

4. Derivable functors.

Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be abelian categories. Let $T: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be an additive functor.

(4.0) Setup. The additive functor $T: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ has obvious extensions to functors of complexes,

$$T^\bullet: \mathfrak{A}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^\bullet, \quad T^+: \mathfrak{A}^+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^+, \quad \text{etc.},$$

and to triangular functors on the homotopy categories,

$$\text{Hot}(T): \text{Hot}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hot}(\mathfrak{B}), \quad \text{Hot}^+(T): \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{B}), \quad \text{etc.},$$

(4.1) Definition. Recall that the homotopy categories $\text{Hot}(\mathfrak{A})$, $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$, \dots , are triangulated categories with a natural triangular system (Qis) consisting of all quasi-isomorphisms. In particular, the system is a saturated left and right denominator system. The corresponding class of acyclic objects consists of the acyclic (or exact) complexes in the homotopy category. The corresponding localized categories are the *derived categories*, for instance

$$\text{D}(\mathfrak{A}) := (\text{Qis})^{-1} \text{Hot}(\mathfrak{A}), \quad \text{D}^+(\mathfrak{A}) := (\text{Qis})^{-1} \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A}).$$

Derivability of functors between homotopy categories is always assumed to be with respect to these denominator systems in the source and target. For instance, a triangular functor $F: \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{B})$ is said to *derivable* at a complex $X \in \text{Hot}(\mathfrak{A})$ if the composition, $\text{Hot}(\mathfrak{A}) \xrightarrow{F} \text{Hot}(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \text{D}(\mathfrak{B})$ is right derivable at X with respect to the system of quasi-isomorphisms in the source. If $T: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is an additive functor and $\text{Hot}(T)$ is derivable at a complex X , then we will write $RT(X)$ for the value,

$$RTX := R\text{Hot}(T)(X) \in \text{D}(\mathfrak{B}).$$

(4.2) Lemma. *Let $T: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be an additive functor, and let X be a right complex of objects of \mathfrak{A} . Then the functor $\text{Hot}(T)$ is derivable at X , if and only if the functor $\text{Hot}^+(T)$ is derivable at X . Moreover, if the condition holds, then under the natural inclusion $\text{D}^+(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \text{D}(\mathfrak{B})$ we have the equality $R\text{Hot}(T)(X) = R\text{Hot}^+(T)(X)$; in addition, if X is in $\mathfrak{A}^{\geq n}$, that is, if $X^p = 0$ for $p < n$, then $H^p(RT(X)) = 0$ for $p < n$.*

Proof. To be precise, let F and F^+ denote the compositions,

$$F: \text{Hot}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hot}(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \text{D}(\mathfrak{B}), \quad F^+: \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \text{D}^+(\mathfrak{B}),$$

and let i be the fully faithful inclusion functor,

$$i: \text{D}^+(\mathfrak{B}) \hookrightarrow \text{D}(\mathfrak{B}).$$

Assume that $X \in \mathfrak{A}^{\geq n}$. Denote by S , S^+ , and $S^{\geq n}$ the systems of quasi-isomorphisms in, respectively, $\text{Hot}(\mathfrak{A})$, $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$, and $\text{Hot}^{\geq n}(\mathfrak{A})$. Note that the last homotopy category is not

a triangulated category. Using the cocycle truncations it is easy to see that the following two inclusions of index categories are final:

$$\Phi^+ : X/S^{\geq n} \hookrightarrow X/S^+, \quad \Phi : X/S^{\geq n} \hookrightarrow X/S.$$

Now, $\text{Hot}^+(T)$ is derivable at X if and only if the inductive system $F^+(X/S^+)$ is essentially constant in $\text{ind-}D^+(\mathfrak{B})$, with a similar assertion for $\text{Hot}(T)$ and $F(X_{S^+})$. The restrictions to the index category X/S^+ defines an isomorphism in the ind-category. Moreover, we have the equality,

$$F(X_S)\Phi = i F^+(X_{S^+})\Phi^+,$$

of inductive systems from the index category $X/S^{\geq n}$. Hence, if the restricted system $F(S^+)\Phi^+$, is essentially constant, then so is the left side of (=).

