n$, which is written l(g), is the number of factors e_i or e_i^{-1} appearing in the reduced representation of g.

Let $\lambda \colon F_n \to B(\ell^2(F_n))$ be the left regular representation. Set

$$\mathfrak{A}_n = \operatorname{span}\{\lambda(g) \mid g \in F_n\}, \quad \mathfrak{A}_n^{(j)} = \operatorname{span}\{\lambda(g) \mid g \in F_n, l(g) = j\}.$$

Then $C^*_{red}(F_n)$ is the norm closure of \mathfrak{A}_n .

 $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$ has a unique trace τ , which gives rise to an inner product on $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$ defined by $\langle a,b\rangle = \tau(b^*a)$ and to the norm $||a||_2 = \langle a,a\rangle^{1/2}$. Denote by E_j the orthogonal projection from \mathfrak{A}_n onto $\mathfrak{A}_n^{(j)}$.

Lemma 3.1 ([2, Lemma 1.3]) Let $a \in \mathfrak{A}_n^{(k)}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{A}_n^{(l)}$ be given, and let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$||E_j(ab)||_2 \le ||a||_2 ||b||_2.$$

Moreover, if j < |k-l|, if j > k+l, or if k+l-j is odd, then $E_j(ab) = 0$.

Proof: The last claims (about $E_j(ab)$ being zero) follow from the fact that if $g, h \in F_n$, then l(gh) = l(g) + l(h) - 2m for some $0 \le m \le \min\{l(g), l(h)\}$.

Suppose now that $|k-l| \leq j \leq k+l$ and that k+l-j=2m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g, h \in F_n$ with l(g) = k and l(h) = l. Write $g = g_1g_2$ and $h = h_2h_1$ as reduced words with $l(g_2) = l(h_2) = m$ (and consequently, $l(g_1) = k-m$ and $l(h_1) = l-m$). Then l(gh) = j if and only if $g_2 = h_2^{-1}$ and g_1h_1 is reduced. Hence

$$E_{j}(\lambda(gh)) = \langle \lambda(g_{2}), \lambda(h_{2}^{-1}) \rangle E_{j}(\lambda(g_{1}h_{1}))$$

$$= \begin{cases} \langle \lambda(g_{2}), \lambda(h_{2}^{-1}) \rangle \lambda(g_{1}h_{1}), & \text{if } g_{1}h_{1} \text{ is reduced,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Write

$$a = \sum \alpha_{g_1 g_2} \lambda(g_1 g_2), \qquad b = \sum \beta_{h_2 h_1} \lambda(h_2 h_1),$$

summing over all g_1, g_2 , respectively h_1, h_2 , such that $l(g_1) = k - m$, $l(h_1) = l - m$, $l(g_2) = l(h_2) = m$ and such that g_1g_2 and h_2h_1 are reduced. Then,

$$||E_{j}(ab)||_{2}^{2} = ||\sum_{g_{1},h_{1}} \left(\sum_{g_{2},h_{2}} \alpha_{g_{1}g_{2}} \beta_{h_{2}h_{1}} \langle \lambda(g_{2}), \lambda(h_{2}^{-1}) \rangle \right) E_{j}(\lambda(g_{1}h_{1}))||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{g_{1},h_{1}} ||\sum_{g_{2},h_{2}} \alpha_{g_{1}g_{2}} \beta_{h_{2}h_{1}} \langle \lambda(g_{2}), \lambda(h_{2}^{-1}) \rangle ||^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{g_{1},h_{1}} ||\langle \sum_{g_{2}} \alpha_{g_{1}g_{2}} \lambda(g_{2}), (\sum_{h_{2}} \beta_{h_{2}h_{1}} \lambda(h_{2}))^{*} \rangle ||^{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{g_{1},h_{1}} ||\sum_{g_{2}} \alpha_{g_{1}g_{2}} \lambda(g_{2})||_{2}^{2} \cdot ||\sum_{h_{2}} \beta_{h_{2}h_{1}} \lambda(h_{2})||_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{g_{1},h_{1}} (\sum_{g_{2}} |\alpha_{g_{1}g_{2}}|^{2}) (\sum_{h_{2}} |\beta_{h_{2}h_{1}}|^{2})$$

