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#### Abstract

One purpose of the paper is to show Weyl type spectral asymptotic formulas for pseudodifferential operators $P_{a}$ of order $2 a$, with type and factorization index $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$when restricted to a compact set with smooth boundary. The $P_{a}$ include fractional powers of the Laplace operator and of variable-coefficient strongly elliptic differential operators. Also the regularity of eigenfunctions is described. The other purpose is to improve the knowledge of realizations $A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}$in $L_{2}(\Omega)$ of mixed problems for second-order strongly elliptic symmetric differential operators $A$ on a bounded smooth set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Here the boundary $\partial \Omega=\Sigma$ is partitioned smoothly into $\Sigma=\Sigma_{-} \cup \Sigma_{+}$, the Dirichlet condition $\gamma_{0} u=0$ is imposed on $\Sigma_{-}$, and a Neumann or Robin condition $\chi u=0$ is imposed on $\Sigma_{+}$. It is shown that the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator $P_{\gamma, \chi}$ is principally of type $\frac{1}{2}$ with factorization index $\frac{1}{2}$, relative to $\Sigma_{+}$. The above theory allows a detailed description of $D\left(A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}\right)$ with singular elements outside of $\bar{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$, and leads to a spectral asymptotic formula for the Krein resolvent difference $A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}^{-1}-A_{\gamma}^{-1}$.
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## 0. Introduction

This paper has two parts. After a section with preliminaries, we establish in the first part (Section 2) spectral asymptotic formulas of Weyl type for general Dirichlet realizations of pseudodifferential operators ( $\psi$ do's) of type $a>0$, as defined in Grubb [16,18], and discuss the regularity of eigenfunctions.

In the second part (Section 3) we consider mixed boundary value problems for second-order symmetric strongly elliptic differential operators, characterize the domain, and find the spectral asymptotics of the Krein term (the difference of the resolvent from the Dirichlet resolvent) in general variable-coefficient situations, extending the result of [13] for the principally Laplacian case. This includes showing that the relevant Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator fits into the calculus of the first part.

In Section 2: A typical example of the $\psi$ do's $P_{a}$ of type $a>0$ and order $2 a$ that we treat is the $a$-th power of the Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{a}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, which is currently of great interest in probability and finance,

[^0]mathematical physics and geometry. Also powers of variable coefficient-operators and much more general $\psi$ do's are included. For the Dirichlet realization $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$ on a bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, spectral studies have mainly been aimed at the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{a}$. In the case of $(-\Delta)^{a}$, a Weyl asymptotic formula was shown already by Blumenthal and Getoor in [3]; recently a refined asymptotic formula was shown by Frank and Geisinger [7], and Geisinger gave an extension to certain other constant-coefficient operators [8]. The exact domain $D\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right)$ has not been well described for $a \geq \frac{1}{2}$, except in integer cases where the operator belongs to the calculus of Boutet de Monvel [5]. Based on a recently published systematic theory [16] of $\psi$ do's of type $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ (where those in the Boutet de Monvel calculus are of type 0 ), it is now possible to describe domains and parametrices of operators $D\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right)$ in an exact way, when $\Omega$ is smooth. We analyze the sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$ (singular values $s_{j}$ when the operator is not selfadjoint), showing that a Weyl asymptotic formula holds in general:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{j}\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right) \sim C\left(P_{a}, \Omega\right) j^{2 a / n} \quad \text { for } j \rightarrow \infty ; \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

moreover we show that the possible eigenfunctions are in $d^{a} C^{2 a}(\bar{\Omega})$ (in $d^{a} C^{2 a-\varepsilon}(\bar{\Omega})$ if $2 a \in \mathbb{N}$ ), where $d(x) \sim \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$. The results are generalized to operators $P$ of order $m=a+b$ with type and factorization index $a\left(a, b \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.

In Section 3: The detailed knowledge of $\psi$ do's of type $a$ has an application to the classical "mixed" boundary value problems for a second-order strongly elliptic symmetric differential operator $A$ on a smooth bounded set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Here the boundary condition jumps from a Dirichlet to a Neumann (or Robin) condition at the interface of a smooth partition $\Sigma=\Sigma_{-} \cup \Sigma_{+}$of the boundary $\Sigma=\partial \Omega$; it is also called the Zaremba problem when $A$ is the Laplacian. The $L_{2}$-realization $A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}$it defines is less regular than standard realizations such as the Dirichlet realization $A_{\gamma}$, but the domain has just been somewhat abstractly described; it is contained in $\bar{H}^{\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ only (observed by Shamir [23]), whereas $D\left(A_{\gamma}\right) \subset \bar{H}^{2}(\Omega)$. The resolvent difference $M=A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}^{-1}-A_{\gamma}^{-1}$ was shown by Birman [1] to have eigenvalues satisfying $\mu_{j}(M)=$ $O\left(j^{-2 /(n-1)}\right)$. The present author studied $A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}$from the point of view of extension theory for elliptic operators in [13] (to which we refer for more references to the literature); here we obtained the asymptotic estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}(M) \sim c(M) j^{-2 /(n-1)} \quad \text { for } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case where $A$ is principally Laplacian. This was drawing on the theories of Vishik and Eskin [6] and Birman and Solomyak [2], and other traditional pseudodifferential methods.

We now show that the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator $P_{\gamma, \chi}$ of order 1 on $\Sigma$ associated with $A$ is principally of type $\frac{1}{2}$ with factorization index $\frac{1}{2}$ relative to $\Sigma_{+}$. In the formulas connected with the mixed problem, $P_{\gamma, \chi}$ enters as truncated to $\Sigma_{+}$. Therefore we can now use the detailed information on type $\frac{1}{2} \psi$ do's to describe the domain of $A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}$more precisely, showing how functions $\notin \bar{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$ occur. Moreover, using Section 2 we can extend the spectral asymptotic formula (0.2) to the general case where $A$ has variable coefficients.

## 1. Preliminaries

The notations of $[16,18]$ will be used; we shall just give a brief summary here.
We consider a Riemannian $n$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$ manifold $\Omega_{1}$ (it can be $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) and an embedded smooth $n$-dimensional manifold $\bar{\Omega}$ with boundary $\partial \Omega$ and interior $\Omega$. For $\Omega_{1}=\mathbb{R}^{n}, \Omega$ can be $\mathbb{R}_{ \pm}^{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid\right.$ $\left.x_{n} \gtrless 0\right\}$; here $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)=x^{\prime}$. In the general manifold case, $\bar{\Omega}$ is taken compact. For $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we denote $\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\langle\xi\rangle$. Restriction from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ resp. $\mathbb{R}_{-}^{n}$ (or from $\Omega_{1}$ to $\Omega$ resp. $\complement \bar{\Omega}$ ) is denoted by $r^{+}$resp. $r^{-}$, extension by zero from $\mathbb{R}_{ \pm}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (or from $\Omega$ resp. $\bar{\Omega} \bar{\Omega}$ to $\Omega_{1}$ ) is denoted by $e^{ \pm}$. In Section 3, the notation is used for a smooth subset $\Sigma_{+}$of an $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold $\Sigma$.

A pseudodifferential operator ( $\psi$ do) $P$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is defined from a symbol $p(x, \xi)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P u=p(x, D) u=\operatorname{OP}(p(x, \xi)) u=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int e^{i x \cdot \xi} p(x, \xi) \hat{u} d \xi=\mathcal{F}_{\xi \rightarrow x}^{-1}(p(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi)) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform $(\mathcal{F} u)(\xi)=\hat{u}(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} u(x) d x$. The symbol $p$ is assumed to be such that $\partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} p(x, \xi)$ is $O\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{r-|\alpha|}\right)$ for all $\alpha, \beta$, for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$ (defining the symbol class $S_{1,0}^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ ); then it has order $r$. The definition of $P$ is carried over to manifolds by use of local coordinates; there are many textbooks (e.g. [12]) describing this and other rules for operations with $P$, e.g. composition rules. When $P$ is a $\psi$ do on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ or $\Omega_{1}, P_{+}=r^{+} P e^{+}$denotes its truncation to $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ resp. $\Omega$.

Let $1<p<\infty$ (with $1 / p^{\prime}=1-1 / p$ ), then we define for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the Bessel-potential spaces

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \mid \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\langle\xi\rangle^{s} \hat{u}\right) \in L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\} \\
& \dot{H}_{p}^{s}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n}\right)=\left\{u \in H_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \mid \operatorname{supp} u \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n}\right\} \\
& \bar{H}_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \mid u=r^{+} U \text { for some } U \in H_{p}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

here supp $u$ denotes the support of $u$. For $\bar{\Omega}$ compact $\subset \Omega_{1}$, the definition extends to define $\dot{H}_{p}^{s}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $\bar{H}_{p}^{s}(\Omega)$ by use of a finite system of local coordinates. When $p=2$, we get the standard $L_{2}$-Sobolev spaces, here the lower index 2 is usually omitted. (These and other spaces are thoroughly described in Triebel's book [24]. He writes $\widetilde{H}$ instead of $\dot{H}$; the present notation stems from Hörmander's works.) We also need the Hölder spaces $C^{t}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \backslash \mathbb{N}$; when $t \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, C^{t}$ stands for functions with continuous derivatives up to order $t . \dot{C}^{t}(\bar{\Omega})$ denotes the $C^{t}$-functions on $\Omega_{1}$ supported in $\bar{\Omega}$. Occasionally, we shall also formulate results in the Hölder-Zygmund spaces $C_{*}^{t}$ for $t \geq 0$, that allow some statements to be valid for all $t$; they equal $C^{t}$ when $t \notin \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and contain $C^{t}$ in the integer cases (more details in [18]). The conventions $\bigcup_{\varepsilon>0} H_{p}^{s+\varepsilon}=H_{p}^{s+0}$, $\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} H_{p}^{s-\varepsilon}=H_{p}^{s-0}$, defined in a similar way for the other scales of spaces, will sometimes be used.