Conversely, assume that $F(X_S)\Phi$ is essentially constant. Then there is a complex U in \mathfrak{B}^\bullet and an isomorphism in $\text{ind-}D(\mathfrak{B})$,

$$F(X_S)\Phi \xrightarrow{\sim} U_1, \tag{4.2.1}$$

Apply the functor $H^p : D(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ and take the inductive limit; the result is an isomorphism in \mathfrak{B} ,

$$\varinjlim_{s \in X/S^{\leq n}} H^p(TX_s) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^p(U) = H^p(RF(X)). \tag{4.2.2}$$

For an index $s \in X/S^{\geq n}$ we have that X_s is in $\text{Hot}^{\geq n}\mathfrak{A}$, and hence $TX_s \in \text{Hot}^{\geq n}\mathfrak{B}$; hence $H^p(TX_s) = 0$ for $p < n$. So, by the isomorphism the p 'th cohomology of $U = RF(X)$ vanishes for $p < n$. It follows that the first part of the Lemma holds, and that U is isomorphic in $D(\mathfrak{B})$ to a complex $V \in \mathfrak{B}^{\geq n}$, or, more precisely, $U \simeq iV$. As $D^+(\mathfrak{B})$ is a full subcategory of $D(\mathfrak{B})$, it follows that the isomorphism (4.2.1) in $\text{ind-}D(\mathfrak{B})$ is the image under i of a unique isomorphism in $\text{ind-}D(\mathfrak{B})$,

$$F^+(X_S)\Phi^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} V_1.$$

Hence, $F^+ = (\)_{S^+} \text{Hot}^+(T)$ is derivable at X , and

$$iRF^+(X) = RF(X).$$

Thus the lemma has been proved. □

Corollary. *A right complex Q in $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$ is $\text{Hot}(T)$ -unfolded if and only if it is $\text{Hot}^+(T)$ -unfolded.*

Proof. Immediate. □

(4.3) Note. (Rewrite) (1) Assume that the category \mathfrak{A} has enough injectives. Then the class of injectives is unfolding for any additive functor T , and it follows that the class $\text{Hot}^+(\text{injectives}) \subseteq \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$ is a quasi-dense class consisting of quasi-injective objects of $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$. Consequently, any functor F defined on $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$ is derivable: to obtain the

value $RF(X)$ at a complex $X \in \mathfrak{A}^+$, chose a quasi-isomorphism $s: X \rightarrow Q$ into a right complex of injectives; then $RF(X) = F(Q)$. (2) Note that the derived functors RT in particular are defined on complexes concentrated in degree 0, that is, they are functors

$$RT: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow D^+(\mathfrak{B}).$$

To obtain the value $R^n T(A)$ for an object $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, chose a resolution,

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow Q^0 \rightarrow Q^1 \rightarrow \dots,$$

with $Q^i \in \mathfrak{Q}$ (this is possible by (i)). Then $R^n T(A) = H^n T(Q)$. Note that there is a coaugmentation $TA \rightarrow TQ$, and hence a canonical morphism,

$$TA \rightarrow R^0 T(A). \tag{4.1.4}$$

The sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow Q^0 \rightarrow Q^1$ is left exact. Hence (4.1.4) is an isomorphism if and only if T is left exact.

(4.4) Lemma. *Let $T: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be an additive functor. Let Q be a complex in \mathfrak{A} such the every Q^i is $\text{Hot}(T)$ -unfolded. If Q is a finite complex, then Q is $\text{Hot}(T)$ -unfolded. If Q is a right complex and $\text{Hot}(T)$ is derivable at Q , then Q is $\text{Hot}(T)$ -unfolded.*

Proof. We may assume that Q is a right complex. Recall that Q is unfolded if $\text{Hot}(T)$ is derivable at Q and the canonical morphism is an isomorphism $TQ \xrightarrow{\sim} RTQ$ in $D(\mathfrak{B})$. The last condition may be checked on cohomology: $H^p TQ \xrightarrow{\sim} H^p RTQ$ for all p .