$$= \sum_{g_{1},g_{2}} \sum_{h_{1},h_{2}} |\alpha_{g_{1}g_{2}}|^{2} |\beta_{h_{2}h_{1}}|^{2}$$

$$= ||a||_{2}^{2} ||b||_{2}^{2}.$$

Lemma 3.2 ([2, Lemma 1.4]) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $a \in \operatorname{span}\mathfrak{A}_n^{(k)}$,

$$||a|| \le (2k+1)||a||_2.$$

Proof: It suffices to show that

$$||ab||_2 \le (2k+1)||a||_2||b||_2$$

for all $b \in \mathfrak{A}_n$. Put $b_l = E_l(b)$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$||E_{j}(ab)||_{2} = ||\sum_{l=|j-k|}^{j+k} E_{j}(ab_{l})||_{2} \leq \sum_{l=|j-k|}^{j+k} ||E_{j}(ab_{l})||_{2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=|j-k|}^{j+k} ||a||_{2} ||b_{l}||_{2} \leq (2k+1)^{1/2} ||a||_{2} (\sum_{l=|j-k|}^{j+k} ||b_{l}||_{2}^{2})^{1/2}.$$

Hence

$$||ab||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} ||E_{j}(ab)||_{2}^{2} \leq (2k+1)||a||_{2}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=|j-k|}^{j+k} ||b_{l}||_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq (2k+1)^{2}||a||_{2}^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} ||b_{j}||_{2}^{2} = (2k+1)^{2}||a||_{2}^{2}||b||_{2}^{2}.$$

Proposition 3.3 (c.f. [1, Lemma 3.5]) For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $a \in \operatorname{span}_{j < k} \mathfrak{A}_n^{(j)}$,

$$||a|| \le (2k+1)^{3/2} ||a||_2.$$

Proof: Put $a_j = E_j(a)$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$||a|| = ||\sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{j}|| \le \sum_{j=0}^{k} ||a_{j}||$$

$$\le \sum_{j=0}^{k} (2j+1) ||a_{j}||_{2} \le (2k+1) \sum_{j=0}^{k} ||a_{j}||_{2}$$

$$\le (2k+1)(k+1)^{1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{k} ||a_{j}||_{2}^{2} = (2k+1)(k+1)^{1/2} ||a||_{2}$$

$$< (2k+1)^{3/2} ||a||_{2}.$$

One can replace the constant 2k + 1 in Lemma 3.2 and in Proposition 3.3 with k + 1 by using that $E_j(ab) = 0$ whenever k + l - j is odd (c.f. Lemma 3.1).

4 The stable rank of $C^*_{red}(F_n)$

As in Section 3 let \mathfrak{A}_n denote the dense subalgebra of $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$ spanned by $\lambda(g)$, $g \in F_n$, where $2 \leq n \leq \infty$.

Lemma 4.1 (c.f. [1, Lemma 3.7]) For each $a \in \mathfrak{A}_n$ there exist unitaries $u, v \in \mathfrak{A}_n$ such that $\|(uav)^m\|_2 = \|a\|_2^m$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof: As before we let e_i denote the generators of F_n . It follows by the property of the free groups that if g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_m and h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m are elements in F_n all of length $\leq k$, and if

$$e_1^{2k+1}g_1e_2^{k+1}e_1^{2k+1}g_2e_2^{k+1}\cdots e_1^{2k+1}g_me_2^{k+1}=e_1^{2k+1}h_1e_2^{k+1}e_1^{2k+1}h_2e_2^{k+1}\cdots e_1^{2k+1}h_me_2^{k+1},$$

then $g_1 = h_1$, $g_2 = h_2$, ... $g_m = h_m$.

We can find $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a \in \operatorname{span}_{j \leq k} \mathfrak{A}_n^{(j)}$. Put $u = \lambda(e_1^{2k+1})$ and put $v = \lambda(e_2^{k+1})$. Write $a = \sum_{l(g) \leq k} \alpha_g \lambda(g)$. Then

$$uav = \sum_{l(g) \le k} \alpha_g \lambda(e_1^{2k+1} g e_2^{k+1}),$$

and consequently

$$(uav)^m = \sum_{g_1} \sum_{g_2} \cdots \sum_{g_m} \alpha_{g_1} \alpha_{g_2} \cdots \alpha_{g_m} \lambda(e_1^{2k+1} g_1 e_2^{k+1} e_1^{2k+1} g_2 e_2^{k+1} \cdots e_1^{2k+1} g_m e_2^{k+1}).$$