A $\psi$ do $P$ is called classical (or polyhomogeneous) when the symbol $p$ has an asymptotic expansion $p(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} p_{j}(x, \xi)$ with $p_{j}$ homogeneous in $\xi$ of degree $m-j$ for all $j$. Then $P$ has order $m$. One can even allow $m$ to be complex; then $p \in S_{1,0}^{\mathrm{Re} m}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; the operator and symbol are still said to be of order $m$.

Here there is an additional definition: $P$ satisfies the $\mu$-transmission condition (in short: is of type $\mu$ ) for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ when, in local coordinates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} p_{j}(x,-N)=e^{\pi i(m-2 \mu-j-|\alpha|)} \partial_{x}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} p_{j}(x, N), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \partial \Omega$, all $j, \alpha, \beta$, where $N$ denotes the interior normal to $\partial \Omega$ at $x$. The implications of the $\mu$-transmission property were a main subject of $[16,18]$; the mapping properties for such operators in $C^{\infty}$-based spaces were shown in Hörmander [19, Sect. 18.2].

A special role in the theory is played by the order-reducing operators. There is a simple definition of operators $\Xi_{ \pm}^{\mu}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\Xi_{ \pm}^{\mu}=\mathrm{OP}\left(\left(\left\langle\xi^{\prime}\right\rangle \pm i \xi_{n}\right)^{\mu}\right)
$$

they preserve support in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{ \pm}^{n}$, respectively. Here the functions $\left(\left\langle\xi^{\prime}\right\rangle \pm i \xi_{n}\right)^{\mu}$ do not satisfy all the estimates required for the class $S^{\operatorname{Re} \mu}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, but the operators are useful for some purposes. There is a more refined choice $\Lambda_{ \pm}^{\mu}$ that does satisfy all the estimates, and there is a definition $\Lambda_{ \pm}^{(\mu)}$ in the manifold situation. These operators define homeomorphisms for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{+}^{(\mu)}: \dot{H}_{p}^{s}(\bar{\Omega}) & \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \dot{H}_{p}^{s-\operatorname{Re} \mu}(\bar{\Omega}), \\
\Lambda_{-,+}^{(\mu)}: \bar{H}_{p}^{s}(\Omega) & \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \bar{H}_{p}^{s-\operatorname{Re} \mu}(\Omega) \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

here $\Lambda_{-,+}^{(\mu)}$ is short for $r^{+} \Lambda_{-}^{(\mu)} e^{+}$, suitably extended to large negative $s$ (cf. Remark 1.1 and Theorem 1.3 in [16]).

The following special spaces introduced by Hörmander are particularly adapted to $\mu$-transmission operators $P$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{p}^{\mu(s)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n}\right) & =\Xi_{+}^{-\mu} e^{+} \bar{H}_{p}^{s-\operatorname{Re} \mu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right), \quad s>\operatorname{Re} \mu-1 / p^{\prime}, \\
H_{p}^{\mu(s)}(\bar{\Omega}) & =\Lambda_{+}^{(-\mu)} e^{+} \bar{H}_{p}^{s-\operatorname{Re} \mu}(\Omega), \quad s>\operatorname{Re} \mu-1 / p^{\prime}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{\mu}(\bar{\Omega}) & =e^{+}\left\{u(x)=d(x)^{\mu} v(x) \mid v \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})\right\} ; \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

namely, $r^{+} P$ (of order $m$ ) maps them into $\bar{H}_{p}^{s-\operatorname{Re} m}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right), \bar{H}_{p}^{s-\operatorname{Re} m}(\Omega)$ resp. $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ (cf. [16, Sections 1.3, $2,4]$ ), and they appear as domains of elliptic realizations of $P$. In the third line, $\operatorname{Re} \mu>-1$ (for other $\mu$, cf. [16]) and $d(x)$ is a $C^{\infty}$-function positive on $\Omega$ and vanishing to order 1 at $\partial \Omega$, e.g. $d(x)=\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$ near $\partial \Omega$. One has that $H_{p}^{\mu(s)}(\bar{\Omega}) \supset \dot{H}_{p}^{s}(\bar{\Omega})$, and that the distributions are locally in $H_{p}^{s}$ on $\Omega$, but at the boundary they in general have a singular behavior. More details are given in [16,18].

## 2. Spectral results for Dirichlet realizations of type $a$ operators

### 2.1. Dirichlet realizations of type a operators

Consider a Riemannian $n$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$-manifold $\Omega_{1}(n \geq 2)$ and an embedded compact $n$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$-manifold $\bar{\Omega}$ with boundary $\partial \Omega$ and interior $\Omega$. We consider an elliptic pseudodifferential operator on $\Omega_{1}$ with the following properties explained in detail in [16]:

Assumption 2.1. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+} . P_{a}$ is a classical elliptic $\psi \mathrm{do}$ on $\Omega_{1}$ of order $2 a$, which relative to $\Omega$ satisfies the $a$-transmission condition and has the factorization index $a$.

For example, $P_{a}$ can be the $a$-th power of a strongly elliptic second-order differential operator on $\Omega_{1}$, in particular $(-\Delta)^{a}$, or it can be the $a / m$-th power of a properly elliptic differential operator of even order $2 m$, but also other operators are allowed. (We call such operators "fractional elliptic", because they share important properties with the fractional Laplacian.)

As in [16], we define the Dirichlet realization $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$ in $L_{2}(\Omega)$ as the operator acting like $r^{+} P_{a}$ with domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right)=\left\{u \in \dot{H}^{a}(\bar{\Omega}) \mid r^{+} P_{a} u \in L_{2}(\Omega)\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then according to [16, Sections 4-5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(P_{a, \mathrm{Dir}}\right)=H^{a(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega})=\Lambda_{+}^{(-a)} e^{+} \bar{H}^{a}(\Omega) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall from [16]:
Lemma 2.2. For $1<p<\infty, s>a-1 / p^{\prime}$, the spaces $H_{p}^{a(s)}(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfy

$$
H_{p}^{a(s)}(\bar{\Omega})=\Lambda_{+}^{(-a)} e^{+} \bar{H}_{p}^{s-a}(\Omega) \begin{cases}=\dot{H}_{p}^{s}(\bar{\Omega}), & \text { if } s-a \in]-1 / p^{\prime}, 1 / p[,  \tag{2.3}\\ \subset \dot{H}_{p}^{s-0}(\bar{\Omega}), & \text { if } s=a+1 / p, \\ \subset d^{a} e^{+} \bar{H}_{p}^{s-a}(\Omega)+\dot{H}_{p}^{s}(\bar{\Omega}), & \text { if } s-a-1 / p \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \backslash \mathbb{N}, \\ \subset d^{a} e^{+} \bar{H}_{p}^{s-a}(\Omega)+\dot{H}_{p}^{s-0}(\bar{\Omega}), & \text { if } s-a-1 / p \in \mathbb{N} .\end{cases}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{p}^{a(s)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \dot{H}_{p}^{a}(\bar{\Omega}), \quad \text { when } s-a \geq 0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The equalities in (2.3) come from the definition of $H_{p}^{a(s)}(\bar{\Omega})$, and the inclusions are special cases of [16, Th. 5.4]. For the last statement, we note that when $s-a \geq 0, e^{+} \bar{H}_{p}^{s-a}(\Omega) \subset e^{+} L_{p}(\Omega)$, which is mapped into $\dot{H}_{p}^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$ by $\Lambda_{+}^{(-a)}$.

In the case where $P_{a}$ is strongly elliptic, i.e., the principal symbol $p_{a, 0}(x, \xi)$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Re} p_{a, 0}(x, \xi) \geq c|\xi|^{2 a}
$$

with $c>0$, we can describe $D\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right)$ in a different way:
Modifying $\Omega_{1}$ at a distance from $\bar{\Omega}$ if necessary, we can assume $\Omega_{1}$ to be compact without boundary. Then it is well-known that $P_{a}$ satisfies a Gårding inequality for $u \in C^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(P_{a} u, u\right)_{L_{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} \geq c_{0}\|u\|_{H^{a}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}^{2}-k\|u\|_{L_{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}^{2}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{0}>0, k \in \mathbb{R}$ (cf. e.g. [12, Ch. 7]), besides the inequality

$$
\left|\left(P_{a} u, v\right)_{L_{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}\right| \leq C\|u\|_{H^{a}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}\|v\|_{H^{a}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)} .
$$

(In the case of $(-\Delta)^{a}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, there is a slightly different formulation: For general $P_{a}$ one would here require $x$-estimates of the symbol to be uniform on the noncompact set $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; see e.g. [15] for the appropriate version of the Gårding inequality. One can also include this case by replacing $\mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash \Omega$ by a suitable compact manifold.)

Define the sesquilinear form $s_{0}$ on $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ by

$$
s_{0}(u, v)=\left(r^{+} P_{a} u, v\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=\left(P_{a} u, v\right)_{L_{2}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}, \quad \text { for } u, v \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) ;
$$

it extends by closure to a bounded sesquilinear form $s(u, v)$ on $\dot{H}^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$, to which the inequality (2.5) extends. The Lax-Milgram construction applied to $s(u, v)$ (cf. e.g. [12, Ch. 12]) leads to an operator $S$ which acts like $r^{+} P_{a}: \dot{H}^{a}(\bar{\Omega}) \rightarrow \bar{H}^{-a}(\Omega)$, with domain consisting of the functions that are mapped into $L_{2}(\Omega)$; this is exactly $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$ as in (2.1), (2.2). Here both $S$ and $S^{*}$ are lower bounded, with lower bound $>-k$ (they are in fact sectorial), hence have $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq-k\}$ in their resolvent sets.

