# ${ m GARCH} { m processes} - { m continuous} { m counterparts} { m (Part 2)}$

Alexander Lindner

Centre of Mathematical Sciences Technical University of Munich D-85747 Garching Germany lindner@ma.tum.de http://www-m1.ma.tum.de/m4/pers/lindner/

Maphysto Workshop Non-Linear Time Series Modeling Copenhagen September 27 – 30, 2004

## Contents

- 1. Why processes in continuous time?
- 2. The GARCH diffusion limit of Nelson
- 3. The COGARCH process: definition and properties

## Why continuous time models?

- Observations are quite often irregularly spaced.
- Observations quite often come in at a very high frequency.

Then a continuous time model may provide a better approximation to the discrete data than a discrete model.

Aim: Construct continuous time models with features of GARCH.

## The diffusion approximation of Nelson

$$\sigma_n^2 = \omega + \lambda \sigma_{n-1}^2 \varepsilon_{n-1}^2 + \delta \sigma_{n-1}^2$$
  
=  $\omega + (\lambda \varepsilon_{n-1}^2 + \delta) \sigma_{n-1}^2$   
 $Y_n = \sigma_n \varepsilon_n$ 

where  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  i.i.d.: GARCH(1,1) process.

Set

$$G_n := \sum_{i=0}^n Y_i, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

Then

$$G_n - G_{n-1} = Y_n,$$

so the increments of  $(G_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  are a GARCH process, i.e.  $(G_n)$  is "accumulated GARCH".

**Question:** Can we find a sequence of processes, whose increments on finer becoming grids are GARCH processes, such that the processes converge in distribution to a non-trivial limit process?

#### Setup:

Take grid width h > 0

$${}_{h}G_{nh} = {}_{h}G_{(n-1)h} + {}_{h}\sigma_{nh} \cdot {}_{h}\varepsilon_{nh}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$
  
$${}_{h}\sigma_{(n+1)h}^{2} = \omega_{h} + \left(h^{-1}\lambda_{h} \cdot {}_{h}\varepsilon_{nh}^{2} + \delta_{h}\right) \cdot {}_{h}\sigma_{nh}^{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{0},$$
  
$$\left( {}_{h}\varepsilon_{nh}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \text{ i.i.d. } N(0, h)$$
  
$$\left( {}_{h}\sigma_{0}^{2}, {}_{h}G_{0}\right) \text{ independent of } ({}_{h}\varepsilon_{nh})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$
  
$$\omega_{h} > 0, \; \lambda_{h} \ge 0, \; \delta_{h} \ge 0.$$

Then  $({}_{h}G_{nh} - {}_{h}G_{(n-1)h})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is GARCH(1,1) process

 ${}_{h}G_{t} := {}_{h}G_{nh}, {}_{h}\sigma_{t}^{2} := {}_{h}\sigma_{nh}^{2}, {}_{nh} \leq t < (n+1)h$ defines  $({}_{h}G_{t}, {}_{h}\sigma_{t}^{2})$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$ 

**Question:** When does  $({}_{h}G_{t}, {}_{h}\sigma_{t}^{2})_{t\geq 0}$  converge weakly to a process  $(G, \sigma^{2})$  as  $h \downarrow 0$ ?

(weak convergence is in the space  $D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^2)$  of càdlàg functions, endowed with the Borel sets of the Skorohod topology; weak convergence of processes implies in particular convergence of finite dimensional distributions)

#### Theorem: (Nelson, 1990)

Suppose

$$( {}_{h}G_{0}, {}_{h}\sigma_{0}^{2}) \xrightarrow{d} (G_{0}, \sigma_{0}^{2}), \quad h \downarrow 0$$

$$P(\sigma_{0}^{2} > 0) = 1$$

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1}\omega_{h} = \omega \ge 0$$

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1}(1 - \delta_{h} - \lambda_{h}) = \theta$$

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} 2h^{-1}\lambda_{h}^{2} = \lambda^{2} > 0$$

Then  $({}_{h}G, {}_{h}\sigma^{2})$  converges weakly as  $h \downarrow 0$  to the unique solution  $(G, \sigma^{2})$  of the diffusion equation

$$dG_t = \sigma_t \, dB_t^{(1)},\tag{1}$$

$$d\sigma_t^2 = (\omega - \theta \sigma_t^2) dt + \lambda \sigma_t^2 dB_t^{(2)}, \qquad (2)$$

with starting value  $(G_0, \sigma_0^2)$ , where  $(B_t^{(1)})_{t\geq 0}$  and  $(B_t^{(2)})_{t\geq 0}$  are *independent* Brownian motions, independent of  $(G_0, \sigma_0^2)$ .