The chain truncations give rise to the following exact triangles in the homotopy category, see Hot(1.9):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & Q^{\leq n} & \\
 \swarrow \text{dotted} & & \searrow \\
 Q^{<n}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & Q^n(-n)
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & Q & \\
 \swarrow \text{dotted} & & \searrow \\
 Q^{\leq n}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & Q^{>n}
 \end{array}
 \tag{4.4.1}$$

The class of $\text{Hot}^+(T)$ -unfolded objects in $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$ is a triangular subclass. By assumption it contains every complex of the form $Q^n(0)$, and hence every complex $Q^n(-n)$. Now, $Q^{\leq n} = 0$ for $n \ll 0$, because Q is a right complex. Hence, by induction on n , it follows from the first exact diagram that $Q^{\leq n}$ is $\text{Hot}(T)$ -unfolded for all n . If Q is a finite complex, then $Q = Q^{\leq n}$ for $n \gg 0$; hence Q is unfolded. Assume that Q is a right complex. Then the finite complex $Q^{\leq n}$ is unfolded for the functor $\text{Hot}(T)$. In particular, the functor is derivable at $Q^{\leq n}$. By assumption, the functor is derivable at Q . Therefore, by the second exact triangle, the functor is derivable at the third vertex $Q^{>n}$. Apply the functors $\text{Hot}(T)$ and RT and the transformation $\text{Hot}(T) \rightarrow R\text{Hot}(T)$ to obtain two exact triangles in $D^+(\mathfrak{B})$ and a morphism of triangles from the first to the second. Consider the corresponding long exact sequences of cohomology. For given p take some $n \geq p$. The cohomology objects $H^p TQ^{>n}$ and $H^p R\text{Hot}(T)(Q^{>n})$ vanish, the first trivially, the second by Lemma(4.2). cohomology. In degree p , the transformation induces an isomorphism $H^p TQ^{\leq n} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^p R\text{Hot}(T)(Q^{\leq n})$. Therefore it induces an isomorphism $H^p TQ \xrightarrow{\sim} H^p R\text{Hot}(T)(Q)$. Hence, $TQ \rightarrow R\text{Hot}(T)(Q)$ is an isomorphism in $D^+(\mathfrak{B})$. □

(4.5) Proposition. *Let $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be an additive functor. Let $\mathfrak{Q} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ be an additive subclass. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The triangular subclass $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{Q}) \subseteq \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$ is unfolding for the functor $\text{Hot}^+(T)$, see $\text{Lim}(??)$.*
- (ii) *The class \mathfrak{Q} is T -unfolding, see (1.1).*
- (iii) *The class \mathfrak{Q} is right dense in \mathfrak{A} , every object of \mathfrak{Q} is $\text{Hot}^+(T)$ -unfolded and $\text{Hot}^+(T)$ is derivable at every object in $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{Q})$.*

Proof. Note first that part of the conditions (i) or (ii) is that the class \mathfrak{Q} is right dense in \mathfrak{A} . If the latter condition holds for \mathfrak{Q} , then, by The Density Theorem, the class $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{Q})$ is quasi-dense in $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$. Conversely, if $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{Q})$ is quasi-dense in $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$, then, in particular, for every object A in \mathfrak{A} there is a complex Q with objects in \mathfrak{Q} and a quasi-isomorphism $A(0) \rightarrow Q$. Clearly, then the degree-0 part $A \rightarrow Q^0$ is necessarily a monomorphism. Hence \mathfrak{Q} is dense in \mathfrak{A} .

By the density Theorem, the class $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{Q})$ is dense in $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$, if and only if \mathfrak{Q} is dense in \mathfrak{A} . Using the characterization of unfolding classes $\text{Lim}(??)$, the equivalence of (i) and (ii) is a consequence. The conditions imply that T is uniformly derivable, and in particular $\text{Hot}^+(T)$ is derivable at every right right complex, and every complex in $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{Q})$ is unfolded.