When $P_{a}$ moreover is symmetric, $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$ is the Friedrichs extension of $\left.\left(r^{+} P_{a}\right)\right|_{C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)}$.
In the case of $P_{a}=(-\Delta)^{a}$, some authors for precision call this $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$ the "restricted fractional Laplacian", see e.g. Bonforte, Sire and Vazquez [4], in order to distinguish it from the "spectral fractional Laplacian" defined as the $a$-th power of the Dirichlet realization of $-\Delta$.

### 2.2. Regularity of eigenfunctions

The possible eigenfunctions have a certain smoothness:
Theorem 2.3. Let $P_{a}$ satisfy Assumption 2.1.
If 0 is an eigenvalue of $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$, its associated eigenfunctions are in $\mathcal{E}_{a}(\bar{\Omega})$.
When $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \backslash \mathbb{N}$, then the eigenfunctions $u$ of $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$ associated with nonzero eigenvalues $\lambda$ lie in $d^{a} C^{2 a}(\bar{\Omega})$ if $2 a \notin \mathbb{N}$, in $d^{a} C^{2 a-\varepsilon}(\bar{\Omega})$ (for any $\varepsilon>0$ ) if $2 a \in \mathbb{N}$; they are also in $C^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

When $a \in \mathbb{N}$, the eigenfunctions $u$ of $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$ associated with an eigenvalue $\lambda$ lie in $\left\{u \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) \mid \gamma_{0} u=\right.$ $\left.\gamma_{1} u=\cdots=\gamma_{a-1} u=0\right\}$ (equal to $\mathcal{E}_{a}(\bar{\Omega})$ in this case).

Proof. (In some of the formulas here, the extension by zero $e^{+}$is tacitly understood.) When $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue, the associated eigenfunctions $u$ are nontrivial solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{+} P_{a} u=\lambda u . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda=0$, then $u \in \mathcal{E}_{a}(\bar{\Omega})$, since the right-hand side in (2.6) is in $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$, and we can apply [16, Th. 4.4].
Now let $\lambda \neq 0$. When $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we are in a well-known standard elliptic case (as treated e.g. in [11, Sect. 1.7]); the eigenfunctions are in $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ as well as in $\mathcal{E}_{a}(\bar{\Omega})$, and $\mathcal{E}_{a}(\bar{\Omega})$ is the described subset of $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Next, consider the case $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \backslash \mathbb{N}$.
To begin with, we know that $u \in \dot{H}^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$ (from (2.1)). We shall use the well-known general embedding properties for $\left.p, p_{1} \in\right] 1, \infty[$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{H}_{p}^{a}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} L_{p_{1}}(\Omega), \quad \text { when } \frac{n}{p_{1}} \geq \frac{n}{p}-a, \quad \dot{H}_{p}^{a}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \dot{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \text { when } a>\frac{n}{p} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a>\frac{n}{2}$, we have already that $\dot{H}^{a}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \dot{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$, so (2.6) has right-hand side in $C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$, and we can go on with solution results in Hölder spaces; this will be done further below.

If $a \leq \frac{n}{2}$, we make a finite number of iterative steps to reach the information $u \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$, as follows: Define $p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots$, with $p_{0}=2$ and $q_{j}=\frac{n}{p_{j}}$ for all the relevant $j$, such that

$$
q_{j}=q_{j-1}-a \quad \text { for } j \geq 1
$$

This means that $q_{j}=q_{0}-j a$; we stop the sequence at $j_{0}$ the first time we reach a $q_{j_{0}} \leq 0$. As a first step, we note that $u \in \dot{H}^{a}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} L_{p_{1}}(\Omega)$ implies $u \in H_{p_{1}}^{a(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega})$ by [16, Th. 4.4], and then by (2.4), $u \in \dot{H}_{p_{1}}^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$. In the next step we use the embedding $\dot{H}_{p_{1}}^{a}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} L_{p_{2}}(\Omega)$ to conclude in a similar way that $u \in \dot{H}_{p_{2}}^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$, and so on. If $q_{j_{0}}<0$, we have that $\frac{n}{p_{j_{0}}}<a$, so $u \in \dot{H}_{p_{j_{0}}}^{a}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \dot{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$. If $q_{j_{0}}=0$, the corresponding $p_{j_{0}}$ would be $+\infty$, and we see at least that $u \in e^{+} L_{p}(\Omega)$ for any large $p$; then one step more gives that $u \in \dot{C}^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$.

The rest of the argumentation relies on Hölder estimates, as in [16, Sect. 7], or still more efficiently by [18, Sect. 3]. By the regularity results there,

$$
u \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad u \in C_{*}^{a(2 a)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} d^{a} C^{a}(\bar{\Omega})+\dot{C}^{2 a-0}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} C^{a}(\bar{\Omega}) .
$$

Next, $u \in C^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$ implies

$$
u \in C_{*}^{a(3 a)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} d^{a} C_{*}^{2 a}(\bar{\Omega})+\dot{C}^{3 a(-\varepsilon)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} d^{a} C^{2 a(-\varepsilon)}(\bar{\Omega})
$$

where $(-\varepsilon)$ is active if $2 a \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, by the ellipticity of $P_{a}-\lambda$ on $\Omega_{1}, u$ is $C^{\infty}$ on the interior $\Omega$.
The fact that an eigenfunction in $\dot{H}^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$ is in $L_{\infty}(\Omega)$ was shown for $P_{a}=(-\Delta)^{a}$ with $0<a<1$ by Servadei and Valdinoci [22] by a completely different method.

Remark 2.4. For $P_{a}=(-\Delta)^{a}$ it has been shown by Ros-Oton and Serra (see [21]) that an eigenfunction $u$ cannot have $u / d^{a}$ vanishing identically on $\partial \Omega$. This implies that the regularity of $u$ cannot be improved all the way up to $\mathcal{E}_{a}(\bar{\Omega})$, when $\lambda \neq 0, a \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \backslash \mathbb{N}$. For if $u$ were in $\mathcal{E}_{a}(\bar{\Omega})$, it would also lie in $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ (since $r^{+} P_{a} u=\lambda u$ would lie there). Now it is easily checked that $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap \mathcal{E}_{a}(\bar{\Omega})=\dot{C}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ when $a \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \backslash \mathbb{N}$, where the functions vanish to order $\infty$ at the boundary. In particular, $u / d^{a}$ would be zero on $\partial \Omega$, contradicting $u \neq 0$.

The theorem extends without difficulty to operators of order $m=a+b$ considered in $H_{p}^{s}$-spaces:

Theorem 2.5. Let $P$ be of type $a>0$ with factorization index $a$, and of order $m=a+b, b>0$. Let $1<p<\infty$, and define $P_{\text {Dir }}$ as the operator from $H_{p}^{a(m)}(\bar{\Omega})$ to $L_{p}(\Omega)$ acting like $r^{+} P$. If 0 is an eigenvalue, the associated eigenfunctions are in $\mathcal{E}_{a}(\bar{\Omega})$. If $\lambda \neq 0$ is an eigenvalue, the associated eigenfunctions are in $d^{a} C^{m}(\bar{\Omega})\left(\right.$ in $d^{a} C^{m-\varepsilon}(\bar{\Omega})$ if $m$ is integer).

Proof. The zero eigenfunctions are solutions with a $C^{\infty}$ right-hand side, hence lie in $\mathcal{E}_{a}(\bar{\Omega})$ by [16, Th. 4.4].
Now let $u$ be an eigenfunction associated with an eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$. In view of $(2.4)$, we have $u \in \dot{H}_{p}^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$. Using (2.7), we find by application of the regularity result of [16, Th. 4.4], by a finite number of iterative steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, that $u \in \dot{H}_{p_{1}}^{a}, \dot{H}_{p_{2}}^{a}, \ldots$ with increasing $p_{j}$ 's, until we reach $u \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Now we can apply the Hölder results from [16,18]; this goes most efficiently by [18, Th. $3.22^{\circ}$ and Th. 3.3] for Hölder-Zygmund spaces:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{+} P u \in \bar{C}_{*}^{t}(\Omega) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad u \in C_{*}^{a(m+t)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset d^{a} e^{+} \bar{C}_{*}^{m+t-a}(\Omega)+\dot{C}_{*}^{m+t(-\varepsilon)}(\bar{\Omega}) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$t \geq 0$, where $(-\varepsilon)$ is active if $m+t-a \in \mathbb{N}$.
If $b>a$, there are two steps:

$$
u \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad u \in C_{*}^{a(a+b)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} d^{a} \bar{C}_{*}^{b}(\Omega)+\dot{C}_{*}^{a+b(-\varepsilon)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} \bar{C}_{*}^{a}(\Omega)
$$

Next, $u \in \bar{C}_{*}^{a}(\Omega)$ implies

$$
u \in C_{*}^{a(m+a)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} d^{a} \bar{C}_{*}^{m}(\Omega)+\dot{C}_{*}^{m+a(-\varepsilon)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} d^{a} C^{m(-\varepsilon)}(\bar{\Omega})
$$

where $(-\varepsilon)$ is active if $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
If $b \leq a$, we need a finite number of steps, such as

$$
u \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega}) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad u \in C_{*}^{a(a+b)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} d^{a} \bar{C}_{*}^{b}(\Omega)+\dot{C}_{*}^{a+b(-\varepsilon)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} \bar{C}_{*}^{b}(\Omega)
$$

where we use that $a+b-\varepsilon>b$ for small $\varepsilon$. Next, $u \in \bar{C}_{*}^{b}(\Omega)$ implies

$$
u \in C_{*}^{a(m+b)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} d^{a} \bar{C}_{*}^{2 b}(\Omega)+\dot{C}_{*}^{a+2 b(-\varepsilon)}(\bar{\Omega}) \subset e^{+} \bar{C}_{*}^{\min \{2 b, a\}}(\Omega)
$$

where we use that $a+2 b-\varepsilon>\min \{2 b, a\}$ for small $\varepsilon$. If $2 b \geq a$, we end the proof as above. If not, we estimate again, now arriving at the exponent $\min \{3 b, a\}$, etc., continuing until we reach $k b \geq a$; then the proof is completed as above.

