

## Munkres §17

**Ex. 17.3.**  $A \times B$  is closed because its complement

$$(X \times Y) - (A \times B) = (X - A) \times Y \cup X \times (Y - B)$$

is open in the product topology.

**Ex. 17.6.**

(a). If  $A \subset B$ , then all limit points of  $A$  are also limit points of  $B$ , so [Thm 17.6]  $\overline{A} \subset \overline{B}$ .

(b). Since  $A \cup B \subset \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$  and  $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$  is closed [Thm 17.1], we have  $\overline{A \cup B} \subset \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$  by (a). Conversely, since  $A \subset A \cup B \subset \overline{A \cup B}$ , we have  $\overline{A} \subset \overline{A \cup B}$  by (a) again. Similarly,  $\overline{B} \subset \overline{A \cup B}$ . Therefore  $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subset \overline{A \cup B}$ . This shows that closure commutes with *finite* unions.

(c). Since  $\bigcup A_\alpha \supset A_\alpha$  we have  $\overline{\bigcup A_\alpha} \supset \overline{A_\alpha}$  by (a) for all  $\alpha$  and therefore  $\overline{\bigcup A_\alpha} \supset \bigcup \overline{A_\alpha}$ . In general we do not have equality as the example  $A_q = \{q\}$ ,  $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ , in  $\mathbf{R}$  shows.

**Ex. 17.8.**

(a). By [Ex 17.6.(a)],  $\overline{A \cap B} \subset \overline{A}$  and  $\overline{A \cap B} \subset \overline{B}$ , so  $\overline{A \cap B} \subset \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$ . It is *not* true in general that  $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$  as the example  $A = [0, 1)$ ,  $B = [1, 2]$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  shows. (However, if  $A$  is open and  $D$  is dense then  $\overline{A \cap D} = \overline{A}$ ).

(b). Since  $\bigcap A_\alpha \subset A_\alpha$  we have  $\overline{\bigcap A_\alpha} \subset \overline{A_\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha$  and therefore  $\overline{\bigcap A_\alpha} \subset \bigcap \overline{A_\alpha}$ . (In fact, (a) is a special case of (b)).

(c). Let  $x \in \overline{A} - \overline{B}$ . For any neighborhood of  $x$ ,  $U - \overline{B}$  is also a neighborhood of  $x$  so

$$U \cap (A - B) = (U - B) \cap A \supset (U - \overline{B}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$$

since  $x$  is in the closure of  $A$  [Thm 17.5]. So  $x \in \overline{A - B}$ . This shows that  $\overline{A - B} \subset \overline{A} - \overline{B}$ . Equality does not hold in general as  $\overline{\mathbf{R} - \{0\}} = \mathbf{R} - \{0\} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbf{R}} - \{0\} = \mathbf{R}$ .

Just to recap we have

- (1)  $A \subset B \Rightarrow \overline{A} \subset \overline{B}$  ( $A \subset B$ ,  $B$  closed  $\Rightarrow \overline{A} \subset B$ )
- (2)  $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$
- (3)  $\overline{A \cap B} \subset \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$  ( $\overline{A \cap D} = \overline{A}$  if  $D$  is dense.)
- (4)  $\overline{\bigcup A_\alpha} \supset \bigcup \overline{A_\alpha}$
- (5)  $\overline{\bigcap A_\alpha} \subset \bigcap \overline{A_\alpha}$
- (6)  $\overline{A - B} \subset \overline{A} - \overline{B}$

Dually,

- (1)  $A \subset B \Rightarrow \text{Int } A \subset \text{Int } B$  ( $A \subset B$ ,  $A$  open  $\Rightarrow A \subset \text{Int } B$ )
- (2)  $\text{Int}(A \cap B) = \text{Int } A \cap \text{Int } B$
- (3)  $\text{Int}(A \cup B) \supset \text{Int } A \cup \text{Int } B$

These formulas are really the same because

$$\overline{X - A} = X - \text{Int } A, \quad \text{Int}(X - A) = X - \overline{A}$$

**Ex. 17.9.** [Thm 19.5] Since  $\overline{A} \times \overline{B}$  is closed [Ex 17.3] and contains  $A \times B$ , it also contains the closure of  $A \times B$  [Ex 17.6.(a)], i.e.  $\overline{A \times B} \subset \overline{A} \times \overline{B}$ .