If  $2\theta/\lambda^2 > -1$  and  $\omega > 0$ , then the solution  $(\sigma_t^2)_{t\geq 0}$  is strictly stationary iff  $\sigma_0^{-2} \stackrel{d}{=} \Gamma(1 + 2\theta/\lambda^2, 2\omega/\lambda^2)$ 

**Example:**  $\omega_h = \omega h, \ \delta_h = 1 - \lambda \sqrt{h/2} - \theta h, \ \lambda_h = \lambda \sqrt{h/2}$ 

## Interpretation:

The solution of (1) and (2) can be approximated by a GARCH process in discrete time.

## Observe:

- The stationary limiting process  $\sigma^2$  has Pareto like tails.
- The limit  $(G, \sigma^2)$  is driven by **two independent** Brownian motions. The GARCH process has only one source of randomness!
- The processes G and  $\sigma^2$  are continuous. But empirical volatility can exhibit jumps.
- Estimation of the parameters of the diffusion limit and of the discrete GARCH processes may lead to significantly different results (Wang, 2002)

Further literature: Drost and Werker (1996), Duan (1997)

## The COGARCH(1,1) process Klüppelberg, Lindner, Maller (2004)

Recall discrete GARCH(1,1):

Both appearing random walks are linked

**Idea:** Replace appearing random walks by *Lévy processes* (= continuous time analogue of random walk) Replace  $\varepsilon_j$  by jumps of a Lévy process *L*.

**Recall:** A stochastic process  $(L_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a *Lévy process* iff

- it has independent increments: for  $0 \le a < b \le c < d$ :  $L_d - L_c$  and  $L_b - L_a$  are independent
- it has stationary increments: the distribution of  $L_{t+s} - L_t$  does not depend on t
- it is stochastically continuous
- with probability one it has right-continuous paths with finite left-limits (càdlàg paths)
- $L_0 = 0$  a.s.

### Examples

- Brownian motion (has normal increments)
- Compound Poisson process:  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  i.i.d. sequence, independent of  $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  i.i.d. with exponential distribution with mean c

$$T_n := \sum_{j=1}^n v_n$$
$$N_t := \max\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : T_n \le t\}$$
$$L_t := \sum_{j=1}^{N_t} \varepsilon_j$$

**Note:** All Lévy processes apart from Brownian motion have jumps.

#### Lévy-Kintchine formula

 $(L_t)_{t\geq 0}$  Lévy process:

 $Ee^{isL_t} = e^{t\chi_L(s)}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R},$  $\chi_L(s) = i\gamma_L s - \tau_L^2 \frac{s^2}{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{isx} - 1 - isx \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}) \Pi_L(dx), \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

- $(\gamma_L, \tau_L, \Pi_L)$  characteristic triplet
- $\tau_L^2 \ge 0$  Brownian part
- $\Pi_L$  Lévy measure:

$$\int_{|x|<1} x^2 \Pi_L(dx) < \infty, \quad \int_{|x|\ge 1} \Pi_L(dx) < \infty$$

• If  $\int_{|x|<1} |x| \Pi_L(dx) < \infty$  and  $\tau_L^2 = 0$ : finite variation case.

$$\chi_L(s) = i\gamma_{L,0}s - \tau_L^2 \frac{s^2}{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{isx} - 1)\Pi_L(dx) \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

 $\gamma_{L,0}$  drift of L

## Jumps of Lévy processes

- $\Delta L_t := L_t L_{t-} jumps$
- totally described by the Lévy measure
- $\Pi_L$  infinite (not compound Poisson)  $\implies$  almost surely,  $(L_t)_{t\geq 0}$  has infinitely many jumps in finite time intervals
- $\sum_{0 < s \le t} |\Delta L_s| < \infty \iff \int_{|x| < 1} |x| \prod_L (dx) < \infty$
- Always:  $\sum_{0 < s \le t} |\Delta L_s|^2 < \infty$  almost surely.