### 2.3. Spectral asymptotics

We shall now study spectral asymptotic estimates for our operators. We first recall some notation and basic rules.

As in [10] we denote by $\mathfrak{C}_{p}\left(H, H_{1}\right)$ the $p$-th Schatten class consisting of the compact operators $B$ from a Hilbert space $H$ to another $H_{1}$ such that $\left(s_{j}(B)\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_{p}(\mathbb{N})$. Here the $s$-numbers, or singular values, are defined as $s_{j}(B)=\mu_{j}\left(B^{*} B\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $\mu_{j}\left(B^{*} B\right)$ denotes the $j$-th positive eigenvalue of $B^{*} B$, arranged nonincreasingly and repeated according to multiplicities. The so-called weak Schatten class consists of the compact operators $B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{j}(B) \leq C j^{-1 / p} \quad \text { for all } j ; \quad \text { we set } \mathbf{N}_{p}(B)=\sup _{j \in \mathbb{N}} s_{j}(B) j^{1 / p} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notation $\mathfrak{S}_{(p)}\left(H, H_{1}\right)$ was used in [10] for this space; instead we here use the name $\mathfrak{S}_{p, \infty}\left(H, H_{1}\right)$ (as in [17] and in other works). The indication $\left(H, H_{1}\right)$ is replaced by $(H)$ if $H=H_{1}$; it can be omitted when it is clear from the context. One has that $\mathfrak{S}_{p, \infty} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{p+\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. They are linear spaces.

We recall (cf. e.g. [10] for details and references) that $\mathbf{N}_{p}(B)$ is a quasinorm on $\mathfrak{S}_{p, \infty}$, with a good control over the behavior under summation. Recall also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{S}_{p, \infty} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{q, \infty} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{r, \infty}, \quad \text { where } r^{-1}=p^{-1}+q^{-1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{j}\left(B^{*}\right)=s_{j}(B), \quad s_{j}(E B F) \leq\|E\| s_{j}(B)\|F\|, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $E: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{3}$ and $F: H_{2} \rightarrow H$ are bounded linear maps between Hilbert spaces.
Moreover, we recall that when $\Xi$ is a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and reasonably regular, or is a compact smooth $m$-dimensional manifold with boundary, then the injection $H^{t}(\Xi) \hookrightarrow L_{2}(\Xi)$ is in $\mathfrak{S}_{m / t, \infty}$ when $t>0$. It follows that when $B$ is a linear operator in $L_{2}(\Xi)$ that is bounded from $L_{2}(\Xi)$ to $H^{t}(\Xi)$, then $B \in \mathfrak{S}_{m / t, \infty}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{N}_{m / t}(B) \leq C\|B\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(L_{2}(\Xi), H^{t}(\Xi)\right)} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall also the Weyl-Ky Fan perturbation result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{j}(B) j^{1 / p} \rightarrow C_{0}, \quad s_{j}\left(B^{\prime}\right) j^{1 / p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad s_{j}\left(B+B^{\prime}\right) j^{1 / p} \rightarrow C_{0}, \quad \text { for } j \rightarrow \infty . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall moreover use Laptev's result [20]: When $P$ is a classical $\psi$ do of order $t<0$ on a closed $m$-dimensional manifold $\Xi_{1}$ with a smooth subset $\Xi, m \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1_{\Xi_{1} \backslash \Xi P 1_{\Xi} \in \mathfrak{S}_{(m-1) / t, \infty} ; ~} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in fact it has a Weyl-type asymptotic formula of that order.
Results on the spectral behavior of compositions of $\psi$ do's of negative order interspersed with functions with jumps were shown in [14], see in particular Theorem 4.3 there. We need to supply this result with a statement allowing a zero-order factor of the form of a sum of a pseudodifferential and a singular Green operator (in the Boutet de Monvel calculus); as functions with jumps we here just take $1_{\Omega}$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $M_{\Omega}$ be an operator on $\bar{\Omega}$ composed of $l \geq 1$ factors $P_{j,+}$ formed of classical pseudodifferential operators $P_{j}$ on $\Omega_{1}$ of negative orders $-t_{j}$ and truncated to $\bar{\Omega}, j=1, \ldots, l$, and one factor $Q_{+}+G$ (placed somewhere between them), where $Q$ is classical of order 0 and $G$ is a singular Green operator on $\bar{\Omega}$ of order and class 0 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\Omega}=P_{1,+} \ldots P_{l_{0},+}\left(Q_{+}+G\right) P_{l_{0}+1,+} \ldots P_{l,+} . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t=t_{1}+\cdots+t_{l}$, and let $m(x, \xi)$ be the product of the principal $\psi$ do symbols on $\Omega_{1}$ :

$$
m(x, \xi)=p_{1,0}(x, \xi) \ldots q_{0}(x, \xi) \ldots p_{l, 0}(x, \xi)
$$

Then $M_{\Omega}$ has the spectral behavior:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{j}\left(M_{\Omega}\right) j^{t / n} \rightarrow c\left(M_{\Omega}\right)^{t / n} \quad \text { for } j \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(M_{\Omega}\right)=\frac{1}{n(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{|\xi|=1}\left(m(x, \xi)^{*} m(x, \xi)\right)^{n / 2 t} d \omega(\xi) d x . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.3 of [14] with interspersed functions of the form $1_{\Omega}$, the statement holds if $Q=1$ and $G=0$, so the new thing is to include nontrivial cases of $Q$ and $G$. We can assume that $l_{0} \geq 1$. For the contribution from $Q$ we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{l_{0},+} Q_{+}=r^{+} P_{l_{0}} e^{+} r^{+} Q e^{+}=r^{+} P_{l_{0}} Q e^{+}-r^{+} P_{l_{0}} e^{-} r^{-} Q e^{+} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $P_{l_{0}} Q$ is a $\psi$ do of order $-t_{l_{0}}<0$ with principal symbol $p_{l_{0}, 0} q_{0}$, and when $r^{+} P_{l_{0}} Q e^{+}$is taken into the original expression, we get an operator of the type treated by Theorem 4.3 of [14],

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1,+} \ldots\left(P_{l_{0}} Q\right)_{+} P_{l_{0}+1,+} \ldots P_{l,+}, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which the statement (2.16), (2.17) holds. For the other term in (2.18), we use that $r^{+} P_{l_{0}} e^{-}$is the type of operator covered by the theorem of Laptev [20] (cf. (2.14)), belonging to $\mathfrak{S}_{(n-1) / t_{l_{0}}, \infty}$, and $r^{-} Q e^{+}$is bounded in $L_{2}$, so in view of the rules (2.10) and (2.11) for compositions, the full expression with this term inserted is in $\mathfrak{S}_{n /(t+\theta), \infty}$ for a certain $\theta>0$. The spectral asymptotic estimate obtained for the term (2.19) is preserved when we add this term of a better weak Schatten class, in view of (2.13).

The contribution from $G$ will likewise be shown to be in a better weak Schatten class that the main $\psi$ do term; this requires a deeper effort. Actually, the strategy can be copied from some proofs in [17], as follows: Consider first the composition of $G$ with just one operator:

$$
M=P_{+} G
$$

where $P$ is of order $-t<0$. In local coordinates, we can extend Theorem 4.1 in [17] to this operator, writing

$$
\psi P_{+} G \psi_{1}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \psi P_{+} K_{k} \Phi_{k}^{*} \psi_{1}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \psi P_{+} \zeta K_{k} \Phi_{k}^{*} \psi_{1}+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \psi P_{+} K_{k}(1-\zeta) \Phi_{k}^{*} \psi_{1}
$$

with Poisson and trace operators $K_{k}$ and $\Phi_{k}^{*}$ as explained in [17], and letting $P_{+} K_{k}$ play the role of $K_{k}$ in the proof there. Here $\left(\psi P_{+} K_{k} \zeta\right)^{*}$ is bounded from $L_{2}\left(B_{R,+}\right)$ to $\bar{H}^{t}\left(B_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ for a large $R^{\prime}$, hence lies in $\mathfrak{S}_{(n-1) / t, \infty}$ (by the property of the injection of $\bar{H}^{t}\left(B_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ into $\left.L_{2}\left(B_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right), B_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\left\{x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}| | x^{\prime} \mid<R^{\prime}\right\}\right)$. The proof that the full series $P_{+} G$ lies in $\mathfrak{S}_{(n-1) / t, \infty}$ goes as in [17] (using also that the terms with $1-\zeta$ have a smoothing component, and that the series is rapidly convergent). Moreover, Corollary 4.2 there shows how the result is extended to the manifold situation.

