

Spectral results for mixed problems and fractional order elliptic operators

Gerd Grubb
Copenhagen University

Oberwolfach
January 4–10, 2015

1. Krein formula for the mixed problem

Let Ω be smooth open bounded $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$, with boundary $\partial\Omega = \Sigma$. Denote $\partial_n^j u|_\Sigma = \gamma_j u$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Denote by $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the L_2 -Sobolev space of order $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $H^s(\Omega) = r_\Omega H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\dot{H}^s(\bar{\Omega}) = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \text{supp } u \subset \bar{\Omega}\}$.

Consider a symmetric strongly elliptic second-order differential operator on Ω with real C^∞ -coefficients,

$$Au = -\sum_{j,k=1}^n \partial_j(a_{jk}(x)\partial_k u) + a_0(x)u.$$

The associated sesquilinear form $a(u, v) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n (a_{jk}\partial_k u, \partial_j v) + (a_0 u, v)$ is coercive on $H^1(\Omega)$, and we add a constant to a_0 to make it positive. Set $\nu u = \sum n_j \gamma_0(a_{jk}\partial_k u)$ ($= \gamma_1 u$ when $A = -\Delta$), the conormal derivative. Realizations of A :

The maximal realization A_{\max} , $D(A_{\max}) = \{u \in L_2(\Omega) \mid Au \in L_2(\Omega)\}$.

The Dirichlet realization A_γ with $D(A_\gamma) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega) \mid \gamma_0 u = 0\}$.

The Neumann realization A_ν with $D(A_\nu) = \{u \in H^2(\Omega) \mid \nu u = 0\}$.

A mixed realization $A_{\nu,U}$. Here U is a smooth open subset of Σ , and $D(A_{\nu,U}) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) \cap D(A_{\max}) \mid \nu u = 0 \text{ on } U, \gamma_0 u = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \setminus U\}$.

The latter three are defined variationally from the form $a(u, v)$ considered on $\dot{H}^1(\bar{\Omega})$, $H^1(\Omega)$, resp. $H_U^1(\Omega) = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) \mid \text{supp } \gamma_0 u \subset \bar{U}\}$. They are selfadjoint positive, and whereas $D(A_\gamma)$ and $D(A_\nu) \subset H^2(\Omega)$, it is known that $D(A_{\nu,U}) \subset H^{\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ only.

Let $Z = \ker A_{\max}$, and let K_γ denote the Poisson operator $K_\gamma: \varphi \mapsto u$ solving the semihomogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$Au = 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \quad \gamma_0 u = \varphi \text{ on } \Sigma,$$

it maps e.g. $K_\gamma: H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$, closed subset of $L_2(\Omega)$.

Let $P = \nu K_\gamma$, the **Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator**; it is known to be a pseudodifferential operator on Σ of order 1.

Proposition 1. *Let $x' \in \Sigma$ and choose coordinates such that the interior normal is $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Write the principal symbol of A at x' as $a_{nn}(x')\xi_n^2 + 2b(x', \xi')\xi_n + c(x', \xi')$, and let*

$$m(x', \xi') = a_{nn}(x')c(x', \xi') - b(x', \xi')^2,$$

it is positive for $\xi' \neq 0$ by the ellipticity of A .

Then P has principal symbol $p^0(x', \xi') = -m(x', \xi')^{\frac{1}{2}}$ at x' .

Hence if M is a selfadjoint differential operator on Σ with principal symbol $m(x', \xi')$, $P = -M^{\frac{1}{2}} + \text{order } 0$.

Define for Σ the restriction operator $r^+ : \varphi \mapsto \varphi|_U$, and the extension operator $e^+ : \psi \mapsto \{\psi \text{ on } U, 0 \text{ on } \Sigma \setminus U\}$.

When Q is an operator over Σ we denote $r^+ Q e^+ = Q_+$ (truncation).

Let $X = \dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\bar{U})$ (the subspace of distributions in $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ supported in \bar{U}). Its dual space is $X^* = H^{\frac{1}{2}}(U) = r^+ H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$.