## COGARCH(1,1) - definition

For  $\Pi_L \neq 0, \, \delta > 0, \, \lambda \ge 0$  define auxiliary Lévy process

$$X_t = -t \log \delta - \sum_{0 < s \le t} \log(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\delta} (\Delta L_s)^2), \quad t \ge 0.$$

For  $\omega > 0$  and a finite random variable  $\sigma_0^2$  independent of  $(L_t)_{t \ge 0}$ define the *volatility process* 

$$\sigma_t^2 = \left(\omega \int_0^t e^{X_s} ds + \sigma_0^2\right) e^{-X_{t-}}, \quad t \ge 0.$$

Define COGARCH(1,1)  $(G_t)_{t\geq 0}$  by

$$G_0 = 0, \quad dG_t = \sigma_t dL_t, \quad t \ge 0.$$

#### Note:

G jumps at the same times as L with jump size  $\Delta G_t = \sigma_t \Delta L_t$ .

#### Properties

(1)  $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is spectrally negative Lévy process of finite variation with Brownian part 0, drift  $(-\log \delta)$  and Lévy measure  $\Pi_X$ :

$$\Pi_X([0,\infty)) = 0$$
  
$$\Pi_X((-\infty, -x]) = \Pi_L(\{|y| \ge \sqrt{(e^x - 1)\delta/\lambda}\}), \quad x > 0.$$

**Proof** By definition:  $\gamma_X = -\log \delta, \ \tau_X^2 = 0,$ 

$$\Pi_{X}((-\infty, -x]) = E\left[\sum_{0 < s \le 1} I_{\{-\log(1+(\lambda/\delta)(\Delta L_{s})^{2}) \le -x\}}\right]$$
$$= E\left[\sum_{0 < s \le 1} I_{\{|y| \ge \sqrt{(e^{x}-1)\delta/\lambda}\}}\right], \quad x > 0.$$
$$\int_{|x| < 1} |x| \Pi_{X}(dx) = \int_{|y| \le \sqrt{(e^{x}-1)\delta/\lambda}} \log(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\delta}y^{2}) \Pi_{L}(dy) < \infty.$$

(2)  $(\sigma_t^2)_{t\geq 0}$  satisfies the SDE  $d\sigma_t^2 = \omega dt + \sigma_t^2 e^{X_{t-}} d(e^{-X_t}), \quad t\geq 0.$ 

and

$$\sigma_t^2 = \sigma_0^2 + \omega t + \log \delta \int_0^t \sigma_s^2 ds + \frac{\lambda}{\delta} \sum_{0 < s \le t} \sigma_s^2 (\Delta L_s)^2, \quad t \ge 0.$$
(3)

**Proof** Itô's Lemma.

Compare (3) to discrete-time GARCH(1,1):

$$\sigma_n^2 - \sigma_{n-1}^2 = \omega - (1-\delta)\sigma_{n-1}^2 + \lambda \sigma_{n-1}^2 \varepsilon_{n-1}^2$$
$$\sigma_n^2 = \sigma_0^2 + \omega n - (1-\delta)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sigma_i^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sigma_i^2 \varepsilon_i^2.$$

## (3) Stationarity: Suppose

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\delta}x^2\right) \Pi_L(dx) < -\log\delta \tag{4}$$

Then  $\sigma_t^2 \xrightarrow{d} \sigma^2 \stackrel{d}{=} \omega \int_0^\infty e^{-X_t} dt$ . Otherwise,  $\sigma_t^2 \xrightarrow{P} \infty$ .