When there are several factors in $M$, we need only use that $P_{j,+} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n / t_{j}, \infty}$ for the other factors and apply the product rule (2.10), and we end with the information that the full product is in $\mathfrak{S}_{n /(t+\theta), \infty}$ for some $\theta>0$, so that the spectral asymptotics remains as that of (2.19), when this term is added on.

The result extends easily to matrix-formed operators.
Now we can show a spectral asymptotic estimate for $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$.
Theorem 2.7. Let $P_{a}$ satisfy Assumption 2.1. Assume that $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$ is invertible, or more generally that $P_{a, \mathrm{Dir}}+c$ is invertible from $D\left(P_{a, \mathrm{Dir}}\right)$ to $L_{2}(\Omega)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$ (this holds if $P_{a}$ is strongly elliptic).

The singular values $s_{j}\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right)$ (eigenvalues of $\left.\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}^{*} P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ have the asymptotic behavior:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{j}\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right)=C\left(P_{a, \mathrm{Dir}}\right) j^{2 a / n}+o\left(j^{2 a / n}\right), \quad \text { for } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right)=C^{\prime}\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right)^{-2 a / n}$, defined from the principal symbol $p_{a, 0}(x, \xi)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime}\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right)=\frac{1}{n(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\Omega} \int_{|\xi|=1}\left|p_{a, 0}(x, \xi)\right|^{-n / 2 a} d \omega(\xi) d x \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.4 of [16], $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$, acting like $r^{+} P_{a}$, has a parametrix of order $-2 a$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\Lambda_{+,+}^{(-a)}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{+}+G\right) \Lambda_{-,+}^{(-a)}=r^{+} \Lambda_{+}^{(-a)} e^{+}\left(r^{+} \widetilde{Q} e^{+}+G\right) r^{+} \Lambda_{-}^{(-a)} e^{+} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the last expression, we have written the restriction- and extension-operators out in detail. In comparison with the formula for $R$ in [16, Th. 4.4], we have moreover placed an $r^{+}$in front, which is allowed since $R$ maps into a space of functions supported in $\bar{\Omega}$. (The singular Green operator component $G$ was missing in some preliminary versions of [16].) The operator is of the form treated in Theorem 2.6, which gives the asymptotic behavior of the $s$-numbers of $R$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{j}(R) j^{2 a / n} \rightarrow c(R)^{2 a / n} \quad \text { for } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $c(R)=C^{\prime}\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}\right)$ defined in (2.21), since the principal symbol of $\Lambda_{+}^{(-a)} \widetilde{Q} \Lambda_{-}^{(-a)}$ is the inverse of the principal symbol of $P_{a}$.

That $R$ is parametrix of $r^{+} P_{a}=P_{a, \text { Dir }}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{a, \operatorname{Dir}} R=I-S_{1}, \quad \text { where } S_{1}: L_{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega}) . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the case where $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$ is invertible; it is clearly compact since it maps $L_{2}(\Omega)$ into $\dot{H}^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$. It follows from (2.24) that

$$
P_{a, \mathrm{Dir}}^{-1}=P_{a, \mathrm{Dir}}^{-1}\left(P_{a, \mathrm{Dir}} R+S_{1}\right)=R+S_{2}, \quad S_{2}=P_{a, \mathrm{Dir}}^{-1} S_{1},
$$

where $P_{a, \operatorname{Dir}}^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n / a, \infty}$ (since it maps $L_{2}(\Omega)$ into $\dot{H}^{a}(\bar{\Omega})$ ), and $S_{1} \in \bigcap_{p>0} \mathfrak{S}_{p, \infty}$, so $S_{2} \in \bigcap_{p>0} \mathfrak{S}_{p, \infty}$ by (2.10). By (2.13), the spectral asymptotic formula (2.23) for $R$ will therefore imply the same spectral asymptotic formula for $P_{a, \operatorname{Dir}}^{-1}$, so

$$
s_{j}\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}^{-1}\right) j^{2 a / n} \rightarrow C^{\prime}\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}^{-1}\right)^{2 a / n} .
$$

The asymptotic formula can also be written as the formula (2.20) for the $s$-numbers of $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$.
If instead $P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c$ is invertible, we can write

$$
\left(P_{a, \mathrm{Dir}}+c\right) R=I-S_{1}+c R,
$$

with $S_{1}$ as in (2.24), and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c\right)^{-1} & =\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c\right)^{-1}\left(\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c\right) R+S_{1}-c R\right) \\
& =R+\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c\right)^{-1} S_{1}-c\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c\right)^{-1} R .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c\right)^{-1} S_{1} \in \bigcap_{p>0} \mathfrak{S}_{p, \infty}$ and $c\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c\right)^{-1} R \in \mathfrak{S}_{n / 3 a, \infty}$, since $\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c\right)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{S}_{n / a, \infty}$, and $R \in \mathfrak{S}_{n / 2 a, \infty}$ in view of its spectral behavior shown above. Thus $\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c\right)^{-1}$ is a perturbation of $R$ by operators in better weak Schatten classes, and the desired spectral results follow for $\left(P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c\right)^{-1}$ and its inverse $P_{a, \text { Dir }}+c$.

When $P_{a, \text { Dir }}$ is selfadjoint $\geq 0$, its eigenvalue sequence $\lambda_{j}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, coincides with the sequence of $s_{j}$-values, and Theorem 2.7 gives an asymptotic estimate of the eigenvalues.

In this case, the asymptotic estimate extends to arbitrary open sets $\Omega$ (assumed bounded when $\Omega_{1}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ), with the Dirichlet realization defined by Friedrichs extension of $r^{+} P_{a}$ from $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, since the eigenvalues can be characterized by the minimax principle, which gives a monotonicity property in terms of nested open sets.

As mentioned in the introduction, the estimate (2.20) was shown for the case $P_{a}=(-\Delta)^{a}$ by Blumenthal and Getoor in [3]. In this case, a two-terms asymptotic formula for the $N$-th average of eigenvalues as $N \rightarrow \infty$ was obtained by Frank and Geisinger in [7], and Geisinger extended the estimate (2.20) to a larger class of constant-coefficient $\psi$ do's in [8].

Remark 2.8. Theorem 2.7 extends straightforwardly to Dirichlet realizations of operators $P$ as in Theorem 2.5; in the proof, the factor $\Lambda_{-,+}^{(-a)}$ is replaced by $\Lambda_{-,+}^{(-b)}$, and $2 a$ in the asymptotic formula is replaced by $m=a+b$.

## 3. Mixed problems for second-order symmetric strongly elliptic differential operators

### 3.1. The Krein resolvent formula

We shall now apply the knowledge of the operators of type $\frac{1}{2}$ to the mixed boundary value problem for second-order elliptic differential operators. The setting is the following:

On a bounded $C^{\infty}$-smooth open subset $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with boundary $\partial \Omega=\Sigma$ we consider a second-order symmetric differential operator with real coefficients in $C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u=-\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \partial_{j}\left(a_{j k}(x) \partial_{k} u\right)+a_{0}(x) u \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $a_{j k}=a_{k j}$ for all $j, k$. $A$ is assumed strongly elliptic, i.e., $\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} a_{j k}(x) \xi_{j} \xi_{k} \geq c_{0}|\xi|^{2}$ for $x \in \bar{\Omega}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $c_{0}>0$. We denote as usual $\left.u\right|_{\Sigma}=\gamma_{0} u$, and consider moreover the conormal derivative $\nu$ and a Robin variant $\chi$ (both are Neumann-type boundary operators)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu u=\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} n_{j} \gamma_{0}\left(a_{j k} \partial_{k} u\right), \quad \chi u=\nu u-\sigma \gamma_{0} u \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $\vec{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{n}\right)$ denotes the interior unit normal to the boundary, and $\sigma$ is a real $C^{\infty}$-function on $\Sigma$. With $\Sigma_{+}$denoting a closed $C^{\infty}$-subset of $\Sigma$, we define $L_{2}(\Omega)$-realizations $A_{\gamma}$ and $A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}$of $A$ determined respectively by the boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{0} u=0 & \text { on } \Sigma, \quad \text { the Dirichlet condition, } \\
\chi u=0 & \text { on } \Sigma_{+}, \quad \gamma_{0} u=0 \quad \text { on } \Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{+}, \quad \text { a mixed condition. } \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

It is accounted for in [13] that with the domains defined more precisely by

$$
\begin{align*}
D\left(A_{\gamma}\right) & =\left\{u \in \bar{H}^{2}(\Omega) \mid \gamma_{0} u=0\right\}, \\
D\left(A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}\right) & =\left\{u \in \bar{H}^{1}(\Omega) \cap D\left(A_{\max }\right) \left\lvert\, \gamma_{0} u \in \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)\right., \chi u=0 \text { on } \Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right\}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{\max }$ denotes the operator acting like $A$ with domain $D\left(A_{\max }\right)=\left\{u \in L_{2}(\Omega) \mid A u \in L_{2}(\Omega)\right\}$, the operators $A_{\gamma}$ and $A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}$are selfadjoint lower bounded. We can and shall assume that a sufficiently large constant has been added to $A$ such that both operators have a positive lower bound.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right) ; \quad \text { then } X^{*}=\bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to a duality consistent with the $L_{2}$-scalar product on $\Sigma_{+}$. The injection $\mathrm{i}_{X}: X \hookrightarrow H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ can be viewed as an "extension by zero" $e^{+}$(often tacitly understood), and its adjoint ( $\left.\mathrm{i}_{X}\right)^{*}: H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$ is the restriction $r^{+}$.