Conversely, if T is derivable at every complex in $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$ and (iii) holds, then $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{Q})$ is dense in $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$ and every right complex $Q \in \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A})$ is $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{Q})$ -unfolded by lemma (4); hence condition (i) holds. \square

Assume that $T\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is (uniformly) derivable as defined in (1.1). It follows from the proposition that $\text{Hot}^+(T)\text{Hot}\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is uniformly derivable. Moreover, if $RT := R\text{Hot}(T)$ is the derived functor, as a functor $D^+(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow D^+(\mathfrak{B})$, then, by definition of the sequence of derived functors R^pT , we have the equation, for $X \in \mathfrak{A}^+$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$H^p(TX) = R^pT(X),$$

where the left side is the p 'th cohomology of $RT(X)$ and the right side is the value of R^pT at X .

(4.6) Definition. Assume that $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is uniformly derivable as defined in (1.1). Then it follows from the proposition that the functor $\text{Hot}^+(T) : \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{B})$ is uniformly derivable with respect to the systems of quasi-isomorphisms in the source and in the target. Moreover, it follows that the value $R^pT(X)$ of the p 'th derived functor at a right complex X is the p 'th cohomology of $RT(X)$:

$$R^pT(X) = H^p(RT(X)).$$

In particular, it follows that an object $Q \in \mathfrak{A}$ is T -acyclic if and only if the complex $Q(0)$ is $\text{Hot}(T)$ -unfolded.

(4.7) Proposition. *It $\text{Hot}(T) : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is derivable at every right complex in \mathfrak{A}^+ , then it is uniformly derivable if and only if the class of T -acyclic objects is dense in \mathfrak{A} .*

Proof. The class of all T -acyclic objects in \mathfrak{A} is T -unfolding if it is dense. \square

(4.8) Note. Assume that $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is uniformly derivable. Then R^0T as a functor $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is left exact and $T = R^0T$ on the dense class of T -acyclic objects. Using (4.5)(ii) it follows easily that every T -acyclic object is R^0T -acyclic, and hence

$$RT = R(R^0T).$$

As a consequence arguments related to derivability of functors may often be reduced to the case of left exact functors.

(4.9) Proposition. *Let $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be an additive functor. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ be a dense additive $^+$ -class. Assume that T is short exact on Ω . Then Ω is T -unfolding, and, consequently, T is uniformly derivable. Conversely, if T is left exact and uniformly derivable, then the class of all T -acyclic objects of \mathfrak{A} is a dense and additive $^+$ -class.*

Proof. The assumption on Ω in the first part is the following: If

$$0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow Q'' \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.9.1}$$

is an exact sequence in \mathfrak{A} with $Q', Q \in \Omega$, then $Q'' \in \Omega$ and the sequence $0 \rightarrow TQ \rightarrow TQ' \rightarrow TQ'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact

To verify Condition (4.5)(ii), split an exact right exact sequence of objects from Q into short exact sequences. By induction, each of these short exact sequences have the objects in Ω , and the image under T of each short exact sequence is short exact. Clearly, then the image under T of the complex is exact.

Assume conversely that T is left exact and uniformly derivable. Consider a short exact sequence (4.9.1) with Q', Q in Ω , and the corresponding long exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow TQ' \rightarrow TQ \rightarrow R^1TQ \rightarrow R^1TQ \rightarrow R^1TQ'' \rightarrow R^1TQ'' \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $R^pTQ' = R^pTQ = 0$ for $p > 0$, it follows that $TQ \rightarrow TQ''$ is an epimorphism and that $R^pTQ'' = 0$ for $p > 0$. Hence, the image under T of the sequence (4.9.1) is exact, and Q'' is T -acyclic. \square

(4.10) The Chain Rule. *Let $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ and $S : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ be additive functors between abelian categories. Assume that both functors are derivable at every right complex. In addition, assume that there is a additive class $\Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) *The class Ω is dense in \mathfrak{A} .*
- (ii) *If $Q \in \Omega$, then TQ is S -unfolded.*

Then the composition ST is uniformly derivable, and the canonical transformation is an isomorphism,

$$R(ST) = RSRT,$$

of functors $D^+(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow D^+(\mathfrak{C})$.