Since all g_j have length $\leq k$ the argument in the first paragraph shows that the group elements appearing in the expression above for $(uav)^m$ are mutually distinct. It therefore follows that

$$||(uav)^{m}||_{2}^{2} = \sum_{g_{1}} \sum_{g_{2}} \cdots \sum_{g_{m}} |\alpha_{g_{1}} \alpha_{g_{2}} \cdots \alpha_{g_{m}}|^{2}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{g_{1}} |\alpha_{g_{1}}|^{2} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{g_{2}} |\alpha_{g_{2}}|^{2} \right) \cdot \cdots \left(\sum_{g_{m}} |\alpha_{g_{m}}|^{2} \right) = ||a||_{2}^{2m}.$$

The spectral radius of an element x in a C^* -algebra will be denoted by r(x). If t > r(wx) for some unitary w in A, then $x - tw^* = w^*(wx - t \cdot 1)$ is invertible, and so

$$dist(x, GL(A)) \le ||x - (x - tw^*)|| = t.$$

This proves that

$$\operatorname{dist}(x,\operatorname{GL}(A)) \le \inf_{w \in U(A)} r(wx).$$

Theorem 4.2 ([1, Corollary 3.9]) The C^* -algebras $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$ have stable rank one for all $2 \leq n \leq \infty$,

Proof: We begin by proving that

$$\operatorname{dist}(a,\operatorname{GL}(C_{\operatorname{red}}^*(F_n))) \le ||a||_2$$

for all $a \in C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$. By continuity it suffices to prove this for $a \in \mathfrak{A}_n$. Let $u, v \in \mathfrak{A}_n$ be as in Lemma 4.1. Then $uav \in \text{span}_{j \leq k} \mathfrak{A}_n^{(j)}$ for some k, and $(uav)^m \in \text{span}_{j \leq mk} \mathfrak{A}_n^{(j)}$. By Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 4.1 we get

$$d(a, GL(C^*_{red}(F_n))) \leq r(vua) = r(uav)$$

$$= \liminf_{m \to \infty} \|(uav)^m\|^{1/m}$$

$$\leq \liminf_{m \to \infty} (2mk+1)^{3/2m} \|(uav)^m\|_2^{1/m} = \|a\|_2.$$

Now, if $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$ had stable rank different from one, then by Theorem 2.3 there would exist an element x in $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$ of norm one and distance one from the invertibles. That would imply

$$1 = ||x|| = \operatorname{dist}(x, \operatorname{GL}(C^*_{\operatorname{red}}(F_n))) \le ||x||_2 \le ||x||,$$

and hence $||x|| = ||x||_2 = 1$. Consequently, $\tau(1 - xx^*) = \tau(1 - x^*x) = 1 - ||x||_2^2 = 0$, and also $1 - xx^* \ge 0$, $1 - x^*x \ge 0$. Since τ is faithful, this shows that x is unitary. But unitary elements are invertible and do not have distance one to the invertibles. \square

5 An example

In the proof of Theorem 4.2 it was shown that

$$\inf_{u \in U(C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n))} r(ux) \le ||x||_2$$

for all x in a dense sub-*-algebra of $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$, where $r(\cdot)$ is the spectral radius. Once this is established, density of the invertibles in $C^*_{\text{red}}(F_n)$ follows easily from Theorem 2.3. One might proceed to establish this estimate on the spectral radius for general C^* -algebras (with a unique trace), or appropriate generalizations thereof. For example, it is plausible that if A is any simple, unital C^* -algebra, and if $x \in A$ is a non-zero element such that ax = 0 = xa for some non-zero positive $a \in A$, then

$$\inf_{u \in U(A)} r(ux) < ||x||.$$

One could moreover hope that this holds for general (non-simple) unital C^* -algebras provided that the element a above is assumed to be full. This is *not* the case, however, as shown in Theorem 5.1 below.

Let A be any unital C^* -algebra, let $n, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k + l \leq n$, and let x be any element in $M_n(A)$ such that at most k rows and l columns in the $n \times n$ matrix of x are non-zero. Then for some (unitary) permutation matrices u and v, uxv is strictly upper triangular. It follows that uxv and vux are nilpotent and hence that r((vu)x) = 0.