Recalling that $\gamma_{0}$ defines a homeomorphism from $Z=\operatorname{ker}\left(A_{\max }\right)=\left\{u \in L_{2}(\Omega) \mid A u=0\right\}$ to $H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ with inverse $K_{\gamma}$ (a Poisson operator), we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=K_{\gamma} X, \quad \gamma_{V}: V \xrightarrow{\sim} X \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $V$ is a closed subspace of $Z$ (both closed in the $L_{2}(\Omega)$-norm), and $\gamma_{V}$ denotes the restriction of $\gamma_{0}$ to $V$. Note that $\gamma_{V}^{-1}$ acts like $K_{\gamma}$ on $X$; it is also denoted by $K_{\gamma, X}$ in [13]. We denote by $\mathrm{i}_{V}$ the injection of $V$ into $Z$, its adjoint is the orthogonal projection $\mathrm{pr}_{V}$ of $Z$ onto $V$. Let us moreover introduce the relevant Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\gamma, \nu}=\nu K_{\gamma}, \quad P_{\gamma, \chi}=\chi K_{\gamma}=P_{\gamma, \nu}-\sigma ; \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

they are pseudodifferential operators of order 1 on $\Sigma$, both formally selfadjoint.
The following Krein resolvent formula was shown in [13, Sect. 4.1]:
Proposition 3.1. For the realizations of $A$ defined above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}^{-1}-A_{\gamma}^{-1}=\mathrm{i}_{V} \gamma_{V}^{-1} L^{-1}\left(\gamma_{V}^{-1}\right)^{*} \mathrm{pr}_{V} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $L$ is the (selfadjoint invertible) operator from $X$ to $X^{*}$ acting like $-r^{+} P_{\gamma, \chi} e^{+}$and with domain

$$
D(L)=\gamma_{0} D\left(A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}\right) .
$$

It was shown in [13] that $D(L) \subset \dot{H}^{1-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$for all $\varepsilon>0$, but that the inclusion does not hold with $\varepsilon=0$.

Since $L$ acts like $-P_{\gamma, \chi,+}$ and is surjective onto $\bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(L)=\left\{\varphi \in \dot{H}^{1-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right) \left\lvert\, r^{+} P_{\gamma, \chi} \varphi \in \bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)\right.\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below we shall improve the knowledge of the domain by setting $P_{\gamma, \chi}$ in relation to the types of operators studied in Section 2.

### 3.2. Structure of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator

To study the symbol of $P_{\gamma, \chi}$ we consider the operators in a neighborhood $U$ of a point $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega=\Sigma$, where local coordinates $x=\left(x_{1} \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)$ are chosen such that $U \cap \Omega=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \mid x^{\prime} \in B_{1}, 0<x_{n}<1\right\}$ and $U \cap \partial \Omega=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \mid x^{\prime} \in B_{1}, x_{n}=0\right\} ; B_{1}=\left\{x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}| | \xi^{\prime} \mid<1\right\}$. In these coordinates, the principal symbol of $A$ at the boundary is a polynomial

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{a}\left(x^{\prime}, 0, \xi\right) & =\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \underline{a}_{j k}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right) \xi_{j} \xi_{k}=\underline{a}_{n n}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right) \xi_{n}^{2}+2 b\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \xi_{n}+c\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right), \\
\text { with } b & =\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \underline{a}_{j n}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi_{j}, c=\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} \underline{a}_{j k}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi_{j} \xi_{k} \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

the coefficients are real with $\underline{a}_{j k}=\underline{a}_{k j}$. We often write $\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)$ as $x^{\prime}$. Since $A$ is strongly elliptic, $\underline{a}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{n}\right)>$ 0 when $\xi^{\prime} \neq 0$, so the polynomial $\underline{a}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \lambda\right)$ in $\lambda$ has no real roots when $\xi^{\prime} \neq 0$. When we set

$$
a^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\underline{a}_{n n}\left(x^{\prime}\right) c\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)-b\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)^{2}=\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} a_{j k}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \xi_{j} \xi_{k}
$$

we therefore have that $a^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)>0$ for $\xi^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \backslash 0$. The roots of $\underline{a}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \lambda\right)$ equal $\lambda_{ \pm}=\underline{a}_{n n}^{-1}\left(-b \pm i \kappa_{0}\right)$, lying respectively in $\mathbb{C}_{ \pm}=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} \lambda \gtrless 0\}$, where we have set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=a^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}>0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{ \pm}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\mp i \lambda_{ \pm}=\underline{a}_{n n}^{-1}\left(\kappa_{0} \pm i b\right) ; \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\underline{a}$ has the factorization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{a}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{n}\right)=\underline{a}_{n n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(\kappa_{+}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)+i \xi_{n}\right)\left(\kappa_{-}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)-i \xi_{n}\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa_{+}$and $\kappa_{-}$both have positive real part $\left(=\kappa_{0}\right)$. This plays a role in standard investigations of boundary problems. We go on to study the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operators.

The principal symbol-kernel $\tilde{k}_{\gamma}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ of $K_{\gamma}$ is the solution operator for the semi-homogeneous model problem (with $\varphi$ given in $\mathbb{C}$ ):

$$
\underline{a}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, D_{n}\right) u\left(x_{n}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad u(0)=\varphi ;
$$

it is seen from (3.13) that the solution in $L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is $\varphi e^{-\kappa_{+} x_{n}}$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{k}_{\gamma}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=e^{-\kappa_{+} x_{n}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conormal derivative for the model problem is

$$
\nu u=\gamma_{0}\left(\underline{a}_{n n} \partial_{x_{n}} u\left(x_{n}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \underline{a}_{n k} i \xi_{k} u\left(x_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Then the principal symbol of $P_{\gamma, \nu}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{\gamma, \nu}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)_{0} & =\gamma_{0}\left(\underline{a}_{n n} \partial_{x_{n}}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \underline{a}_{n k} i \xi_{k}\right) e^{\kappa+x_{n}} \\
& =-\underline{a}_{n n} \kappa_{+}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \underline{a}_{n k} i \xi_{k} \\
& =-\underline{a}_{n n}(-i) \underline{a}_{n n}^{-1}\left(-b+i \kappa_{0}\right)+i b \\
& =-\kappa_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $P_{\gamma, \chi}=P_{\gamma, \nu}-\sigma$ with $\sigma$ of order $0, P_{\gamma, \chi}$ likewise has the principal symbol $-\kappa_{0}$.
The important fact that we observe here is that $\kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ is even in $\xi^{\prime}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime},-\xi^{\prime}\right)=\kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right), \quad \text { with } \partial_{x^{\prime}}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi^{\prime}}^{\alpha} \kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime},-\xi^{\prime}\right)=(-1)^{|\alpha|} \partial_{x^{\prime}}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi^{\prime}}^{\alpha} \kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \text { for all } \alpha, \beta \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(since $c\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ and $b\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ are clearly even in $\left.\xi^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\kappa_{0}$ is homogeneous of degree 1 , it therefore has the $\frac{1}{2}$-transmission property with respect to any smooth subset of $B_{1}$, satisfying (1.3) with $m=1, \mu=\frac{1}{2}$.

Moreover, we shall show that it has factorization index $\frac{1}{2}$ with respect to any smooth subset of $B_{1}$ : We can take the subset as $B_{1,+}=\left\{x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}| | x^{\prime} \mid<1, x_{n-1}>0\right\}$, with $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-2}\right)$ denoted by $x^{\prime \prime}$. Now
we apply the same procedure as above to the polynomial $a^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime \prime}, \xi_{n-1}\right)^{2}$ in $\xi_{n-1}$. It has a factorization analogously to (3.13):

$$
\kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)^{2}=a_{n-1, n-1}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\kappa_{+}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)+i \xi_{n-1}\right)\left(\kappa_{-}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)-i \xi_{n-1}\right),
$$

where $a_{n-1, n-1}^{\prime}>0$ and $\kappa_{ \pm}^{\prime}$ have positive real part; here $\kappa_{ \pm}^{\prime}=\mp i \lambda_{ \pm}^{\prime}$, where $\lambda_{ \pm}^{\prime}$ are the roots of $a^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime \prime}, \lambda\right)$ lying in $\mathbb{C}_{ \pm}$, respectively. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0, \xi^{\prime}\right)=a_{n-1, n-1}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\kappa_{+}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)+i \xi_{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\kappa_{-}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)-i \xi_{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\kappa_{+}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)+i \xi_{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ extends analytically in $\xi_{n-1}$ into $\mathbb{C}_{-}$and $\left(\kappa_{-}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \xi^{\prime \prime}\right)-i \xi_{n-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ extends analytically in $\xi_{n-1}$ into $\mathbb{C}_{+}$(in short, are a "plus-symbol" resp. a "minus-symbol", cf. [6,16]).

Carrying the information back to $\Omega$ and $\Sigma=\partial \Omega$, we have obtained:
Theorem 3.2. The principal symbol of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator $P_{\gamma, \chi}$ equals $-\kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ (expressed in local coordinates in (3.10)-(3.11)), negative and elliptic of order 1. For any smooth subset $\Sigma_{+}$of $\Sigma, \kappa_{0}$ is of type $\frac{1}{2}$ and has factorization index $\frac{1}{2}$ relative to $\Sigma_{+}$. An explicit factorization in local coordinates is given in (3.16).