Moreover, if all objects of Ω are T -acyclic, then Ω is unfolding for T and for ST .

Proof. It is easy to see that the class $\text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{Q})$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in the general Chain Rule for derived functors, see $\text{Lim}(4.7)$; use Lemma (4.5) \square

Note. An exact functor $S: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ between abelian categories is obviously uniformly derivable: The class of all objects in \mathfrak{B} is unfolding for S . The functor $\text{Hot}^+(S): \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \text{Hot}^+(\mathfrak{C})$ is local with respect to the systems of quasi-isomorphisms in the source and in the target. The derived functor RS is the extension of $\text{Hot}^+(S)$ to a functor $RS: \text{D}^+(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow \text{D}^+(\mathfrak{C})$.

Consider the setup of the Chain Rule. If the functor $S: \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ is exact, the conclusion is the trivial isomorphism of functors

$$R(ST) = SRT: \text{D}^+(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \text{D}^+(\mathfrak{C}).$$

On the other hand, if the functor $T: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is exact, and S is, say, uniformly derivable, it does not follow in general that the canonical transformation $R(ST) \rightarrow (RS)T$ is an isomorphism.

(4.11) Proposition. *Assume that $T: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is left exact. Let n be a non-negative integer. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The functor T is uniformly derivable and for every object $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have that $R^p T(A) = 0$ for $p > n$.*
- (ii) *The functor T is derivable at any any right complex in \mathfrak{A}^+ , and the class of T -acyclic objects in \mathfrak{A} is dense in \mathfrak{A} and of right dimension at most n .*
- (iii) *There exists a subclass $\mathfrak{Q} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ which is dense and of right dimension at most n , and such that T is short exact on \mathfrak{Q} .*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): It is enough to prove that the class of all T -acyclic objects is of dimension at most n . So, consider an exact sequence,

$$Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q_n \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.11.1)$$

such that each of Q_1, \dots, Q_n is T -acyclic. Split the sequence into short exact sequences,

$$0 \rightarrow Z_i \rightarrow Q_i \rightarrow Z_{i+1} \rightarrow 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \text{ where } Z_{n+1} = Q.$$

In the corresponding long exact sequences of values of $R^p T$, we have $R^q T(Q_i) = 0$ for $q > 0$, because Q_i is T -acyclic. Consequently, we obtain isomorphisms, for $p > 0$,

$$R^q T(Q) = R^q T(Z_{n+1}) \approx R^{q+1} T(Z_n) \approx \cdots \approx R^{q+n} T(Z_1).$$

The right hand side vanishes when $q > 0$. Hence $R^q T(Q) = 0$ for $q > 0$. Therefore Q is T -acyclic.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Take as \mathfrak{Q} the class of T -acyclic objects of \mathfrak{A} .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): The class \mathfrak{Q} is necessarily a $^+$ class. Therefore, by Proposition (4.9), T is uniformly derivable, and \mathfrak{Q} is T -unfolding. Let A be an object of \mathfrak{A} . Then, since \mathfrak{Q} is right dense and of dimension $\leq n$, there is a resolution of A of length at most n with objects from \mathfrak{Q} . In turn, the resolution defines a quasi-isomorphism $A(0) \rightarrow Q$ into a positive complex Q of objects from \mathfrak{Q} of length at most n . Then $RTA = TQ$. In particular, $R^p T(A) = H^p TQ = 0$ for $p > n$. Hence (i) has been proved. \square

(4.12) Definition. A left exact functor $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is said to be of *dimension* $\leq n$, if it satisfies the equivalent conditions of (4.11) for the integer n .

