< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

# Semiprojectivity and classification of (unital) graph $C^*$ -algebras

#### Søren Eilers eilers@math.ku.dk

Department of Mathematical Sciences University of Copenhagen

GPOTS, May 21, 2011

# Program









Preliminaries

Stable classification

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification

# Outline



2 Stable classification

3 Semiprojectivity

4 Exact classification

# Coworkers

Hawaii	-10	Efren Ruiz
Houston	-5	Mark Tomforde
	-5	James West
Faroe Islands	0	Gunnar Restorff
Copenhagen	+2	Sara Arklint
	+2	Rasmus Bentmann
	+2	Adam Sørensen
Trondheim	+2	Toke Carlsen
Tokyo	+9	Takeshi Katsura

< ロ > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 回 > < 0 < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > <

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □ ● ○○○

# Leitmotif

We are mainly going to work with non-simple  $C^*$ -algebras which are **separable**, **nuclear** and of **real rank zero**:

Definition

 $\mathfrak A$  has real rank zero when the invertible elements are dense in  $\mathfrak A_{sa}.$ 

Permanence problems

```
Let an extension of C^*-algebras
```

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{I} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{I} \longrightarrow 0$$

be given. Suppose  $\mathfrak{I}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{I}$  have a certain desirable property. Can we explain when the same is true for  $\mathfrak{A}$ ?

We shall often require the number of ideals of  $\mathfrak A$  to be finite.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

We extensively use the *six-term exact sequence*:

Theorem [Brown-Pedersen 91]

Suppose the real rank of  $\mathfrak{I}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{I}$  is zero. Then

 ${\mathfrak A}$  is of real rank zero  $\Longleftrightarrow \partial_0 = 0$ 

-		
Pre	ina	ries
1 10	 	1103

< ロ > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 回 > < 0 < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > <



Preliminaries

Stable classification

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三= - のへぐ



<b>n</b>			
Pre	Im	Inal	ries
		·····	

#### The general case



< ロ > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 回 > < 0 < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > <

Preliminaries

Stable classification

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification



# Recurrent subtheme

The projections p,q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent, written  $p \sim q$ , when there exists v with  $p = vv^*$ ,  $q = v^*v$ .

#### Definition

- **(1)** p is **finite** when  $p' \sim p$  with  $p' \leq p$  implies p' = p.
- ② *p* is **properly infinite** when there exist orthogonal projections  $p', p'' \le p$  with  $p \sim p' \sim p''$ .

All known simple  $C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero are of one of the types



Of course this (possible) dichotomy breaks down even for  $C^*$ -algebras with a unique non-trivial ideal. There are (at least) four cases

$\Im$ stably finite	$\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{I}$ stably finite
$\Im$ purely infinite	$\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{I}$ purely infinite
$\Im$ stably finite	$\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{I}$ purely infinite
$\Im$ purely infinite	$\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{I}$ stably finite

#### Postulate

Interesting and rather unexplored phenomena occur in the two latter **mixed** cases!

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

# Graph algebras

#### Graph algebras

Any countable graph  $E = (E^0, E^1)$  defines a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(E)$  given as a universal  $C^*$ -algebra by **projections**  $\{p_v : v \in E^0\}$  and **partial isometries**  $\{s_e : e \in E^1\}$  subject to the *Cuntz-Krieger relations*:

1 
$$p_v p_w = 0$$
 when  $v \neq w$   
2  $(s_e s_e^*)(s_f s_f^*) = 0$  when  $e \neq f$   
3  $s_e^* s_e = p_{r(e)}$  and  $s_e s_e^* \leq p_{s(e)}$   
4  $p_v = \sum_{s(e)=v} s_e s_e^*$  for every  $v$  with  $0 < |\{e \mid s(e) = v\}| < \infty$ .



# Graph algebra need-to-know

There is a huge body of knowledge about graph algebras. Of prime importance here is

Theorem

Graph algebras with finitely many ideals have real rank zero.