Conversely, let  $(x, y) \in \overline{A} \times \overline{B}$ . Any neighborhood of  $(x, y)$  contains a product neighborhood of the form  $U \times V$  where  $U \subset X$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  and  $V \subset Y$  a neighborhood of  $y$ . The intersection of this product neighborhood with  $A \times B$

$$(U \times V) \cap (A \times B) = (U \cap A) \times (V \cap B)$$

is nonempty because  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  as  $x \in \overline{A}$  and  $V \cap B \neq \emptyset$  as  $y \in \overline{B}$ . Since thus any neighborhood of  $(x, y)$  intersect  $A \times B$  nontrivially, the point  $(x, y)$  lies in the closure of  $A \times B$  [Thm 17.5]. This shows that  $\overline{A \times B} \subset \overline{A} \times \overline{B}$ .

**Ex. 17.10 (Morten Poulsen).****Theorem 1.** *Every order topology is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a simply ordered set. Let  $X$  be equipped with the order topology induced by the simple order. Furthermore let  $a$  and  $b$  be two distinct points in  $X$ , may assume that  $a < b$ . Let

$$A = \{x \in X \mid a < x < b\},$$

i.e. the set of elements between  $a$  and  $b$ .

If  $A$  is empty then  $a \in (-\infty, b)$ ,  $b \in (a, \infty)$  and  $(-\infty, b) \cap (a, \infty) = \emptyset$ , hence  $X$  is Hausdorff.

If  $A$  is nonempty then  $a \in (-\infty, x)$ ,  $b \in (x, \infty)$  and  $(-\infty, x) \cap (x, \infty) = \emptyset$  for any element  $x$  in  $A$ , hence  $X$  is Hausdorff.  $\square$

**Ex. 17.11 (Morten Poulsen).****Theorem 2.** *The product of two Hausdorff spaces is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Hausdorff spaces and let  $a_1 \times b_1$  and  $a_2 \times b_2$  be two distinct points in  $X \times Y$ . Note that either  $a_1 \neq a_2$  or  $b_1 \neq b_2$ .

If  $a_1 \neq a_2$  then, since  $X$  is Hausdorff, there exists open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  in  $X$  such that  $a_1 \in U_1$ ,  $a_2 \in U_2$  and  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $U_1 \times Y$  and  $U_2 \times Y$  are open in  $X \times Y$ . Furthermore  $a_1 \times b_1 \in U_1 \times Y$ ,  $a_2 \times b_2 \in U_2 \times Y$  and  $(U_1 \times Y) \cap (U_2 \times Y) = (U_1 \cap U_2) \times Y = \emptyset \times Y = \emptyset$ , hence  $X \times Y$  is Hausdorff.

The case  $b_1 \neq b_2$  is similar.  $\square$

**Ex. 17.12 (Morten Poulsen).****Theorem 3.** *Every subspace of a Hausdorff space is Hausdorff.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a subspace of a Hausdorff space  $X$  and let  $a$  and  $b$  be two distinct points in  $A$ .

Since  $X$  is Hausdorff there exists two open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $a \in U$ ,  $b \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $a \in A \cap U$ ,  $b \in A \cap V$  and  $(A \cap U) \cap (A \cap V) = (U \cap V) \cap A = \emptyset \cap A = \emptyset$ . Since  $A \cap U$  and  $A \cap V$  are open in  $A$ , it follows that  $A$  is Hausdorff.  $\square$

**Ex. 17.13 (Morten Poulsen).****Theorem 4.** *A topological space  $X$  is Hausdorff if and only if the diagonal*

$$\Delta = \{x \times x \in X \times X \mid x \in X\}$$

*is closed in  $X \times X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  is Hausdorff. The diagonal  $\Delta$  is closed if and only if the complement  $\Delta^c = X \times X - \Delta$  is open. Let  $a \times b \in \Delta^c$ , i.e.  $a$  and  $b$  are distinct points in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is Hausdorff there exists open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $a \in U$ ,  $b \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $a \times b \in U \times V$  and  $U \times V$  open in  $X \times X$ . Furthermore  $(U \times V) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ , since  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint. So for every point  $a \times b \in \Delta^c$  there exists an open set  $U_{a \times b}$  such that  $a \times b \in U_{a \times b} \subset \Delta^c$ . By Ex. 13.1 it follows that  $\Delta^c$  open, i.e.  $\Delta$  closed.