(4.13) Proposition. Let $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be a left exact functor, and let \mathfrak{Q} be a subclass of \mathfrak{A} satisfying the condition (4.11)(iii) for some integer n . Then the triangular subclass $\text{Hot}(\mathfrak{Q})$ of $\text{Hot}(\mathfrak{A})$ is unfolding for $\text{Hot}(T)$.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{Q} is dense in \mathfrak{A} and of finite dimension, it follows from the Density Theorem Hot(3.10) that $\text{Hot}(\mathfrak{Q})$ is quasi-dense in $\text{Hot}(\mathfrak{A})$. Hence it suffices to prove for any exact complex Q of objects from \mathfrak{Q} that the complex TQ is exact in \mathfrak{B}^\bullet . Split Q into short exact sequences,

$$0 \rightarrow Z_i \rightarrow Q_i \rightarrow Z_{i+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

It suffices to note that each Z_i belongs to \mathfrak{Q} . Clearly, Z_i is the $(n + 1)$ 'st object in the exact sequence,

$$Q^{i-n} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Q^{i-2} \rightarrow Q^{i-1} \rightarrow Z_i \rightarrow 0.$$

The Q^j belong to \mathfrak{Q} and \mathfrak{Q} has dimension $\leq n$. Consequently, Z_i belongs to \mathfrak{Q} . \square

Corollary. If $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is of finite dimension, then the functor $\text{Hot}(T) : \text{Hot}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hot}(\mathfrak{B})$ is uniformly derivable, and any complex consisting of T -acyclic objects is unfolded for $\text{Hot}(T)$.

Proof. The assertion is an immediate consequence of the Proposition. \square

(4.14) The Chain Rule for finite dimensional functors. Assume for additive functors between abelian categories $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ and $S : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ that $\text{Hot}(T)$ is derivable at all complexes in \mathfrak{A}^\bullet , and that S is of finite dimension. In addition, assume that there is a subclass \mathfrak{Q} of \mathfrak{A} such that

- (1) \mathfrak{Q} is dense and of finite dimension,
- (2) For every object $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}$, the object TQ in \mathfrak{B} is S -acyclic. Then the composition $\text{Hot}(ST) = \text{Hot}(S)\text{Hot}(T)$ is uniformly derivable, and the natural transformation is an isomorphism of functors:

$$R(ST) = RSRT : D(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{C}).$$

Proof. The arguments are similar to those in the proof of Theorem (4.10). \square

(4.15). Let I be a small (index) category. Consider the abelian category \mathfrak{A}^I of all I -systems in \mathfrak{A} , that is, functors $\mathcal{X} : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$. For every object $i \in I$, *evaluation* at i is the functor $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{X}_i$. It is an exact functor (see $\text{Lim}(???)$),

$$(\)_i : \mathfrak{A}^I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}.$$

Note that a functor $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ has a natural extension to a functor $T : \mathfrak{A}^I \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^I$. It is defined by composition,

$$T\mathcal{X} : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}.$$

Proposition. *Let $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be a left exact functor. Assume that there is a subclass \mathfrak{Q} of \mathfrak{A} satisfying the following two conditions:*

- (1) *\mathfrak{Q} is dense in \mathfrak{A} , and \mathfrak{Q} is closed under \prod_I 's.*
- (2) *Every object Q in \mathfrak{Q} is T -acyclic.*

Then the left exact functor $T^I : \mathfrak{A}^I \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^I$ is uniformly derivable, and an I -system $\mathcal{Q} : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is T^I -acyclic if and only if its values \mathcal{Q}_i are T -acyclic for all $i \in I$. Moreover, for any $i \in I$ there is an isomorphism of functors,

$$(\)_i RT^I = RT(\)_i : D^+(\mathfrak{A}^I) \rightarrow D^+(\mathfrak{B}).$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ be the class of T -acyclic objects of \mathfrak{A} , and denote by \mathfrak{P}^I the subclass of \mathfrak{A}^I consisting of all functors $I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ with values in \mathfrak{P} . Let \mathcal{X} is an I -system. By Condition (1), there is for every i an object $\mathcal{Q}_i \in \mathfrak{Q}$ and a monomorphism $\mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_i$. As is well known, the family $\mathcal{Q} = \{\mathcal{Q}_i\}$ determines a co-induced I -system $\rho\mathcal{Q}$ given by