Let $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $X_{k,l}$ be the space of all complex $k \times l$ -matrices of (operator) norm ≤ 1 . For each $n \geq \max\{k, l\}$ let $z_{k,l}^{(n)} \in M_n(C(X_{k,l})) = C(X_{k,l}, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ be given by

$$z_{k,l}^{(n)}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in X_{k,l}.$$

Clearly $||z_{k,l}^{(n)}|| = 1$, and the argument above shows that if $k + l \leq n$, then $uz_{k,l}^{(n)}$ is nilpotent for some unitary $u \in M_n(C(X_{k,l}))$, and $z_{k,l}^{(n)}$ belongs to the closure of the invertible elements in $M_n(C(X_{k,l}))$.

Theorem 5.1 If k + l > n, then

$$\operatorname{dist}(z_{k,l}^{(n)},\operatorname{GL}(M_n(C(X_{k,l})))) = ||z_{k,l}^{(n)}|| = 1.$$

In particular, $r(uz_{k,l}^{(n)}) = 1$ for all unitaries $u \in M_n(C(X_{k,l}))$.

Proof: We need only prove that $||z_{k,l}^{(n)} - a|| \ge 1$ for all invertible $a \in M_n(C(X_{k,l}))$. (The formula for the spectral radius will then follow from the inequality above Theorem 4.2.)

Suppose that $a \in M_n(C(X_{k,l}))$ and that $||z_{k,l}^{(n)} - a|| < 1$. We show that a is not invertible. Let $a_0 \in M_{k,l}(C(X_{k,l})) = C(X_{k,l}, M_{k,l}(\mathbb{C}))$ be the upper left $k \times l$ block of the matrix of a. We begin by proving that $a_0(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in X_{k,l}$.

Assume, to reach a contradiction, that $a_0(x)$ is non-zero for all $x \in X_{k,l}$. Let $Y_{k,l} \subseteq X_{k,l}$ be the set of all $k \times l$ matrices of norm equal to 1. Observe that $Y_{k,l}$ is homeomorphic to the sphere S^{2kl-1} , and that $Y_{k,l}$ therefore is not contractible. Observe also, that

$$||x - a_0(x)|| \le ||z_{k,l}^{(n)}(x) - a(x)|| < 1, \quad x \in X_{k,l}.$$

Define a function $f: Y_{k,l} \times [0,2] \to M_{k,l}(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$f(y,t) = \begin{cases} a_0(ty), & 0 \le t \le 1\\ (t-1)y + (2-t)a_0(y), & 1 \le t \le 2 \end{cases}$$

Then f is continuous, the function $y \mapsto f(y,0)$ is constant, f(y,2) = y, and $f(y,t) \neq 0$ for all (y,t). The function $h: Y_{k,l} \times [0,2] \to Y_{k,l}$ given by h(y,t) = f(y,t)/||f(y,t)|| is therefore continuous, $y \mapsto h(y,0)$ is constant, and h(y,2) = y. However, no such function h exists because $Y_{k,l}$ is not contractible.

To prove that a is non-invertible, it suffices to show that $a(x_0)$ is non-invertible. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be the column vectors of $a(x_0)$. Because $a_0(x_0) = 0$, it follows that v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_l all lie in an (n - k)-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{C}^n . Since l > n - k, the set (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) cannot be linearly independent, and therefore $a(x_0)$ is not invertible.

References

- [1] K. Dykema, U. Haagerup and M. Rørdam, The stable rank of some free product C^* -algebras, Duke Math. J. to appear.
- [2] U. Haagerup, An example of a non nuclear C*-algebra, which has the metric approximation property, Invent. Math. 50 (1979), 279–293.

- [3] I. Putnam, The invertibles elements are dense in the irrational rotation C*-algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. **410** (1990), 160–166.
- [4] M. Rieffel, Dimension and stable rank in the K-theory of C*-algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 46 (1983), 301–333.
- [5] M. Rørdam, Advances in the theory of unitary rank and regular approximation, Ann. of Math. 128 (1988), 153–172.
- [6] J. Villadsen, On the stable rank of simple C^* -algebras, preprint.