Now suppose  $\Delta$  is closed. If  $a$  and  $b$  are two distinct points in  $X$  then  $a \times b \in \Delta^c$ . Since  $\Delta^c$  is open there exists a basis element  $U \times V$ ,  $U$  and  $V$  open in  $X$ , for the product topology, such that  $a \times b \in U \times V \subset \Delta^c$ . Since  $U \times V \subset \Delta^c$  it follows that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence  $U$  and  $V$  are open sets such that  $a \in U$ ,  $b \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $X$  is Hausdorff.  $\square$

**Ex. 17.14 (Morten Poulsen).** The sequence converges to every real number, by the following result.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $X$  be a set equipped with the finite complement topology. If  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+}$  is an infinite sequence of distinct points in  $X$  then  $(x_n)$  converges to every  $x$  in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $x \in X$ , i.e.  $X - U$  is finite. It follows that  $x_n \in U$ , for all, but finitely many,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ , i.e.  $(x_n)$  converges to  $x$ .  $\square$

**Ex. 17.21 (Morten Poulsen).** Let  $X$  be a topological space. Consider the three operations on  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ , namely closure  $A \mapsto \bar{A}$ , complement  $A \mapsto X - A$  and interior  $A \mapsto A^\circ$ . Write  $A^-$  instead of  $\bar{A}$  and  $A^c$  instead of  $X - A$ , e.g.  $X - \bar{X - \bar{A}} = A^{c-c}$ .

**Lemma 6.** *If  $A \subset X$  then  $A^\circ = A^{c-c}$ .*

*Proof.*  $A^\circ \supset A^{c-c}$ : Since  $A^c \subset A^{c-}$ ,  $A^{c-c} \subset A$  and  $A^{c-c}$  is open.

$A^\circ \subset A^{c-c}$ : Since  $A^\circ \subset A$ ,  $A^c \subset A^{oc}$  and  $A^{oc}$  is closed, it follows that  $A^{c-} \subset A^{oc}$ , hence  $A^\circ \subset A^{c-c}$ .  $\square$

This lemma shows that the interior operation can be expressed in terms of the closure and complement operations.

(a). The following theorem, also known as Kuratowski's Closure-Complement Problem, was first proved by Kuratowski in 1922.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A \subset X$ . Then at most 14 distinct sets can be derived from  $A$  by repeated application of closure and complementation.*

*Proof.* Let  $A_1 = A$  and set  $B_1 = A_1^c$ . Define  $A_{2n} = A_{2n-1}^-$  and  $A_{2n+1} = A_{2n}^c$  for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . Define  $B_{2n} = B_{2n-1}^-$  and  $B_{2n+1} = B_{2n}^c$  for  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ .

Note that every set obtainable from  $A$  by repeatedly applying the closure and complement operations is clearly one of the sets  $A_n$  or  $B_n$ .

Now  $A_7 = A_4^{c-c} = A_4^\circ = A_3^-$ . Since  $A_3 = A_1^{-c}$  it follows that  $A_3$  is open, hence  $A_3 \subset A_7 \subset A_3^-$ , so  $A_7 = A_3^-$ , i.e.  $A_8 = A_4$ , hence  $A_{n+4} = A_n$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Similarly  $B_{n+4} = B_n$  for  $n \geq 4$ .

Thus every  $A_n$  or  $B_n$  is equal to one of the 14 sets  $A_1, \dots, A_7, B_1, \dots, B_7$ , this proves the result.  $\square$

(b). An example:

$$A = ((-\infty, -1) - \{-2\}) \cup ([-1, 1] \cap \mathbf{Q}) \cup \{2\}.$$

The 14 different sets:

$$A_1 = ((-\infty, -1) - \{-2\}) \cup ([-1, 1] \cap \mathbf{Q}) \cup \{2\}$$

$$A_2 = (-\infty, 1] \cup \{2\}$$

$$A_3 = (1, \infty) - \{2\}$$

$$A_4 = [1, \infty)$$

$$A_5 = (-\infty, 1)$$

$$A_6 = (-\infty, 1]$$

$$A_7 = (1, \infty)$$

$$B_1 = \{-2\} \cup ([-1, 1] - \mathbf{Q}) \cup ((1, \infty) - \{2\})$$

$$B_2 = \{-2\} \cup [-1, \infty)$$

$$B_3 = (-\infty, -1) - \{-2\}$$

$$B_4 = (-\infty, -1]$$

$$B_5 = (-1, \infty)$$

$$B_6 = [-1, \infty)$$

$$B_7 = (-\infty, -1).$$

Another example:

$$A = \{1/n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}_+\} \cup (2, 3) \cup (3, 4) \cup \{9/2\} \cup [5, 6] \cup \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{Q}, 7 \leq x < 8\}.$$