$$(\rho\mathcal{Q})_i = \prod_{i \rightarrow j} \mathcal{Q}_j,$$

and the family of monomorphisms $\mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_i$ defines a monomorphism of I -systems $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \rho\mathcal{Q}$. It follows from condition (1) that $(\rho\mathcal{Q})_i$ is in \mathfrak{Q} . Hence $\rho\mathcal{Q} \in \mathfrak{P}$. Consequently, \mathfrak{P} is dense in \mathfrak{A}^I . It follows from Condition (2) that \mathfrak{P} is unfolding for the functor T^I . Hence T^I is uniformly derivable. Now apply The Chain Rule (4.10) to the equal compositions $(\)_i T^I = T^i(\)_i$. The result is an isomorphism of functors,

$$(\)_i RT^I = RT(\)_i : D^+(\mathfrak{A}^I) \rightarrow D^+(\mathfrak{B}).$$

Let \mathcal{X} be an I -system, or a right complex of I -systems, in \mathfrak{A} . Then, using that the exact functor $(\)_i$ commutes with the cohomology functors H^p , we obtain an isomorphism in \mathfrak{B} ,

$$(\)_i R^p(T^I)(\mathcal{X}) = (R^p T)(\mathcal{X}_i).$$

Clearly, the last assertion of the Proposition is a consequence. □

(4.16) Corollary. *It the functor T is of dimension at most n , then so is T^I , and there is an isomorphism of functors,*

$$(\)_i R(T^I) = RT(\)_i : D(\mathfrak{A}^I) \rightarrow D(\mathfrak{B}).$$

(4.17) Note. Note that the conditions (1) and (2) in Proposition (4.15) hold when \mathfrak{A} has \prod_I 's and enough injectives.

(4.18) Theorem. *Assume that $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ has an exact resolvent complex $T \rightarrow \Pi$:*

$$\overline{\Pi} : 0 \rightarrow T @ > \epsilon >> \Pi^0 \rightarrow \Pi^1 \longrightarrow \Pi^2 \longrightarrow \dots . \quad (4.18.1)$$

Then T is uniformly derivable, and for every complex X in \mathfrak{A}^+ , there is a canonical isomorphism in $D^+(\mathfrak{B})$,

$$RT(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Tot } \Pi(X).$$

In particular, an object Q of \mathfrak{A} is T -acyclic if and only if the sequence (4.18.1) is exact.

Moreover, every complex in \mathfrak{A}^+ consisting of objects Q such that the sequence (4.18.1) is exact, is T -acyclic.

Proof. The essentials of the proof are presented in Section 1. Let \mathfrak{Q}^+ be the class of complexes in \mathfrak{A}^+ consisting of objects Q such that the sequence (4.18.1) is exact. The assumptions about $T \rightarrow \Pi$ imply that \mathfrak{Q} is right dense in \mathfrak{A} and, moreover, if Q is an exact right complex of objects of \mathfrak{Q} , then TQ is exact. Hence \mathfrak{Q} is a T -unfolding class. In particular, T is uniformly derivable.

Let X be a right complex in \mathfrak{A}^+ . Chose a quasi-isomorphism $X \rightarrow Q$ into a right complex of objects from \mathfrak{Q} . Use the Row Theorem and the Column Theorem as in the proof of theorem (1.7)It to deduce canonical isomorphisms,

$$RTX = TQ = \text{Tot } \Pi(Q) = \text{Tot } Pi(X),$$

the first by definition of RTX , the second because (4.18.1) is exact when evaluated at on object of \mathfrak{Q} , and the last because each functor Π^i is exact. \square