Theorem

Ideals are induced by hereditary and saturated sets of vertices V:

and in many cases, all ideals arise this way.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ・ 豆 · のへで

### Theorem

The dichotomy of simple graph  $C^*$ -algebras:

E has no loops	C*(E) is AF
All vertices in E can reach at least two loops	C*(E) is purely infinite

# The unital case

#### Observation

 $C^*(E)$  is unital  $\iff E_0$  is finite

In this case we get a finite presentation, e.g.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \infty & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for



# Key subclasses

#### Definition

A graph  $C^*$ -algebra given by a finite graph with no sinks or sources is called a **Cuntz-Krieger** algebra and denoted  $\mathcal{O}_A$  with A the adjacency matrix.

#### Lemma

The only unital and simple graph  $C^*$ -algebras which are AF are  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .



◆ロト ◆昼 ト ◆ 臣 ト ◆ 臣 ト ◆ 回 ト ◆ 回 ト

Preliminaries

Stable classification

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification

# Outline





3 Semiprojectivity



Preliminaries	Stable classification	Semiprojectivity	Exact classification

#### Task

Find conditions on the K-theory of A and B in some class of  $C^*$ -algebra to ensure that

$$A \otimes \mathbb{K} \simeq B \otimes \mathbb{K},$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □ ● ○○○

in other words: that A and B are Morita equivalent.

This is a natural place to start since  $K_*(A) = K_*(A \otimes \mathbb{K})$ .

< ロ > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 回 > < 0 < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > <



< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

# 

#### Theorem (Elliott 76, Kirchberg/Phillips 00)

 $C^*(E)$  is determined up to stable isomorphism by

 $[K_0(C^*(E)), K_0(C^*(E))_+, K_1(C^*(E))]$ 

in the class of simple graph  $C^*$ -algebras.

Preliminaries

Stable classification

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三= - のへぐ



# Cuntz-Krieger example

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Challenge

 $\mathcal{O}_{A_i}$  has a unique ideal  $\mathfrak{I}_i$  for which

$$egin{aligned} &\mathcal{K}_*(\mathfrak{I}_1) = [\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}/2]\oplus\mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{K}_*(\mathfrak{I}_2)\ &\mathcal{K}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_1}) = [\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}/2\oplus\mathbb{Z}/4]\oplus\mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{K}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_2})\ &\mathcal{K}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_1}/\mathfrak{I}_1) = [\mathbb{Z}/2\oplus\mathbb{Z}/4]\oplus 0 = \mathcal{K}_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}_2}/\mathfrak{I}_2) \end{aligned}$$

But  $\mathcal{O}_{A_1} \otimes \mathbb{K} \not\simeq \mathcal{O}_{A_2} \otimes \mathbb{K}$ .

The six-term exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
 & \mathcal{K}_{0}(\mathfrak{I}_{i}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{0}(\mathcal{O}_{A_{i}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{0}(\mathcal{O}_{A_{i}}/\mathfrak{I}_{i}) \\
 & \stackrel{\wedge}{\xrightarrow{\partial_{1}}} & & \downarrow^{\partial_{0}} \\
 & \mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathcal{O}_{A_{i}}/\mathfrak{I}_{i}) \longleftarrow \mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathcal{O}_{A_{i}}) \longleftarrow \mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathfrak{I}_{i})
\end{array}$$

here becomes



with

$$\chi_1(0,1) = (0,1,0)$$
  $\chi_2(0,1) = (0,0,2)$ 

# Complete classification result



Theorem (Elliott 76, Rørdam 97, E-Tomforde 10)

determines  $C^*(E)$  up to stable isomorphism among all graph  $C^*$ -algebras with a unique nontrivial ideal. Here, all  $K_0$ -groups are to be considered as ordered groups. However, the order of  $K_0(C^*(E))$  is redundant unless  $C^*(E)$  is AF. Consider the class of graphs  $E_p^n$  for p an odd prime and n > 0.



#### Corollary

The following are equivalent

2 
$$p_1 = p_2$$
 and  $[p_1 \mid n_1 \Longleftrightarrow p_2 \mid n_2]$ 

-						

#### The general case





#### Theorem (Restorff 04)

The collection of all sequences

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathcal{K}_{0}(\mathfrak{J}/\mathfrak{I}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{0}(\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{I}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{0}(\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{J}) \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{J}) \longleftarrow \mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{J}) \longleftarrow \mathcal{K}_{1}(\mathfrak{J}/\mathfrak{I})
\end{array}$$

with  $\mathfrak{I} \triangleleft \mathfrak{J} \triangleleft \mathfrak{K} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}_A$  determines  $\mathcal{O}_A$  up to stable isomorphism among all Cuntz-Krieger algebras with finitely many ideals.