Define $V = K_\gamma(X) \subset Z$ and denote the restriction $K_\gamma|_X$ by

$$K_{\gamma,X} : X \xrightarrow{\sim} V, \text{ with adjoint } K_{\gamma,X}^* : V \xrightarrow{\sim} X^*.$$

In J. Math. An. Appl. '11 we showed:

Theorem 2. *For the mixed problem there is an operator L mapping $D(L) \subset X$ onto X^* such that the Krein resolvent formula holds:*

$$A_{\nu,U}^{-1} - A_{\gamma}^{-1} = i_V K_{\gamma,X} L^{-1} K_{\gamma,X}^* p_{r_V} \equiv T. \quad (1)$$

Here L acts like $-P_+$ and has

$$D(L) = \{\varphi \in X \mid P_+ \varphi \in X^*\} \subset \dot{H}^{1-\varepsilon}(\bar{U}).$$

We want to find the spectral behavior of the Krein term T .

Question: What is L^{-1} ? (It does NOT act like $-(P^{-1})_+$). L^{-1} was studied in '11 using tools from Eskin '81, Birman-Solomiak '77, Laptev '81. This led to a spectral asymptotic formula for T when $A = -\Delta_+ +$ lower order terms near Σ , so that $P = -(-\Delta_\Sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \text{l.o.t.}$ on Σ .

2. Boundary problems for fractional order operators

Now a better tool is available: Boundary value theories for fractional powers of elliptic operators. This will allow general A and P .

A basic example of a pseudodifferential operator (ps.d.o.) of noninteger order is the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^a$, $0 < a < 1$:

$$(-\Delta)^a u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^{2a} \hat{u}(\xi)), \quad \hat{u}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n'}} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx.$$

Currently of interest both in probability, finance, mathematical physics and geometry. More general example: M^a , where M is a 2' order strongly elliptic differential operator with smooth coefficients on $\mathbb{R}^{n'}$. M^a is a ps.d.o. of order $2a$ by Seeley '66.

Let U be bounded smooth open $\subset \mathbb{R}^{n'}$. Dirichlet problem for M^a on U ?

Let $m_a(u, v) = (M^a u, v)$ for $u, v \in C_0^\infty(U)$. It satisfies

$$\operatorname{Re} m_a(u, u) \geq c \|u\|_a^2 - k \|u\|_0^2, \quad c > 0, k \in \mathbb{R},$$

and its closure with domain $\dot{H}^a(\bar{U})$ defines a convenient operator M_{Dir}^a in $L_2(U)$ by variational theory. It acts like M_+^a , with $D(M_{\text{Dir}}^a) \subset \dot{H}^a(\bar{U})$. It represents the problem

$$M_+^a u = f, \quad u \text{ sought in } \dot{H}^a(\bar{U}). \quad (2)$$

What is $D(M_{\text{Dir}}^a)$? What are the regularity properties of solutions of (2)? Here the results are quite recent.

Ros-Oton and Serra (J.Math.Pur.Appl.'14) showed by potential theory and integral operator methods, when $M = -\Delta$ and U is $C^{1,1}$, that

$$f \in L_\infty(U) \implies u \in d^a C^\alpha(\bar{U}) \cap C^a(\bar{U}), \quad (3)$$

for some $\alpha > 0$. Here $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial U)$. They stated that they did not know of other regularity results for $(-\Delta)^a$ in the literature.

Ps.d.o. methods? The Boutet de Monvel calculus, initiated in '71, requires integer order plus a so-called 0-transmission property at ∂U . M^a is not covered.

But we have recently developed another calculus. It is based on a more general μ -transmission property, introduced by Hörmander in his 1985 book (in fact in an unpublished lecture note from IAS Princeton 1965). Here M^a has the a -transmission property, since the symbol has even parity and is of order $2a$.

It allows to improve the information in (3) to $u \in d^a C^a(\bar{U})$ and to get higher regularity: $f \in C^t(\bar{\Omega}) \implies u \in d^a C^{a+t}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $t > 0$ (except for t or $a + t$ integer, slightly weaker result).

(G Adv.Math.'15, Anal&PDE'14.)

The results rely on constructing an approximate inverse of M_{Dir}^a (a parametrix).