### 3.3. Precisions on $L$ and $L^{-1}$

Define $L_{1}$ to be a $\psi$ do on $\Sigma$ with symbol $\kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$, and let $L_{0}=-P_{\gamma, \chi}-L_{1}$. Then since $L$ acts like $-P_{\gamma, \chi,+}$, it acts like $L_{1,+}+L_{0,+}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \varphi=L_{1,+} \varphi+L_{0,+} \varphi, \quad \text { for } \varphi \in D(L) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $L_{1}$, classical of order 1 , is principally equal to $-P_{\gamma, \chi}$ and $-P_{\gamma, \nu}$, whereas the operator $L_{0}$ is a classical $\psi$ do of order 0 , containing both the local term $\sigma$ and the nonlocal difference between $P_{\gamma, \nu}$ and its principal part.

As shown in Theorem 3.2, $L_{1}$ is of type $\frac{1}{2}$ and has factorization index $\frac{1}{2}$ relative to $\Sigma_{+}$. Here $L_{1,+}$, when considered on $\dot{H}^{1-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$, identifies with the operator $r^{+} L_{1}$ in the homogeneous Dirichlet problem for $L_{1}$, going from $\dot{H}^{1-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$to $\dot{H}^{-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$. It has according to [16, Th. 4.4] a parametrix $R$ : $\bar{H}^{s-1}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{\frac{1}{2}(s)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$for $s>\frac{1}{2}$; here $H^{\frac{1}{2}(s)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)=\dot{H}^{s}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$for $\frac{1}{2}<s<1$, cf. (2.3), and $R$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\Lambda_{+,+}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{+}+G\right) \Lambda_{-,+}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a $\psi$ do $\widetilde{Q}$ of order and type 0 and a singular Green operator $G$ of order and class 0 . The parametrix property implies that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L_{1,+} R=I-S_{1}, & S_{1}: \bar{H}^{t}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right) \rightarrow C^{\infty}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right), \quad \text { for } t>-\frac{1}{2}, \\
R L_{1,+}=I-S_{2}, & S_{2}: \dot{H}^{1+t}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right), \quad \text { for }-\frac{1}{2}<t<0, \\
& S_{2}: H^{\frac{1}{2}(1+t)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right), \quad \text { for } t \geq 0 . \tag{3.19}
\end{array}
$$

From (3.17) and the first line in (3.19), we have for the difference $S_{3}$ of $L^{-1}$ and $R$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}=L^{-1}-R=L^{-1}\left(L_{1,+} R+S_{1}\right)-L^{-1}\left(L_{1,+}+L_{0,+}\right) R=L^{-1} S_{1}-L^{-1} L_{0,+} R . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some properties of $L^{-1}$ can be obtained by considerations similar to those in [13]:

Proposition 3.3. The operator $L^{-1}: X^{*} \rightarrow X$ extends to an operator $M_{0}$ that maps continuously

$$
M_{0}: \bar{H}^{s}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow \dot{H}^{s+\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right) \quad \text { for }-1<s \leq \frac{1}{2}, \text { any } \varepsilon>0
$$

In particular, the closure of $L^{-1}$ in $L_{2}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$is a continuous operator from $L_{2}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$to $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$.
The operators $L^{-1}$ and $M_{0}$ have the same eigenfunctions (for nonzero eigenvalues); they belong to $D(L)$.
Proof. We already know from [13] (cf. (3.9)) that $L^{-1}$ is continuous from $X^{*}=\bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$ to $\dot{H}^{1-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$. Then it has an adjoint $M_{0}$ (with respect to dualities consistent with the $L_{2}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$-scalar product) that is continuous from $\bar{H}^{-1+\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$ to $\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$. But since $L^{-1}$ is known to be selfadjoint (from $X^{*}$ to $X$, consistently with the $L_{2}$-scalar product), $M_{0}$ must be an extension of $L^{-1}$. Now the asserted continuity for $-1<s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ follows by interpolation. For $s=0$ this shows the mapping property of the $L_{2}$-closure.

When $\varphi$ is a distribution in $\bar{H}^{-1+\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$ such that $M_{0} \varphi=\lambda \varphi$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$, then since $M_{0} \varphi \in$ $\bar{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)=\dot{H}^{-\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right), \varphi$ lies there. Next, it follows that $M_{0} \varphi \in \bar{H}^{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)=\dot{H}^{\varepsilon_{1}}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$, and hence $\varphi$ also lies there. Finally, we conclude that $M_{0} \varphi \in \bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon_{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$, so that $\varphi$ also lies there. Here $M_{0}$ coincides with $L^{-1}$.

We can now find exact information on the domain of $L$ :
Theorem 3.4. $L^{-1}$ maps $\bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$ onto $H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$. In other words, the domain of $L$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(L)=H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)=\Lambda_{+}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)} e^{+} \bar{H}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is contained in $d^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{+} \bar{H}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)+\dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$.
Proof. It is seen from the second line in (3.19) that $S_{3}=L^{-1}-R$ is also described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}=\left(R L_{1,+}+S_{2}\right) L^{-1}-R\left(L_{1,+}+L_{0,+}\right) L^{-1}=S_{2} L^{-1}-R L_{0,+} L^{-1} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $S_{2} L^{-1}$ maps $\bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$ into $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$in view of (3.19). For the other term, we note that $L_{0,+}$ maps $\dot{H}^{1-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$into $\bar{H}^{1-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$, since an extension by zero is understood, and $R$ maps the latter space into $H^{\frac{1}{2}(2-\varepsilon)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$. Thus $S_{3}$ maps $\bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$ into $H^{\frac{1}{2}(2-\varepsilon)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$. Since $R$ maps $\bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$ into $H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$, it follows that $L^{-1}$ maps $\bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$ into $H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$. Thus $D(L) \subset H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$.

The opposite inclusion also holds, since $r^{+} L_{1}$ maps $H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$into $\bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$, and $H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right) \subset \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\bar{\Omega})$ by Lemma 2.2 , which $r^{+} L_{0}$ maps into $\bar{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$.

This shows the identity. The last statement follows from (2.3).
Remark 3.5. By this information we can explain more precisely in which way $D(L)$, known to be contained in $\dot{H}^{1-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$, reaches outside of $\dot{H}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$, namely by certain nontrivial elements of $d^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{+} \bar{H}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)$ (lying in $\left.H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)\right)$.

Consider the spaces in local coordinates, where $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma_{+}$are replaced by $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n-1}$. As a typical element of $x_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{+} \bar{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n-1}\right)$ lying in $H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n-1}\right)$, we can take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=c x_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{0} \psi, \quad c=\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right) \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-2}\right)$. Here $K_{0}$ is the Poisson operator from $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-2}\right)$ to $\bar{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n-1}\right)$ solving

$$
(1-\Delta) \zeta\left(x^{\prime}\right)=0 \quad \text { on } \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n-1}, \quad \gamma_{0} \zeta=\psi \quad \text { on } \mathbb{R}^{n-2}
$$

namely

$$
\zeta=K_{0} \psi=\mathcal{F}_{\xi^{\prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(\left(\left\langle\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle+i \xi_{n-1}\right)^{-1} \hat{\psi}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=\mathcal{F}_{\xi^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow x^{\prime \prime}}^{-1}\left(e^{-\left\langle\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle x_{n-1}} \hat{\psi}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right),
$$

and $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=c x_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \zeta\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.
To verify that $\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \in H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n-1}\right)$, we recall from [16, Sect. 5], that the special boundary operator $\gamma_{\frac{1}{2}, 0}: H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}^{n-1}\right) \rightarrow H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-2}\right)$ defined there satisfies

$$
\gamma_{\frac{1}{2}, 0} \varphi=c^{-1} \gamma_{0}\left(x_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\gamma_{0} \Xi_{+}^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi, \quad \text { with } \Xi_{+}^{\mu}=\mathrm{OP}\left(\left(\left\langle\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle+i \xi_{n-1}\right)^{\mu}\right),
$$

and has the right inverse $K_{\frac{1}{2}, 0}$, where

$$
\varphi=K_{\frac{1}{2}, 0} \psi=\Xi_{+}^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{+} K_{0} \psi=c x_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{0} \psi,
$$

cf. [16], Corollary 5.3, and the analysis in the sequel there.
Now $\varphi$ defined by (3.23) is not in $\dot{H}^{1}$ (nor in $\bar{H}^{1}$ ) near $x_{n-1}=0$, since

$$
\partial_{x_{n-1}} \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2} x_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta\left(x^{\prime}\right)+x_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{x_{n-1}} \zeta\left(x^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $x_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{x_{n-1}} \zeta\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is clearly $L_{2}$-integrable over $\mathbb{R}^{n-2} \times[0,1]$, but $x_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is not so:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} \int_{0<x_{n-1}<1}\left|x_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta\right|^{2} d x_{n-1} d x^{\prime \prime} & =(2 \pi)^{2-n} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} \int_{\delta<x_{n-1}<1} x_{n-1}^{-1} e^{-2\left\langle\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle x_{n-1}}\left|\hat{\psi}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{n-1} d \xi^{\prime \prime} \\
& \geq(2 \pi)^{2-n} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} \int_{\delta<x_{n-1}<1} x_{n-1}^{-1} e^{-2\left\langle\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}\left|\hat{\psi}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{2} d x_{n-1} d \xi^{\prime \prime} \\
& =(2 \pi)^{2-n} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0}|\log \delta| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}} e^{-2\left\langle\xi^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle}\left|\hat{\psi}\left(\xi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{2} d \xi^{\prime \prime}=+\infty \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

when $\psi \neq 0$. (It does not help to take $\psi$ very smooth.)
We consequently have for $D\left(A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}\right)$:
Corollary 3.6. The domain of $A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}$satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}\right) \subset D\left(A_{\gamma}\right)+K_{\gamma} H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right) \subset \bar{H}^{2}(\Omega)+K_{\gamma}\left(e^{+} d\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{H}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where we recall that $e^{+}$denotes the extension from $\Sigma_{+}$by zero on $\Sigma_{-}$, and $d\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ is a $C^{\infty}$-function on $\Sigma_{+}$ proportional to $\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{\prime}, \partial \Sigma_{+}\right)$near $\left.\partial \Sigma_{+}\right)$.