Preliminaries	Stable classification	Semiprojectivity	Exact classification

#### Definition

We denote this the *filtered* K-theory of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and denote it  $FK(\mathfrak{A})$ . Equipping all  $K_0$ -groups with order we arrive at the *ordered*, *filtered* K-theory  $FK^+(\mathfrak{A})$ .

#### Conjecture (E-Restorff-Ruiz 09)

 $FK^+(C^*(E))$  determines up to stable isomorphism  $C^*(E)$  among all graph algebras with finitely many ideals. (At least when  $FK(C^*(E))$  is finitely generated).

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification

# Complications



- Image FK(A) does not determine A up to stable isomorphism among all purely infinite C\*-algebras with this ideal lattice [Meyer-Nest 08].
- *FK*(A) does determine A up to stable isomorphism among all purely infinite C\*-algebras of real rank zero and this ideal lattice [Arklint-Restorff-Ruiz 10]
- 3 FK(A) does not determine A up to stable isomorphism among all purely infinite C\*-algebras of real rank zero with a finite ideal lattice [Arklint-Bentmann 10]

Preliminaries	-						
	$\nu$						
		CI					



▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲目 ト ▲目 ト ○ ○ ○ ○



#### Theorem (Meyer-Nest 09)

 $FK(\mathfrak{A})$  determines  $\mathfrak{A}$  up to stable isomorphism among all purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras with linear ideal lattice.

#### Theorem (E-Restorff-Ruiz 10)

 $FK^+(C^*(E))$  determines  $C^*(E)$  up to stable isomorphism among graph  $C^*$ -algebras with linear ideal lattice when  $\Im$  satisfies either

- $\Im$  is AF and  $C^*(E)/\Im$  is purely infinite
- $\Im$  is purely infinite and  $C^*(E)/\Im$  is AF

#### Theorem (E-Restorff-Ruiz 11)

When  $FK(C^*(E))$  is finitely generated,  $FK^+(C^*(E))$  determines  $C^*(E)$  up to stable isomorphism among all graph  $C^*$ -algebras in even more cases of linear ideal lattices.

・ロト ・ 画 ト ・ 画 ト ・ 画 ・ うへぐ



Stable classification

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification

### Status quo



Semiprojectivity

Exact classification

# Outline



2 Stable classification







This notion due to Blackadar is a key concept in all  $C^*$ -algebra theory, including classification.

#### Task

Determine conditions on  $\mathfrak I$  and  $\mathfrak A/\mathfrak I$  such that

 $\mathfrak{I},\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{I}$  semiprojective  $\Longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  semiprojective

# The commutative case

Theorem (Sørensen-Thiel 10)  $C_0(X)$  is semiprojective  $\iff \dim(X) \le 1$  and X is an ANR

Note that for

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0(Y) \longrightarrow C(X) \longrightarrow C(X/Y) \longrightarrow 0$$

we get

 $C_0(Y), C(X/Y)$  semiprojective  $\Rightarrow C(X)$  semiprojective

Theorem (Sørensen-Thiel 10) When X/Y is a finite set, we have  $C_0(Y)$  semiprojective  $\iff C(X)$  semiprojective

# Long-standing open question



Suppose an extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{I} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

is given with  $\dim \mathfrak{F} < \infty.$  Will then

 $\mathfrak{I}$  semiprojective  $\iff \mathfrak{A}$  semiprojective?

