## Solutions to the June 2004 exam

## Problem 1

$(1) \Longrightarrow(2)$ : Since $f$ is continuous, $f(A) \subset f(\bar{A}) \subset \overline{f(A)}$. Since $f$ is closed, $f(\bar{A})$ is closed and therefore these two inclusions imply $\overline{f(A)}=f(\bar{A})$.
$(2) \Longrightarrow(1)$ : Since $f(\bar{A}) \subset \overline{f(A)}$ for all $A \subset X, f$ is continuous. If $A$ is closed, then $A=\bar{A}$ so that $f(A)=f(\bar{A})=\overline{f(A)}$ is also closed. This means that $f$ is closed.

## Problem 2

Any continuous map of a compact space to a Hausdorff space is closed. Open subsets of locally path-connected spaces have open path-components.
Problem 3 [2, Ex 38.9]
(1) Suppose that $x_{n} \in X$ converges to $y \in \beta X-X$. We recursively define a subsequence by $x_{n_{k}}$ by

$$
n_{k}= \begin{cases}1 & k=1 \\ \min \left\{n>n_{k-1} \mid x_{n} \notin\left\{x_{n_{1}}, \ldots, x_{n_{k-1}}\right\}\right\} & k>1\end{cases}
$$

This definition makes sense since the set we are taking the minimal element of a nonempty set. Since $x_{n}$ converges to $y$, the subsequence $x_{n_{k}}$ also converges to $y$. Clearly, no two points of the subsequence $x_{n_{k}}$ are identical. We call this subsequence $x_{n}$ again.
(2) Note that $y$ is in the closure of $A$ as any neighborhood of $y$ contains a point from A. Since therefore $A \subset A \cup\{y\} \subset \bar{A}$, it suffices to show that $A \cup\{y\}$ is closed, ie that the complement of $A \cup\{y\}$ is open: Let $z$ be a point in the complement. Since $z$ is not the limit of the sequence $\left(x_{2 n+1}\right)$ (there is just one limit point, namely $y$, in the Hausdorff space $\beta X$ ) there exists a neighborhood, $U$, of $z$ containing only finitely many points from the sequence. Then $U-(U \cap(A \cup\{y\}))$ is an open neighborhood of $z$ disjoint from $A \cup\{y\}$.

This shows that $\bar{A}=A \cup\{y\}$. Similarly, $\bar{B}=B \cup\{y\}$. Therefore the intersection $\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}=\{y\} \neq \emptyset$.
(3) $A$ and $B$ are disjoint since no two points of the sequence $x_{n}$ are identical. The set $A$ is closed in $X$ since $\mathrm{Cl}_{X} A=X \cap \bar{A}=X \cap(A \cup\{y\})=A$. Similarly for $B$, of course. By Urysohn's characterization of normal spaces, there exists a continuous function $f: X \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $A \subset f^{-1}(0)$ and $B \subset f^{-1}(1)$.
(4) The universal property of the Stone-Čech compactification [1, §27] says that there exists a unique continuous map $\bar{f}$ into the compact Hausdorff space $[0,1]$ such that the diagram

commutes. Since $\bar{A} \subset \bar{f}^{-1}(0)$ and $\bar{B} \subset \bar{f}^{-1}(1), \bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ are disjoint.
$\stackrel{(2)}{\neq} \bar{A} \cap \bar{B} \stackrel{(4)}{=} \emptyset$ 亿
(6) $X$ is a proper subspace of $\beta X$ since $\beta X$ is compact which $X$ is not.
(7) First countable spaces satisfy the sequence lemma. If $\beta X-X=\bar{X}-X$ is nonempty then $\beta X$ does not satisfy the sequence lemma as we have just seen. Thus $\beta X$ is not first countable, in particular not metrizable.

Here are two applications:

- $\beta \mathbf{Z}_{+}$is compact but not sequentially compact: The sequence $\mathbf{Z}_{+}$in $\beta \mathbf{Z}_{+}$ has no convergent subsequence (neither in $\mathbf{Z}_{+}$nor in $\beta \mathbf{Z}_{+}-\mathbf{Z}_{+}$).
- $\beta \mathbf{R}$ is connected but not path-connected: $\beta \mathbf{R}$ is connected because it has a dense connected subspace, $\mathbf{R}$. It is not path-connected since there can be no path between a point in $\mathbf{R}$ and a point outside $\mathbf{R}$ as any such path would also give a a sequence of points in $\mathbf{R}$ converging to a point outside $\mathbf{R}$ (the first point of the path that is not in $\mathbf{R}$ ). To see this note that as $\mathbf{R}$ is locally compact, $\mathbf{R}$ is open in the compact Hausdorff space $\beta \mathbf{R}[1,30.8]$. The remainder $\beta \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}$ is therefore closed. Let now $p:[0,1] \rightarrow \beta \mathbf{R}$ be a path from $p(0) \in \mathbf{R}$ to $p(1) \in \beta \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}$. Then $p^{-1}(\beta \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R})$ is a compact subset of $[0,1]$ so it contains its greatest lower bound $t_{0}=\inf p^{-1}(\beta \mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R})$. This lower bound is positive for 0 belongs to $p^{-1}(\mathbf{R})$. Hence $t_{n}=t_{0}-\frac{1}{n}$ is a sequence in $p^{-1}(\mathbf{R})($ for $n \gg 0)$ that converges to $t_{0} \notin p^{-1}(\mathbf{R})$. The image sequence $p\left(t_{n}\right)$ is then a sequence in $\mathbf{R}$ that converges to a point $p\left(t_{0}\right)$ outside $\mathbf{R}$. But that is impossible!
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