**Proof** Erickson & Maller (2004)

#### **Example:**

*L* compound Poisson with rate *c* and jump distribution  $\varepsilon \implies \Pi_L = cP_{\varepsilon}$ 

(4) 
$$\iff c E \log \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\delta}\varepsilon^2\right) < -\log \delta$$
  
 $\iff -c \log \delta + E \log(\delta + \lambda \varepsilon^2) < -\log \delta$ 

If c = 1, then

(4) 
$$\iff E \log(\delta + \lambda \varepsilon^2) < 0$$

(4)  $(\sigma_t^2)_{t\geq 0}$  is a Markov process, hence  $(\sigma_t^2)_{t\geq 0}$  is strictly stationary for  $\sigma_0^2 \stackrel{d}{=} \sigma^2$ .

(5)  $(\sigma_t^2, G_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a bivariate Markov process.

(6) If  $(\sigma_t^2)_{t\geq 0}$  is stationary, then  $(G_t)_{t\geq 0}$  has stationary increments.

## Second order properties of $(\sigma_t^2)_{t\geq 0}$

$$X_t = -t \log \delta - \sum_{s \le t} \log(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\delta} (\Delta L_s)^2), \quad t \ge 0$$

is a Lévy process of finite variation

$$\sigma_t^2 = \left(\omega \int_0^t e^{X_s} ds + \sigma_0^2\right) e^{-X_{t-}}, \quad t \ge 0$$

Define  $Ee^{-cX_t} = e^{t\Psi_X(c)}$ , then

$$\Psi_X(c) = \log E e^{-cX_1} = c \log \delta + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( (1 + \frac{\lambda}{\delta} y^2)^c - 1 \right) \Pi_L(dy)$$

For c > 0:  $(\sigma_t)_{t \ge 0}$  stationary.

(1)  $Ee^{-cX_1} < \infty \iff EL_1^{2c} < \infty \text{ and } |\Psi_X(c)| < \infty$ 

(2)  $EL_1^2 < \infty$  and  $\Psi_X(1) < 0 \implies \sigma_t^2 \xrightarrow{d} \sigma^2$  (finite random variable)

(3)  $E(\sigma^2)^k < \infty \iff EL_1^{2k} < \infty$  and  $\Psi_X(k) < 0$ . In that case

$$E\sigma^{2k} = \frac{k!\omega^{k}}{\prod_{l=1}^{k}(-\Psi_{X}(l))}$$
  
$$\operatorname{cov}(\sigma_{t}^{2}, \sigma_{t+h}^{2}) = \omega^{2} \left(\frac{2}{\Psi_{X}(1)\Psi_{X}(2)} - \frac{1}{(\Psi_{X}(1))^{2}}\right) e^{-h|\Psi_{X}(1)|}$$

(4)  $0 < \delta < 1, \lambda > 0 \implies \sigma$  has always infinite moments.

## Second order properties of $(G_t)_{t\geq 0}$

 $\begin{array}{l} dG_t = \sigma_t dL_t \\ \Longrightarrow \quad G \text{ jumps at the same times as } L \text{ does: } \Delta G_t = \sigma_t \Delta L_t \\ \Longrightarrow \quad \forall t > 0 \text{ fix } : \quad E(\Delta G_t)^k = 0 \end{array}$ 

Define for r > 0 fix :

$$G_t^{(r)} = G_{t+r} - G_t = \int_{(t,t+r]} \sigma_s dL_s$$

Take  $(\sigma_t^2)_{t\geq 0}$  stationary  $\implies (G_t^{(r)})_{t\geq 0}$  stationary.