#### Partial results

- 1)  $\Im$  semiprojective  $\iff \Im^{\sim}$  semiprojective [Blackadar 85]
- ②  $\mathfrak{A}$  semiprojective  $\Longrightarrow \mathfrak{I}$  semiprojective [Enders 10]

< ロ > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 回 > < 0 < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > <



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで

#### Theorem (Spielberg 09)

The following are equivalent for a simple graph  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(E)$ 

- $C^*(E)$  is semiprojective
- C\*(E) = M<sub>n</sub>(C) or C\*(E) is purely infinite with K<sub>\*</sub>(C\*(E)) finitely generated

Corollary (Szymanski 00)

Any simple and unital graph  $C^*$ -algebra is semiprojective.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三= - のへぐ





Theorem (E-Katsura)

The only unital graph  $C^*$ -algebras with precisely one ideal that are **not** semiprojective are

 $\mathbb{K}^{\sim}, M_2(\mathbb{K}^{\sim}), M_3(\mathbb{K}^{\sim}), \ldots$ 

#### Corollary

When  $C^*(F)$  is a unital graph algebra and  $\mathfrak{I}$  is simple with

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{I} \longrightarrow C^*(E) \longrightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

we have

 $\mathfrak{I}$  semiprojective  $\iff C^*(E)$  semiprojective

Stable classification

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification

#### The general case





#### Example (E-Katsura 11)

There exists a unital graph  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(E)$  with

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{I} \longrightarrow C^*(E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0.$$

such that  $\Im$  is semiprojective, but  $C^*(E)$  is not.

◆ロト ◆昼 ▶ ◆ 臣 ト ◆ 臣 - のへで

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

# Deciding proper infiniteness in $C^*(E)$

For a finite set of vertices V, consider

$$p_V = \sum_{v \in V} p_v$$

Theorem (E-Katsura 10)

There is an algorithm for deciding when  $p_V$  is properly infinite.

Given vertex set V Discard  $v \in V$ Replace V with which may be  $r(s^{-1}(V))$ reached by other  $w \in V$ Are all  $v \in V$ Discard  $v \in V$ Are all  $v \in V$ regular (•) bases of bases for and bases for Ν two cycles? two cycles no cycles? Y Ν not PI ΡI

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Definition With v a vertex, define

$$\Omega_{v} = \{ w \in E^{0} \mid v \stackrel{(\infty)}{\Longrightarrow} w \}$$

#### Theorem (E-Katsura 10)

Let  $C^*(E)$  be a unital graph algebra. The following are equivalent

- $C^*(E)$  is semiprojective
- $C^*(E)$  is weakly semiprojective
- For each vertex v of E,  $p_{\Omega_v}$  is properly infinite

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ・ 豆 · のへで

Preliminaries

Stable classification

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification



▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲目 ト ▲目 ト ○ ○ ○ ○

# Blackadar's conjecture

Blackadar 99 If  $\Im$  in

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{I} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{\checkmark} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0$$

is semiprojective, then so is  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

#### Theorem (E-Katsura 11)

A corner of the graph  $C^*$ -algebra just considered provides a counterexample. It is mixing of type

# < < < <

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification

# Outline



2 Stable classification

3 Semiprojectivity



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三= - のへぐ



# Scale

#### Definition When $\mathfrak{A}$ is not unital, the *scale* $\Sigma$ of $K_0(\mathfrak{A})$ is given by

 $\{[p]\mid p\in\mathfrak{A}\}$ 

When  ${\mathfrak A}$  is unital, the scale is just the singleton

 $\{[1]\}$ 

Theorem (Elliott 76, Kirchberg/Phillips 00)

The scaled ordered group

```
[K_0(C^*(E)), K_0(C^*(E))_{+,\Sigma}, K_1(C^*(E))]
```

determines  $C^*(E)$  up to isomorphism among all simple graph  $C^*$ -algebras.

Stable classification

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification

#### The general case



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト - ヨー

SQC

#### Example

We do not have exact classification for Cuntz-Krieger algebras of the form



Some other ideal lattices have exact classification by Arklint-Restorff-Ruiz 10. If we can prove it for Cuntz-Krieger algebras we can also prove it in the general purely infinite graph  $C^*$ -algebra case.

Preliminaries

Stable classification

Semiprojectivity

Exact classification



▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲目 ト ▲目 ト ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Preliminaries	Stable classification	Semiprojectivity	Exact classification



#### Theorem

 $FK^{+,\Sigma}(C^*(E))$  determines  $C^*(E)$  up to isomorphism among all unital purely infinite graph  $C^*$ -algebras with a linear ideal lattice.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで







Exact classification:

<ロト < 四ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三ト = 三</p>