All elements of $K_{\gamma} H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$are reached from $D\left(A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}\right)$.
Nontrivial elements of $K_{\gamma}\left(e^{+} d\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{H}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)\right)$ are reached, that are not in $K_{\gamma} \dot{H}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$, nor in $K_{\gamma}\left(e^{+} \bar{H}^{1}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right)\right)$ (as in Remark 3.5), hence not in $\bar{H}^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)$.

Proof. It is known from [9, Th. II.1.2] that

$$
D\left(A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}\right) \subset D\left(A_{\gamma}\right) \dot{+} D(T)=D\left(A_{\gamma}\right) \dot{+} K_{\gamma} D(L),
$$

when we use that $A_{\gamma}=A_{\beta}$ and $K_{\gamma} D(L)=D(T)$ with the notation used there. Here all elements of $D(T)$ are reached, in the sense that for any $z \in D(T)$ there is a $v \in D\left(A_{\gamma}\right)$ such that $u=v+z \in D\left(A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}\right)$. Since $D(L)=H^{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$, this shows the first inclusion in (3.25) and the first statement afterwards.

For the remaining part we use the last information in Theorem 3.4. Since $K_{\gamma} \dot{H}^{\frac{3}{2}} \subset \bar{H}^{2}(\Omega)$, this implies the second inclusion in (3.25). Remark 3.5 shows how nontrivial nonsmooth elements occur.

### 3.4. The spectrum of the Krein term

The spectral asymptotic behavior of the Krein term

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}^{-1}-A_{\gamma}^{-1}=\mathrm{i}_{V} \gamma_{V}^{-1} L^{-1}\left(\gamma_{V}^{-1}\right)^{*} \mathrm{pr}_{V} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

will now be determined. We assume $n \geq 3$ in this section since applications on $\Sigma$ of Laptev's result quoted in (2.14) requires the dimension $m$ to be $\geq 2$, i.e., $n-1 \geq 2$. It is used to show that some cut-off terms have a better asymptotic behavior than the one we are aiming for, hence can be disregarded. (We believe that there are ways to handle the case $n-1=1$, either by establishing weaker versions of (2.14), or by using the variable-coefficient factorization of the principal symbol of $L$, but we refrain from making an effort here. The case $n=2$ was included in [13] for $A$ principally Laplacian.)

First we study the spectrum of the factor $L^{-1}$.
Theorem 3.7. $S_{3}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{S}_{(n-1) /\left(\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon\right), \infty}$, and $L^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{S}_{n-1, \infty}$ (when the operators are extended to $L_{2}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$by closure $)$.

The eigenvalues of $L^{-1}$ have the asymptotic behavior:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}\left(L^{-1}\right) j^{1 /(n-1)} \rightarrow c(L)^{1 /(n-1)} \quad \text { for } j \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(L)=\frac{1}{(n-1)(2 \pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{+}} \int_{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=1} \kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)^{-(n-1)} d \omega\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall that $L^{-1}$ acts as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{-1}=R+S_{3}=\Lambda_{+,+}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{+}+G\right) \Lambda_{-,+}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}+S_{3}, \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

cf. (3.18). By application of Theorem 2.6 to $R$ we find that the singular values $s_{j}(R)$ behave as in (3.27)-(3.28), where the constant is as in (3.28) since the principal pseudodifferential symbol of $R$ is $\kappa_{0}^{-1}$. In particular, $R \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1, \infty}$.

Since the closure of $L^{-1}$ maps $L_{2}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$continuously into $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$by Proposition 3.3, it belongs to $\mathfrak{S}_{(n-1) /\left(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\right), \infty}$. Moreover (cf. (3.19)), $S_{1} \in \bigcap_{\tau>0} \mathfrak{S}_{\tau, \infty}$, and $L_{0,+}$ is bounded in $L_{2}\left(\Sigma_{+}\right)$. Then $L^{-1} S_{1}$ is in $\bigcap_{\tau>0} \mathfrak{S}_{\tau, \infty}$, and $L^{-1} L_{0,+} R \in \mathfrak{S}_{(n-1) /\left(\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon\right), \infty} \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{n-1, \infty} \subset \mathfrak{S}_{(n-1) /\left(\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon\right), \infty}$ by the rule (2.10), using that $S_{1}$ and $L_{0,+} R$ map into spaces where $L^{-1}$ coincides with its $L_{2}$-closure. Therefore by (3.20),

$$
S_{3} \in \mathfrak{S}_{(n-1) /\left(\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon\right), \infty}
$$

Now since $L^{-1}$ acts like $R+S_{3}$, its closure is in $\mathfrak{S}_{n-1, \infty}$. This shows the first statement in the theorem.
The last statement follows, since $S_{3}$ is of a better Schatten class than $R$, so that (2.13) implies that the $L_{2}$-closure of $L^{-1}$ has the same asymptotic behavior of singular values as $R$. Since $L^{-1}$ is symmetric in $L_{2}$, the $L_{2}$-closure is selfadjoint, so its singular values are eigenvalues; they are consistent with the eigenvalues of $L^{-1}$ by Proposition 3.3.

We now turn to the Krein term $M$ recalled in (3.26). Proceeding as in [13, Sect. 5.4], we have for the eigenvalues:

$$
\mu_{j}(M)=\mu_{j}\left(\mathrm{i}_{V} \gamma_{V}^{-1} L^{-1}\left(\gamma_{V}^{-1}\right)^{*} \operatorname{pr}_{V}\right)=\mu_{j}\left(L^{-1}\left(\gamma_{V}^{-1}\right)^{*} \gamma_{V}^{-1}\right)=\mu_{j}\left(L^{-1} P_{1,+}\right)
$$

where $P_{1}=K_{\gamma}^{*} K_{\gamma}$ is a selfadjoint nonnegative invertible elliptic $\psi$ do of order -1 ; in view of (3.14) it has principal symbol $\left(\kappa_{+}+\bar{\kappa}_{+}\right)^{-1}=\underline{a}_{n n}\left(2 \kappa_{0}\right)^{-1}$. Let $P_{2}=P_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then we continue the calculation as follows:

$$
\mu_{j}(M)=\mu_{j}\left(L^{-1} r^{+} P_{2} P_{2} e^{+}\right)=\mu_{j}\left(P_{2} e^{+} L^{-1} r^{+} P_{2}\right)=\mu_{j}\left(r^{+} P_{2} e^{+} L^{-1} r^{+} P_{2} e^{+}+S_{4}\right),
$$

where $S_{4}$ is a sum of three terms, each one a product of $\psi$ do's and cutoff functions of a total order -2 , and each containing a factor either $r^{-} P_{2} e^{+}$or $r^{+} P_{2} e^{-}$(or both). To the terms in $S_{4}$ we can apply (2.14) together with product rules, concluding that they are in $\mathfrak{S}_{(n-1) /(2+\theta), \infty}$ for some $\theta>0$.

The operator (cf. (3.25))

$$
M_{1}=r^{+} P_{2} e^{+} L^{-1} r^{+} P_{2} e^{+}=P_{2,+} \Lambda_{+,+}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{+}+G\right) \Lambda_{-,+}^{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)} P_{2,+}+P_{2,+} S_{3} P_{2,+}
$$

is selfadjoint nonnegative, so its eigenvalues $\mu_{j}$ coincide with the $s$-values. We can apply Theorem 2.6 to the first term, obtaining a spectral asymptotic formula (2.16)-(2.17) with $t / n$ replaced by $2 /(n-1)$; then the addition of the second term which lies in a better weak Schatten class $\mathfrak{S}_{(n-1) /(2+\theta), \infty}$ preserves the formulas.

Finally $M$ (likewise selfadjoint nonnegative) differs from $M_{1}$ by the operator $S_{4}$ in a better weak Schatten class, so the spectral asymptotic formula carries over to this operator.

Hereby we obtain the theorem:
Theorem 3.8. The eigenvalues of $M=A_{\chi, \Sigma_{+}}^{-1}-A_{\gamma}^{-1}$ have the asymptotic behavior:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{j}(M) j^{2 /(n-1)} \rightarrow c(M)^{2 /(n-1)} \quad \text { for } j \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(M)=\frac{1}{(n-1)(2 \pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{+}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|=1}\left(\frac{\underline{a}_{n n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)}{2 \kappa_{0}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right)^{(n-1) / 2} d \omega\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime} . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It remains to account for the value of the constant $c(M)$. It follows, since $P_{2}^{2}=P_{1}$ has principal symbol $\underline{a}_{n n}\left(2 \kappa_{0}\right)^{-1}$ and the $\psi$ do part of $L^{-1}$ has principal symbol $\kappa_{0}^{-1}$.

Remark 3.9. We take the opportunity to recall two corrections to [13] (already mentioned in [14]): Page 351, line 4 from below, delete " $H^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\Sigma_{+}^{\circ}\right) \subset$ ", replace " $H^{1}(\Sigma)$ " by " $L_{2}(\Sigma)$ ". Page 361, line 4, replace "(Th. 3.3)" by "(Th. 4.3)".
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