Consider a localized situation where U and $\mathbb{C}\bar{U}$ are replaced by, resp. $\mathbb{R}_{\pm}^{n'}$ = $\{x \mid x_{n'} \geq 0\}$. There exist **order-reducing operators**:

Theorem 3. *There exist two families of ps.d.o.s $\Lambda_{\pm}^{(t)}$ of order $t \in \mathbb{R}$, preserving support in $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_{\pm}^{n'}$, respectively, such that for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\Lambda_{+}^{(t)} : \dot{H}^s(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \dot{H}^{s-t}(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n'}), \quad (\Lambda_{-}^{(t)})_{+} : H^s(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{s-t}(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n'}).$$

Then M_{+}^a can be linked to an operator in the BdM calculus:

Theorem 4. *On $\dot{H}^a(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n'})$, the operator M_{+}^a can be written in the form*

$$M_{+}^a = (\Lambda_{-}^{(a)})_{+} r^{+} Q \Lambda_{+}^{(a)}, \quad (4)$$

where Q is a ps.d.o. of order 0 in the Boutet de Monvel calculus, such that the problem

$$Q_{+} v = g, \quad \text{supp } v \subset \bar{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n'}, \quad (5)$$

is well-posed. Here the solution to (2) is found as $\Lambda_{+}^{(-a)} e^{+} v$, when $g = (\Lambda_{-}^{(-a)})_{+} f$.

Theorem 5. Let $\tilde{Q}_+ + G_0$ be a parametrix for (5) (G_0 being a sing. Green op. of class and order 0 in the Boutet de Monvel calculus). Then the operator M_{Dir}^a has the parametrix

$$R = (\Lambda_+^{(-a)})_+ (\tilde{Q}_+ + G_0) (\Lambda_-^{(-a)})_+. \quad (6)$$

Similar results can be obtained in the situation of the manifold $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$ and its subset U (of dimension $n' = n - 1$).

Formula (6) can be used to get a spectral asymptotic estimate for R .

Theorem 6. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = P_{1,+} \dots P_{l_0,+} (P_{0,+} + G) P_{l_0+1,+} \dots P_{l,+},$$

where P_0 is of order 0, G is a singular Green on U of order and class 0, and the P_j are of order $-t_j < 0$. Let $t = t_1 + \dots + t_l$. Then the singular values $s_k(\mathcal{P})$ satisfy:

$$s_k(\mathcal{P}) k^{t/(n-1)} \rightarrow C(\mathcal{P}) \text{ for } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $C(\mathcal{P})$ is defined from the principal symbols on U .

Corollary 7. For R in (6),

$$s_k(R) k^{2a/(n-1)} \rightarrow C(R).$$

3. Application to the mixed problem

For the mixed problem, we were aiming to find the spectral asymptotics of the Krein term

$$T = i_V K_{\gamma, X} L^{-1} K_{\gamma, X}^* \text{pr}_V.$$

Recall that we are here in a selfadjoint case. We know that L acts like $-P_+$, where P is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator. It was shown in Proposition 1 that P is of the form

$$P = -M^{\frac{1}{2}} - S,$$

where M is a selfadjoint 2' order differential operator on Σ and S is a ps.d.o. of order 0.

Then the operator L appearing in the Krein term acts like

$$L = -P_+ = M_+^{\frac{1}{2}} + S_+, \text{ with } D(L) \subset \dot{H}^{1-\varepsilon}(\bar{U}).$$

Here $M_+^{\frac{1}{2}}$ acts like $M_{\text{Dir}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Now we can use that we have found a parametrix R of $M_{\text{Dir}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Since L is invertible, we can deduce that

$$L^{-1} = R + S_1, \quad (7)$$

where S_1 is of order -2 .

For the eigenvalues of the Krein term we show by commutation:

$$\mu_k(T) = \mu_k(i_V K_{\gamma,X} L^{-1} K_{\gamma,X}^* \text{pr}_V) = \mu_k(L^{-1} K_{\gamma,X}^* K_{\gamma,X}) = \mu_k(L^{-1} P_{1,+})$$

where $P_1 = K_{\gamma}^* K_{\gamma}$ is a ps.d.o. of order -1 .

With $P_2 = P_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we deduce moreover, using also (7):

$$\mu_k(T) = \mu_k(P_{2,+} L^{-1} P_{2,+} + S_2) = \mu_k(P_{2,+} R P_{2,+} + S_3)$$

where S_2 and S_3 by various perturbation arguments will not enter in the principal asymptotics.

Now Theorem 6 can be applied to $P_{2,+} R P_{2,+}$. This leads to

Theorem 8. *The eigenvalues of T satisfy*

$$\mu_k(T) k^{2/(n-1)} \rightarrow C(T) \text{ for } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $C(T)$ is an integral over U of a function defined from the principal symbols:

$$C(T) = \frac{1}{(n-1)(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int_U \int_{|\xi'|=1} \left(\frac{a_{nn}(x')}{2m(x', \xi')} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} d\omega(\xi') dx'.$$

(G JMAA'15)