## Theorem (ACF of $(G_t^{(r)})$ ) $(L_t)_{t\geq 0}$ pure jump process $(\tau_L^2 = 0)$ , $EL_1^2 < \infty$ , $EL_1 = 0$ , $\Psi_X(1) < 0$ . Then

$$EG_{t}^{(r)} = 0$$
  

$$E(G_{t}^{(r)})^{2} = \frac{\omega r}{-\Psi_{X}(1)}EL_{1}^{2}$$
  

$$cov(G_{t}^{(r)}, G_{t}^{(r+h)}) = 0$$

If 
$$EL_1^4 < \infty$$
,  $\Psi(2) < 0$ , then  
 $\operatorname{cov}((G_t^{(r)})^2, (G_t^{(r+h)})^2)$   
 $= \left(e^{r|\Psi_X(1)|} - 1\right) \frac{EL_1^2}{|\Psi_X(1)|} \operatorname{cov}(\sigma_r^2, G_r^2) e^{-h|\psi_X(1)|}.$  (5)  
If  $EL_1^8 < \infty$ ,  $\Psi(4) < 0$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^3 \Pi_L(dx) = 0 \implies (5) > 0.$ 

21

**Theorem (Tail behaviour):**  
Suppose for 
$$D := \{d \in [0, \infty) : E|L_1|^{2d} < \infty\}$$
 we have  
 $\sup D \notin D$   
 $\implies \exists C > 0, \kappa \in D : \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{\kappa} P(\sigma^2 > x) = C$   
If furthermore:  $\exists \alpha > 4\kappa : E|L_1|^{\alpha} < \infty$  and  
 $(L_t)_{t \ge 0}$  of finite variation and not negative of a subordinator  
 $\implies \forall t > 0 \exists C_t > 0 : \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{2\kappa} P(G_t > x) = C_{1,t}$ 

## **Proof:**

$$\sigma_1^2 = e^{-X_{1-}} \sigma_0^2 + \omega \int_0^1 e^{X_s - X_{1-}} \, ds,$$

 $\sigma_0^2$  independent of  $\left(e^{-X_{1-}}, \omega \int_0^1 e^{X_s - X_{1-}} ds\right)$ . Hence for stationary solution  $\sigma^2$  a random fixed point equation

$$\sigma^2 \stackrel{d}{=} M\sigma^2 + Q,$$
$$M \stackrel{d}{=} e^{-X_1}, \quad Q \stackrel{d}{=} \omega \int_0^1 e^{-X_s} \, ds.$$

Then apply Theorem of Goldie (1991).

#### References

Drost, F. and Werker, B. (1996) Closing the GARCH gap: continuous time GARCH modelling. J. Econometrics **74**, 31–57.

Duan, J.-C. (1997) Augmented GARCH(p, q) process and its diffusion limit. J. Econometrics **79**, 97-127.

Erickson, B. and Maller, R. (2004) Generalised Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes and the convergence of Lévy integrals. *Séminaire des Probabilités*, to appear.

Klüppelberg, C., Lindner, A. and Maller, R. (2004a) A continuous time GARCH process driven by a Lévy process: stationarity and second order behaviour. *J. Appl. Probab.* **41**, 601–622.

Klüppelberg, C., Lindner, A. and Maller, R. (2004b) Continuous time volatility modelling. COGARCH versus Ornstein-Uhlenbeck models. *Invited paper* for the Bachelier Colloquium 2005

Nelson, D. (1990) ARCH models as diffusion approximations. J. Econometrics 45, 7–38.

Wang, Y. (2002) Asymptotic nonequivalence of GARCH models and diffusions. *Ann. Statist.* **30**, 754–783.



Figure 1: Simulated compound Poisson process  $(L_t)_{0 \le t \le 10\,000}$  with rate 1 and standard normally distributed jump sizes (*first*) with corresponding COGARCH process ( $G_t$ ) (*second*), volatility process ( $\sigma_t$ ) (*third*) and differenced COGARCH process ( $G_t^{(1)}$ ) of order 1, where  $G_t^{(1)} = G_{t+1} - G_t$  (*last*). The parameters were:  $\beta = 1$ ,  $\delta = 0.95$  and  $\lambda = 0.045$ . The starting value was chosen as  $\sigma_0 = 10$ .



Figure 2: Sample autocorrelation functions of  $\sigma_t$  (top left),  $\sigma_t^2$  (top right),  $G_t^{(1)}$  (bottom left) and  $(G_t^{(1)})^2$  (bottom right), for the process simulated in Figure 1. The dashed lines in the bottom graphs show the confidence bounds  $\pm 1.96/\sqrt{9999}$ .