(4.19) Silly example. Let $\mathfrak{A} := \mathfrak{B}^\bullet$ be the category of complexes of objects of an abelian category \mathfrak{B} . Consider the two functors,

$$I, K : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B},$$

given by $I(X) = \text{Im}(X^{-1} \rightarrow X^0)$ and $K(X) := \text{Ker}(X^0 \rightarrow X^1)$. Let $\Pi(X)$ be the truncated complex $\Pi(X) = X^{\geq 0}$, and view Π as the complex of functors $\Pi^n : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$, given by $\Pi^n(X) = X^n$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. So each functor Π^n is exact. With the obvious co-augmentations given by the inclusions $I(X) \hookrightarrow K(X) \hookrightarrow X^0$, the complex Π is a resolvent complex for both functors I and K . Indeed, every object X of \mathfrak{A} embeds into an acyclic object Q (for instance X embeds into the mapping cone of the identity of X , and the mapping cone is even contractible), and it suffices to note that the sequence (4.18.1), for $T = K$ is exact if Q is acyclic in positive degrees, and for $T = I$ is exact if Q is acyclic in nonnegative degrees.

In particular, it follows that the functors I, K are uniformly derivable and that the values at an object A of \mathfrak{A} , that is, the values at a complex $A \in \mathfrak{B}^\bullet$, are the following:

$$RI(X) = RK(X) = X^{\geq 0}.$$

(4.20) Remark. An exact resolvent complex for the identity functor 1 of \mathfrak{A} ,

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow \Pi^0 \rightarrow \Pi^1 \rightarrow \cdots \quad (4.20.1)$$

is also called an (exact) *resolution* of the identity, since, for instance by the theorem, the sequence (4.20.1) is necessarily exact. Consider the following condition: Every object A of \mathfrak{A} embeds into an object Q such that the sequence,

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow \Pi^0 Q \rightarrow \Pi^1 Q \rightarrow \cdots,$$

is contractible (in the notions of relative abelian categories, then the sequence (4.20.1) is a *relative* resolvent complex for the identity). Clearly, under this condition, if $T: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ is any additive functor such that all the functors $T\Pi^n$ are exact, then $T \rightarrow T\Pi$ is an exact resolvent complex for T (in fact, a relatively exact resolvent complex).

(4.21) Example. Let B be an object of \mathfrak{A} with a projective resolution $P \rightarrow B$,

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Consider the functor $T = \text{Hom}(B, -)$. Then there is a T -augmented complex of functors $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow (\text{Ab})$,

$$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(B, -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_0, -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_1, -) \rightarrow \cdots ,$$

and each functor $\text{Hom}(P_i, -)$ is exact. The complex is a resolvent complex for $\text{Hom}(B, -)$, if and only if $\text{Hom}(B, -)$ is derivable (for instance, if \mathfrak{A} has enough injectives).

Note that in any case, the cohomology $H^n \text{Tot Hom}(P, X)$, for any complex $X \in \mathfrak{A}^+$ (in fact, for any complex $X \in \mathfrak{A}^\bullet$ if Tot of a bicomplex of abelian groups is determined by products), is the ext-group,

$$H^n \text{Tot Hom}(P, X) = \text{Ext}^n(B, X).$$

Indeed, we have the equalities,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^n(B, X) &= \text{Hom}_D(B, X(n)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_D(P, X(n)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\text{Hot}}(P, X(n)) = H^n \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}^\bullet(P, X) = H^n \text{Tot Hom}_{\mathfrak{A}}(P, X). \end{aligned}$$

The first is the definition of the ext-group as the hom-group in the derived category $D = D(\mathfrak{A})$, the second holds because $P \rightarrow B$ is a quasi-isomorphism, the third holds because P is a left complex of projectives, the fourth holds by definition of the homotopy category $\text{Hot} = \text{Hot}(\mathfrak{A})$, and the last holds by the definition of Hom^\bullet as Tot of a bicomplex.

(4.22) Theorem. Assume that $T: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ has an exact resolvent complex. Then T is of dimension $\leq n$ if and only if T has an exact resolvent complex $T \rightarrow \Pi$ of length at most n , that is, with $\Pi^p = 0$ for $p > n$. Moreover, if $T \rightarrow \Pi$ is a finite exact resolvent complex, then for any complex $X \in D(\mathfrak{A})$, we have the equation in $D(\mathfrak{B})$:

$$RT(X) = \text{Tot } \Pi(X).$$

Proof. Immediate. □